

September 11, 2007

At the regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, held at the Court House, thereof, on Tuesday the 11th day of September, 2007, at 7:00 p.m., there were present:

William G. Fore, Jr., Chairman

Howard F. Simpson, Vice-Chairman

Pattie Cooper-Jones

Sally W. Gilfillan

Robert M. Jones

Charles W. McKay

James C. Moore

Lacy B. Ward

Also Present: Wade Bartlett, County Administrator; Sarah Puckett, Assistant County Administrator; Jonathan L. Pickett, Director of Planning and Community Development; James R. Ennis, County Attorney; Sharon Carney, Director of Economic Development and Tourism; Mable Shanaberger, Treasurer; and Alan Leatherwood, Resident Highway Engineer.

Chairman William G. Fore, Jr. called the meeting to order. Supervisor James C. Moore asked for a moment of silence for the people lost in the 9/11 tragedy and the soldiers currently abroad, and offered the invocation.

In Re: Public Participation

Chairman Fore said three people signed up to speak, and called the first to the podium.

Nancy Carwile, Charlotte County Board of Supervisors, thanked the Board for allowing her to speak, and said Charlotte County has been removing all their unmanned green boxes, and will have no open dumpsters. She said their recycling centers are located in the more densely populated areas, and requested the Board consider sharing a center with Charlotte County in the Abilene area to serve both counties' residents.

Cornell Walker, of Prospect, said in April, over 400 members of R. R. Moton Alumni met at the school for a reunion. The reunion committee used the theme that this was not the same Prince Edward

County. The night of the reunion, some of the leadership of the County attended the banquet. Mr. Walker said at the last monthly meeting, there was no Treasurer's report, but the Board dispersed and allocated money without the report, and that only one Supervisor made comment. Mr. Walker said this calls into play the honesty and integrity of the County. He added the Special Grand Jury's findings and the Farmville Herald's article are vastly different, and whenever there is an issue of importance or a public debate, the Board almost never goes with what the public wants. Mr. Walker said this calls into question the honesty and integrity of the Board. Mr. Walker added that he looks for the County leaders to be above reproach and work for the best interest of the County, and will not accept less than that. He also said he felt the County is owed an apology regarding the report given to the FARMVILLE HERALD on the sale of the 73.88 acres adjoining the Crestview subdivision, because things were not as they were reported.

Jack Houghton, of Farmville, said, "In the summer of 2003, the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors sold a 74 acre parcel of land for a reported price of \$225,000, purportedly on the basis of sealed competitive bids. That transaction has recently been the subject of a Special Grand Jury investigation. Tonight, it is my understanding that this Board will discuss the results of this investigation. In regards to this investigation, I think we are all in agreement - that we agree - that we want to have competent, accountable and honest government. Chairman Fore, it's my understanding from your recent press release that you feel the Special Grand Jury report has exonerated County officials of any wrongdoing concerning the 2003 land transaction. It is indeed true that the Special Grand Jury did not hand down indictments of County officials, but before we start to rest too easy, perhaps we should consider what the Special Grand Jury report actually says. Some of the County officials involved in this transaction are no longer affiliated with Prince Edward County government, but a few of those officials still are and are present in this room tonight. To these few officials, I address my comments. The Special Grand Jury report makes no mention of exoneration, nor does it declare that there was no wrongdoing. Nowhere in the report can these words be found or anything that can be interpreted to mean that. However, the Special Grand Jury report does say clearly in expressed terms that it was not pleased with the conduct of the Board or of the personnel, finding that, and I quote, 'There may have been misfeasance by the Board and/or County employees by not promoting the best interest of the County.' Also the Board states that the Jury did not find, and I quote, 'malfeasance in the actions of any Board member or County employee that would

rise to the level of criminal conduct.’ How high did it rise? Of course, an eleven page report summary is lacking in a lot of the specific details. The report did not identify individual members of this Board or the administration who were responsible for these concerns, nor did the report discuss in much detail why it found that there may have been misfeasance. Malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance. Perhaps later our Commonwealth’s Attorney will explain these legal concepts and what actually distinguishes illegal criminal conduct from what may be described as mere incompetence, laziness, basic dishonesty, or just plain garden-variety bad government. Aside from the loss of public trust in County government, how much did we, the taxpayers, actually lose by the Board’s misfeasance? The report doesn’t really say. But these are the facts found in the public records. The County realized \$225,000 in the sale of 74 acres of land. The timber was appraised for \$165,000. After the timber was harvested by the new owner, the land was sold in 2005 for \$725,000. So in rough terms, we, the taxpayers, got \$225,000 for the same piece of land that the new owners quickly converted to nearly \$900,000. Part of the taxpayers’ losses includes \$25,000 in secret payments to realtors who the County supposedly had no relationship with.

Mr. Fore, I understand that you have promised a full and public discussion of the Special Grand Jury investigation this evening, so I have a few questions that I hope that you will address. First, can you explain how the County can purportedly sell publicly owned real estate by sealed competitive bids, while all the time secretly negotiating behind the scenes with one single prospective buyer? Secondly, can you explain why the former County Attorney and the former County Administrator repeatedly denied, both verbally and in writing, any knowledge of any relationship between the County and ‘secret agent realtors,’ one of whom we now know to be Mrs. Sherry Honeycutt, who was, at that time, the Chairman of the County School Board? This same realtor is the individual who is described by Supervisor Jones as the realtor who ‘worked the whole deal.’ I’m hoping you will explain why key County officials manipulated Mr. Bryant’s actual bid of \$250,000 to the lower amount of \$225,000 to hide a payment of \$25,000 to certain realtors, who supposedly, County officials didn’t know anything about. Mr. Fore, can you explain why you sat there, where you sit tonight, and remained silent when Mrs. Dickerson denied any knowledge as to why the fees were paid to realtors when you personally knew that you initialed the authorization of those fees? On whose authority was Mrs. Honeycutt named ‘the real estate contact person for the County’ when there is no official record of this. But this is reported in the Special Grand Jury report. Who

authorized this and why was it kept a secret? Considering how much we, the taxpayers, lost in this transaction, I'm kind of wondering what legitimate services did we receive for paying realtors any fees. Finally I wonder if anyone on this Board is ever going to accept responsibility for the betrayal of public trust, the deceit over the past three years, the secret payments to realtors, or the significant financial loss to taxpayers. If anyone remaining on this Board 'fesses up to this responsibility, I wonder if they will ask themselves the most important question: Should I remain on this Board or should I do the honorable thing and step down? Hopefully this Board will take appropriate steps and hold accountable all of those persons who were responsible for this transaction, and to start the process of restoring public confidence in the Prince Edward County government. In closing, I wish to thank all the members of the Special Grand Jury for their judicious and merciful findings in this most troubling investigation. Thank you."

Chairman Fore thanked the speakers and asked if anyone else present wished to speak. There being no one wishing so speak, public participation was closed.

Supervisor Cooper-Jones made a motion to change the order of the agenda and make the Special Grand Jury report the next item on the agenda.

The motion carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	William G. Fore, Jr.	
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Robert M. Jones	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

Chairman Fore opened the floor for discussion on the Special Grand Jury report.

In response to Mr. Houghton, Chairman Fore indicated that in his published statement he never said he would lead a discussion on the Grand Jury Report. He said he was accurately quoted in the newspaper and that was the way he felt about the Grand Jury report. He added there may have been misfeasance, and said the Grand Jury did what it was directed to do, and the investigation is over. He said three newspapers covered the Grand Jury investigation thoroughly. He stated he appreciated the time and the effort that the Grand Jury put forth, and appreciated their summary. He then asked if any other members of the Board had anything to add.

Supervisor Ward questioned Chairman Fore on his statement in the FARMVILLE HERALD, that “‘first and foremost, the citizens need to know the Special Grand Jury has exonerated the Board – exonerated the Board and County Administrator’s office of any wrongdoing.’ I think to a great extent, statements like this are probably what keep an issue like this open. Because I’m on this Board.”

Chairman Fore said, “I didn’t speak for you, I spoke for myself.”

Mr. Ward continued. “As a member of this Board, I take strong exception to your characterization of the Grand Jury report, myself. So I think that we just need to be responsible for what we say as public officials. If they’re not correct, then I see nothing wrong with the citizens questioning what we do or say. The FARMVILLE HERALD, the local newspaper which many of us read and to some extent, rely on, which apparently you gave a copy of that report to the FARMVILLE HERALD, I think their headline was very misleading to the public when it stated that the Grand Jury report cleared – that was the big headline – their headline differed with the body of the report. But in many cases, citizens read headlines and they don’t go a lot further than that. So I think that the FARMVILLE HERALD has an obligation to find out what the facts are, I’m sure they had a copy of the report, and they did not have to follow what you said, but I believe that, to some extent, they were influenced by your statement. Could I ask you a couple questions about the Grand Jury report?”

Chairman Fore said he was not going to discuss it.

Mr. Ward continued, “Mr. Fore, that would not be in line with what you said in your statement dated August 20th. ‘To ensure that the citizens of Prince Edward County continue to have confidence in their Board of Supervisors, the Board will discuss these concerns and recommendations fully and publicly at its September 11th meeting.’”

Chairman Fore interjected, “Discuss it.”

Mr. Ward said, “Now for you to say that you have said all that you’re going to say, it makes your statement somewhat misleading. I thought that people were going to come here...”

Chairman Fore asked, “What would you have me say?”

Mr. Ward continued, “Mr. Fore, I would simply like for you to respond to questions, to not project anger at comments that come from the floor... If you say you’re going to discuss something openly, fully and honestly, that is what the people, I think, are looking for. But that is not the conduct that you have

projected right now. Let me ask you a question about a statement that you gave to – the summary of your statement to the Grand Jury. I'll start off with the point that says Sherry Honeycutt was the realtor involved in the sale on Bryant's behalf. As far as the contract went, there was an error, Fore believes, 25 - for \$250,000 was in error. That amount was supposed to be a commission. Now, could you explain how the inclusion of \$25,000 or \$50,000 in the contract that you signed and initialed ..."

Chairman Fore asked, "What's that statement from?"

Mr. Ward said, "Virginia State Police."

Chairman Fore asked, "Oh, the investigator?"

Mr. Ward continued, "The investigator, he summarized statements given. So is he quoting you correctly, or is he ... You haven't seen this statement?"

Chairman Fore said he didn't know if the quote was correct as he had not seen the statement.

Mr. Ward said, "Let's get away from the statement then. Did you agree to deduct \$25,000 from the original offer of \$250,000, and initial a statement on the contract that this – that the buyer would pay \$25,000 fee? You didn't – that's not your signature? Did you agree to pay Sherry Honeycutt and Leighton Robinson \$25,000?"

Chairman Fore stated, "Lacy, if it was agreed to, then this Board agreed to it. I don't make decisions for the Board, and if I initialed it, then I initialed it. But I'm sure there was a legal reason for me doing that. I don't know."

Mr. Ward continued, "If the Board made the decision, it would have to be by a vote, is that what you're saying? And further ..."

Chairman Fore said he would not answer any further questions. "I have been before the Grand Jury, you have all the information, I don't. I'm not going to say another word."

Mr. Ward added, "Mr. Fore, if you will indulge – and this Board, I have a statement that I'd like to read into the record. It's to the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors from Lacy B. Ward, Supervisor, Prospect District. Subject, Grand Jury Investigation into the sale of 73.88 acres. Date, September 11, 2007.

“On January 9, 2007, this Board passed a motion requesting the Commonwealth Attorney to seek an appropriate, independent, investigation into the sale of the 73.88 acres of County owned land either by a Commonwealth Attorney outside the area, an appropriate federal agency such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) or a Special Grand Jury. The Commonwealth Attorney chose a Special Grand Jury.

The Special Grand Jury issued its report dated August 17, 2007. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors issued a statement dated August 20, 2007. Most amazing to me was this statement, ‘First and foremost, the Citizens need to know the Special Grand Jury has exonerated the Board and the County Administrator’s office of any wrongdoing.’ I can understand why the Chairman rushed to put this personal opinion on this report. He is personally responsible for much of what is wrong with how this County handled the sale of this public property. The Grand Jury did not use the word exonerate, nor any similar word in its report. On the other hand, the first paragraph under the heading, “Concerns” reads, “The Members of the Special Grand Jury have carefully considered and examined all of the evidence. The Special Grand Jury believes that the Board did not act in the best interest of the Citizens of Prince Edward County in their apparent urgency to sell the 73.88 acre tract of land. The Special Grand Jury is concerned that the Board did not utilize appropriate notification and options that might have insured the best sale price for said property.” Following this paragraph, the Special Grand Jury listed eight (8) specific concerns as follows:

1. The public hearing held August 1996, declared the 73.88 acre tract as surplus property. At this meeting, the Board indicated that an appraisal would be performed prior to the sale of the property. As we know now, this was not done.
2. No new appraisal was performed prior to the sale which would have indicated a fair market value.
3. There was no recent public hearing regarding the intent to sell the 73.88 acre tract.
4. The advertisement for bid appeared only in THE FARMVILLE HERALD and not papers with larger distribution.
5. An offer of \$210,000 was presented to the Board on April 11, 2003. On April 15, 2003, a revised offer existed for \$250,000 which was later changed to

\$225,000 noting the buyer would pay \$25,000 in realtor fees. This is the provision that I cited earlier that you initialed in the sales agreement that you signed.

6. Only two weeks elapsed between the initial advertisement of April 30, 2003, and May 13, 2003, acceptance by the Board with final contract signing on May 14, 2003, giving potential buyers a limited time to consider the purchase.

7. The County did not utilize a public auction sale format which may have increased the return for the County.

8. Considering the level of concern which existed among Crestview area residents, further consideration of the details would have been appropriate.

The transaction was carried out in secrecy, with important documents missing or kept out of the County's files for more than two years.

By a letter dated December 19, 2005, I informed Chairman Fore that the bids were missing from the Board's files when I examined them on December 8, 2005. I requested the Chairman direct the County Administrator to locate the bids and return them to the files. I know of no serious attempts to locate the bids. The files at that time contained no copies of contracts covering the sale of the land. The file did contain a settlement sheet. The settlement sheet noted that \$25,000 had been split between ReMax Realty and Coldwell Banker, Forehand. This fee was not discussed in any meeting that I am aware of. Any Board decision on the payment of this fee would have to have happened in open session to be legal.

Records subpoenaed by the Grand Jury included the copy of a purchase proposal signed by Clayton C. Bryant, Sr., on 3/10/03. This document contains the statement 'Seller Agrees to pay a 10% Brokerage Fee to ReMax Advantage Plus at closing, 50% of which shall then be paid to the Selling Agency.' ReMax Advantage Plus is listed as Listing Company and Coldwell Banker, Forehand & Co. as the Selling Company. This proposal had a selling price of \$200,000.00. This is my first knowledge of this document. The fax cover sheet to this document is dated 3/08/03 with ReMax logo. It is addressed to Clayton/Leighton from Sherry Honeycutt. It would appear, from this document, that Sherry Honeycutt may have originated the notion of the seller paying a

10% Brokerage Fee. Why did the County need a listing and selling agent since the land was not listed and the County planned to advertise the land to the public for sealed bids?

Another document in the Special Grand Jury files is a handwritten note dated 5/16/03 from Leighton to Vivian. It contains the notation '50% of the 10% ReMax-Coldwell Banker.'

The sales price is listed as \$250,000.00. Also listed on the document is this note, 'Call Jill & Clayton.' This disputes Attorney Jill Dickerson's statements made at the December 12, 2006 Board meeting where she claimed she had no knowledge of what Coldwell Banker or ReMax did for the \$25,000.00 they received. Sherry Honeycutt also faxed a copy of the contract to Jill Dickerson on 5/16/03 with these remarks: 'Enclosed is the contract with Clayton's initials. Is this sufficient? Vivian is representing Clayton. Please close ASAP.'

Leighton Robertson's statements to the investigator for the Special Grand Jury is as follows: 'a sales price of \$250,000 was drawn up in a sales contract, but Jill Dickerson, the County Attorney, advised the county to open it up for public bids first. No one expressed interest in the property at the price agreed to, so the bid went to Bryant for \$250,000. The sales price was changed to \$225,000 with a stipulation that Bryant would pay the real estate commission on the sales price of \$250,000.' Robertson stated, 'it would be six of one and half dozen of the other depending on how you looked at it. Bryant paid the commission or the County; it all came out to \$250,000.' This Board never agreed to return \$25,000 from the sales price of \$250,000 to Clayton Bryant so he could pay the Realtors. Under these circumstances, the County should have received a check for \$250,000. It appears that Bryant was merely a conduit through which an unauthorized payment of \$25,000 of county funds could be paid to the two Realtors. What did Sherry Honeycutt and Leighton Robertson do for the \$25,000? The record only reveals a few phone calls and preparation of a contract. The County Attorney, Jill Dickerson, handles real estate transactions in her private practice and Clayton Bryant was represented by Vivian Seay. Certainly they could have handled this sale.

The Special Grand Jury report vindicated those of us who believed that there was wrongdoing. The December 2005 discovery of missing bids from the file and the settlement sheet which revealed the \$25,000 payment to the two real estate firms further heightened our suspicions.

The inclusion of the contract with Clayton Bryant in the December, 2006 Board packet raised a number of questions as well as answered some. This contract, which was signed by Clayton Bryant on 4/11/03 and by William G. Fore on 5/14/03, had been kept secret from the time it was signed in 2003 until December 2006. The contract revealed that a \$1,000.00 deposit was paid on 4/10/03. This lends credibility to the 4/24/03 citizen tip, indicating that Clayton Bryant had purchased the land and planned to clear the timber and build townhouses. Clayton Bryant did purchase the land, harvested the timber and sold the land. Ultimately the land was purchased by a firm that applied for a Special Use Permit to build townhouses.

After receiving all the materials regarding this land transaction; including the summary of interviews by the State Police investigator, and documents acquired by the Special Grand Jury through subpoenas and other sources, it is unequivocally demonstrated that Clayton Bryant was favored in a number of ways in acquiring this valuable property at an incredibly low price.

1. Mr. Bryant had the advantage of a private negotiation while the Board alleged that the land was to be sold by sealed bids. In other words, Mr. Bryant was coached on what to bid.
2. He was advantaged by having knowledge of the sale, therefore he was better able to respond to a short notice for bids.
3. He was advantaged by the ads appearing in only one local newspaper.
4. He was able to pay his realtor fees from County money.

I have some concerns about the Special Grand Jury Investigation. My statements are in no way intended to criticize the actions of the Grand Jury. The Special Grand Jury is composed of lay people, often called upon to decide complex legal questions. I applaud the work of the citizens who served on this Grand Jury. Their

service did advance the knowledge we have on what happened in this land deal which caused great financial loss to the Prince Edward County Citizens and diminished citizen respect for this Board.

My concerns are:

1. The Grand Jury did not confront and question any of the witnesses called, but rather reviewed the State Police investigator's summary of statements made to him by only witnesses. Since no audio or video recordings exist of these discussions, we don't know what actually was said or what questions were asked.
2. Due to the differing versions of what happened, I expected that, at least, some witnesses would have been questioned under oath.
3. We have more knowledge of what happened but we are still without the linking of the responsible individuals to some of the acts of wrongdoing. For example, Concern #5 in the Special Grand Jury Report needs clarification. The reader of this concern could believe that offers were made to the Board and that the Board rejected a \$250,000.00 offer and agreed to pay \$25,000.00 in realtors fees. No such action occurred. I maintain that the contract, with or without changes, was not presented to the Board on April 11, April 15, nor at any other time. The contract was negotiated secretly and kept away from the Board until December 2006.

Any authorization by this Board to accept a certain price for public property must be done in open session. Any notion that such decisions were made in closed session is illegal. We do not know what certain Board Members said off the record. We do know that the Chairman of this Board signed the documents transferring valuable public property to a buyer with whom he or his agent negotiated with while representing to the public that the property was being offered through sealed bids. Extending such favoritism to the successful purchaser of the 73.88 acres of valuable County property, resulted in great financial loss to the County. It is his, the Chairman's, initialed authorization in the contract to pay the \$25,000.00 realtor fees.

It is now the responsibility of this Board to take proper corrective actions regarding the conduct of certain individuals in this matter. It is my opinion that the

Board can restore some of the confidence and respect the Citizens of Prince Edward County may have had in us by taking these corrective actions. Thank you.”

Chairman Fore thanked Mr. Ward and asked if there were any further comments.

Mrs. Gilfillan said she would not repeat the concerns about the finances and the amount, but wanted to bring attention to the fact that, at least for the last six years, Route 15 from Route 64 South has been identified in the State of Virginia as a high-growth corridor. She said a fair assessment of the land should have been made before it was sold. She also said a second problem was that the citizens had been asking for four years to address the issue and it had to go to a Grand Jury. She said she felt that the Grand Jury was necessary “because we, as a government, were not as open and forthcoming with our citizens as we’re supposed to be. It’s our responsibility to answer and address fully and completely questions from our citizens.” She said she recently attended two Freedom of Information Act sessions, and both stressed that all individuals’ questions need full and complete answers. She added that on the anniversary of September 11th, “we tell the entire world that what happened September 11th in part was so terrible because we’re a nation that puts each and every individual on equal footing. We tell the entire world that we’re a government of the people, by the people and for the people, and frankly what I wish we would tell the world is that we’re a government that’s working to be of the people, by the people and for the people, because we’re not there yet. But it’s our duty in the government to represent each and every person...”

Mrs. Gilfillan made a motion to thank the Grand Jury, to accept their report including their concerns about misfeasance, and to pledge to have formal procedures in place that relate to their recommendations so that this type of situation will never happen in our County again.

The motion carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	William G. Fore, Jr.	
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Robert M. Jones	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

Chairman Fore added that the Grand Jury recommended “the Board promulgate and adopt policies and procedures related to the purchase and/or sale of real property by the County. The Special Grand Jury further recommends that the Board include regulations dealing with issues related to County owned surplus property, disposal of County owned property and the methods of sale of County owned property and how and where to advertise the sale of County owned property for maximum return.” Chairman Fore asked Mr. Moore and Mrs. Cooper-Jones to serve on a committee with the County Administrator and County Attorney to draft a policy for Board consideration by the October 2007 meeting. Both Mr. Moore and Mrs. Cooper-Jones accepted the appointment.

In Re: Consent Agenda

On motion of Mrs. Cooper-Jones and carried:

<p>Aye: Pattie Cooper-Jones William G. Fore, Jr. Sally W. Gilfillan Robert M. Jones Charles W. McKay James C. Moore Howard F. Simpson Lacy B. Ward</p>	<p>Nay: None</p>
---	------------------

the Board accepted the June 2007 Treasurer’s report; and approved the minutes of the August 14, 2007 meeting; the Accounts & Claims; an Event/Festival Application from the Riverside Community Church; a new Sheriff’s Department Position of Courtroom Officer with an estimated total cost in FY 08 of approximately \$9,500 and in FY 09 of approximately \$16,500; and an amendment to the County’s Travel Policy setting the County’s Mileage Reimbursement Rate equal to that established by the IRS for business travel.

June, 2007

Fund balances were as follows:

General Fund-----	\$ 122,659.31	
General Fund Reserved for Investment-----	6,014,437.23	
		6,137,096.54*
Prince Edward Community Development Fund-----	2,500.00	
Industrial Development Authority Fund-----	1,507,375.53	
Recreation Fund Reserved for Investments-----	25,388.34	
Forfeited Assets Fund Reserved for Investment-----	155,555.45	
School Capital Projects Fund—VPSA-----	28,126.06	

School Capital Projects Fund—QZAB01-----	16,132.21
Underground Storage Tank	
Liability Fund Reserved for Inventory-----	20,000.00
Board of Public Welfare Special Account-----	2,280.68
Piedmont ASAP Fund-----	158,743.19
School Fund-----	0.00
Landfill Construction Fund-----	351,327.08
PCS Fund-----	188,869.10
Revenue Sharing Fund-----	58,865.86
Retirement Benefits Fund-----	8,074.00
School Capital Projects Fund—QZAB02-----	761,548.17
DARE Donations Fund-----	4,884.58
School Cafeteria Fund-----	283,591.06
	\$9,710,357.85

Cash accounts were as follows:

Cash in Office-----	1,000.00
Cash in Banks-----	2,126,194.86
Warrants Payable (School Fund)-----	(1,296,727.08)
General Fund Investments-----	6,014,437.23
VPSA Investments-----	28,126.06
QZAB01 Investments-----	16,132.21
Underground Storage Tank Fund-----	20,000.00
Recreation Fund Investments-----	25,388.34
QZAB02 Investments-----	761,548.17
Landfill Construction Fund for Investment-----	351,327.08
Forfeited Asset Fund for Investment-----	155,555.45
Industrial Development Authority Fund for Investments-----	1,507,375.53
	\$9,710,357.85

*Of this \$6,137,096.54 in the General Fund, \$0.00 is encumbered for:

Transfers to:	
School Fund	\$ 0.00
VPA Fund	0.00
Debt Obligations	0.00
Total	\$0.00

This leaves an unencumbered balance of \$6,137,096.54 in the General Fund.

STATEMENT OF DEPOSITORY BALANCES

Balances as of June, 2007:

<u>Checking Accounts:</u>	
Benchmark Community Bank	306,213.68
Wachovia Bank	195,167.24
BB&T	4,819,264.43
Bank of America	282,689.11
	\$5,603,334.46

Investment Accounts:

Mentor Investments – Stock Account	\$0.00
Benchmark Community Bank	749,315.66
Wachovia Bank	200,000.00
Citizens Bank & Trust Company	535,000.00
BB&T	784,991.17
Planters Bank & Trust	700,000.00
Mentor Investments	154,775.79
SNAP (State Non-Arbitrage Plan)	28,126.06
Bank of America	953,816.72

\$4,106,025.40

Mrs. Gilfillan asked for future consideration that the Board change from the “Accounts & Claims” to a “Budget Actual Statement.” She said these items have been approved in the budget, and it would be more significant to know if the expenditures were over or under what was approved.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Business Card	Postage-90.20	
	VACo Symposium-40.00	130.20
Rochette’s Florist	Corsage	12.50
Farmville Herald	Advertising	470.25

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Key Office Supply	Copier maintenance contract-686.00	
	Office supplies-128.63	814.63
Pitney Bowes, Inc.	Postage meter rental	92.25
AT&T	Phone	190.20
VITA	Pager rental	16.36
Embarq	Phone	441.76
U.S. Cellular	Phone	75.22
Business Card	Lodging-184.24	
	Meals-74.33	
	VACo Symposium-40.00	
	Fees-90.16	388.73
Diamond Springs	Office supplies	15.45
Moonstar BBS	DSL	95.00

COMMISIONER OF REVENUE

AT&T	Phone	75.84
VITA	Online service	125.38
Ntelos	Internet	19.95
Embarq	Phone	202.10
VALECO	07-08 Dues	80.00

TREASURER

AT&T	Phone	98.67
VITA	Online service	134.74
Embarq	Phone	192.05
Virginia Employment Commission	Online service	100.00

Pitney Bowes, Inc.	Equipment lease	1,912.02
Mable Shanaberger	Mileage	114.81
BAI Treasurers User Group	Training	30.00
Key Office Supply	Office supplies	322.54
Matthew Bender & Company, Inc.	Virginia Code 04 replacement	47.65

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Business Data of Virginia, Inc.	Travel expenses	500.00
Virginia Interactive	Website prototypes	3,000.00
Computerplus Sales & Service	Power supply	345.90

ELECTORAL BOARD AND OFFICIALS

UniLect Corporation	Voting machines	16,100.00
---------------------	-----------------	-----------

REGISTRAR

AT&T	Phone	49.02
Embarq	Phone	143.64
Dale L. Bolt	Lodging-575.46	
	Meals-71.90	
	Mileage-222.13	869.49
VITA	Online service	3.25
Key Office Supply	Office supplies	40.95

CIRCUIT COURT

AT&T	Phone	50.67
Embarq	Phone	168.86
Key Office Supply	Office supplies	9.55
Virginia Lawyers Weekly	Subscription	149.50

GENERAL DISTRICT COURT

AT&T	Phone-Juv. Prob.-203.06	
	Phone-J&D-96.31	
	Phone-Gen. Dist. Court-95.32	394.69
Embarq	Phone-J&D-94.10	
	Phone-Juv. Prob.-106.10	
	Phone-Gen. Dist. Court-307.07	507.27
U.S. Cellular	Phone	37.61

SPECIAL MAGISTRATES

AT&T	Phone	61.50
Embarq	Phone	85.88
VITA	Pager rental	16.36

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

AT&T	Phone	97.19
Embarq	Phone	273.91

LAW LIBRARY

AT&T	Phone	33.13
------	-------	-------

COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY

Kinex Networking Solutions	Technician labor-37.50	
	Internet-49.95	
	Router-79.95	167.40
Pitney Bowes Financial Service	Lease agreement	134.73
AT&T	Phone	143.49

Embarq	Phone	280.42
VALECO	Dues	60.00

VICTIM WITNESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Cindy Sams	Mileage-44.50	
	Meal-10.08	54.58
AT&T	Phone	57.82
Embarq	Phone	47.09

SHERIFF

AT&T	Phone	609.36
Embarq	Phone	561.13
Embarq Communications, Inc.	Phone	23.93
U. S. Cellular	Phone	697.77
Richard Hurak	Meals	43.22
Diamond Springs	Office supplies	63.40
Staples Business Advantage	Office supplies	829.97
Apple Time, Inc.	Barrier tape	73.91
Cross Match Technologies	Livescan software	100.00
Sirchie Finger Print Labs	Biohazard disposable bags	101.77
Century Uniform-Raleigh	Hat	61.00
Southern Police Equipment Company	Bullet-proof vests-990.00	
	Handcuff key-11.48	
	Holsters-131.97	
	Key strap & clip-19.48	
	Belt keepers & case-111.26	
	Pants-42.99	
	Gun magazines-71.99	
	Shoes-41.99	1,421.16

FARMVILLE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

A-Plus	Accountability tags	9.00
Commtronics of Virginia	Radio repairs	247.60
Farmville Volunteer Fire Department	Insurance-904.55	
	Inspection-16.00	
	Postage-43.15	
	Internet-9.95	
	Cell phone service-81.73	1,055.38
Goodman Truck & Tractor	Hose	48.24
NAFECO, Inc.	Pants-24.73	
	Iron case-236.95	261.68
Singer Association Fire Equipment	Installed radios	8,001.97
Town of Farmville	Gas	209.16

PROSPECT VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

Chesterfield Insurers	Life/accident insurance	5,188.00
Citizens Bank & Trust Company	Truck payment	3,424.73
Farmville Auto Parts	Antifreeze & hose-27.31	
	Oil & filters-89.54	
	Gasket-15.63	
	Filter-5.20	
	Degreaser-6.03	143.71
Farmville Automotive & Tire Center	Oil change-35.00	
	Straighten steering-49.75	84.75
M&W Fire Apparatus, Inc.	Pump sensor-288.66	
	Boots-293.29	581.95

Pamplin Exxon	Fuel & inspection	143.40
Shanaberger & Sons	Mower belt	30.00
Jack L. Slagle Fire Equipment	Valve repair kits	152.80
Embarq	Phone	65.57
Town of Farmville	Fuel	120.92
VFIS	Auto insurance-2,817.00	
	Commercial excess insurance-375.00	
	Package insurance-1,799.00	4,991.00
Dominion Virginia Power	Electric service	308.37

HAMPDEN-SYDNEY VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

AT&T	Phone	37.29
Arcet Equipment Company	Oxygen exchange-17.92	
	Compressed air-99.82	117.74
Davis Pontiac	Inspection	51.00
National Fire & Rescue	Subscription	25.00
Embarq	Phone	38.24
Dominion Virginia Power	Electric service	331.26
Walmart	Truck cleaning supplies	26.40
Standby Systems, Inc.	Generator maintenance	498.00

PAMPLIN VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

Pamplin Volunteer Fire Department	Door spring/adjustment-260.00	
	Fuel-208.51	468.51
Verizon	Phone	104.47
Dominion Virginia Power	Electric service	342.30

MEHERRIN VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

Safe Air Systems, Inc.	Serviced scuba equipment	539.62
Roy C. Jenkins, Inc.	Diesel-879.98	
	Gas-227.43	1,107.41
U.S. Cellular	Phone	155.84
Verizon	Phone	106.84
Dominion Virginia Power	Electric service	355.46

FOREST FIRE PREVENTION

State Forester	Forest fire control	7,127.30
----------------	---------------------	----------

EMERGENCY SERVICES

Timmons Group	System maintenance	640.00
Korman Signs	Signs & hardware	247.85
Vernon Company	County maps	3,557.64

REGIONAL JAIL & DETENTION

Family Preservation Services	Electronic monitor	713.00
------------------------------	--------------------	--------

BUILDING OFFICIAL

Treasurer of Virginia	April-June Permit levy	321.53
U.S. Cellular	Phone	37.61

ANIMAL CONTROL

Ridge Animal Hospital	Vet care	128.88
Blue Tarp Financial, Inc.	Brick set & chisel-39.74	
	Material to install air conditioner-60.19	
	Caulk gun & silicone-18.38	118.31

Walmart	Air conditioner-96.00	
	Office supplies-14.80	
	Food & litter-105.22	
	Boots-39.83	255.85
U.S. Cellular	Phone	75.22
Davis Pontiac	Truck repairs	154.69
VACA	Conference registration	130.00
VITA	Pager rental	16.36
Harwood & Son Insurance	Bond	100.00

BIOSOLIDS MONITORING

U. S. Cellular	Phone	39.11
----------------	-------	-------

REFUSE DISPOSAL

Resource International	Solid waste management plan-390.85	
	Phase I closure-58.00	
	Miscellaneous work tasks-1,262.70	
	Storm water permit compliance-446.98	
	Cell D Construction documents-1,300.00	
	Groundwater monitoring-9,472.15	12,930.68
Blue Tarp Financial, Inc.	Tank tie-down-20.19	
	Broom-19.10	
	Rake-53.97	
	Shovel-37.79	131.05
Poulston's	Virso site air conditioner	119.00
Southern States	Roundup	291.00
O. O. Stiff, Inc.	Monthly service	577.50
Dave M. Walker	Cleaning box site	250.00
Farmville Auto Parts	Gear lube	9.44
Arena Trucking Company	Trash collection	494.00
Wright's Excavating	Landfill operation	42,187.50
Emanuel Tire of Virginia	Tire recycling	1,497.00
AT&T	Phone	271.02
Embarq	Phone	195.75
U.S. Cellular	Phone	37.61
Verizon	Phone	67.29

SANDY RIVER RESERVOIR

Draper Aden Associates	SRR Financial analysis-3,546.74	
	SRR Protection plan-2,180.00	5,726.74

GENERAL PROPERTIES

Servicemaster	Janitorial service	4,875.83
Putney Mechanical Company, Inc.	Replaced compressor	3,500.00
O. O. Stiff, Inc.	Monthly service	100.00
Southside Electric Cooperative	Electric service-64.58	
	Electric service-SRR-32.09	96.67
Dominion Virginia Power	Animal shelter-71.44	
	Industrial Park street lights-62.08	
	Roy Clark monument-12.24	
	Leachate pump-337.31	
	Scalehouse-49.81	
	Shop-39.12	
	Rice site-42.63	
	Cell C pump station-17.84	
	Moore building-295.71	

	Green Bay site-59.30	
	Sheriff Department shed-5.50	
	Worsham Clerk office-53.04	
	Lights at Rice-65.92	
	Worsham site-49.69	
	Prospect site-48.11	
	Landfill site-33.99	1,243.73
Town of Farmville	Water & sewer	252.69
AT&T	Phone	33.65
Embarq	Line to hospital-7.97	
	Phone-63.06	71.03
U.S. Cellular	Phone	188.14
Aramark Uniform Services	Janitorial supplies	174.20
Walmart	Cleaning supplies	36.48
Wilco, Inc.	Janitorial supplies	865.80
Air Conditioning Equipment	Actuator	720.00
Ayers Building & Supply Company	No Trespassing signs	11.30
Blue Tarp Financial, Inc.	No Hunting signs-9.90	
	Tape rule/Sharpie-29.26	
	Safety equipment-7.68	
	Old English polish-11.98	
	Maintenance supplies-10.99	69.81
VITA	Pager rental	33.62
East End Chevron	Ice	80.84
Farmville Auto Parts	Exhaust fan belt-9.38	
	Antifreeze-10.27	19.65
Farmville Wholesale Electric	Bulbs	417.28
Grant's Glass	Maintenance supplies	12.00
OK Termite & Pest Control	Exterminating service	150.00
Price Supply Company, Inc.	Maintenance supplies-23.23	
	Toilet repair kit-33.10	56.33
Southern States	Roundup	94.95

CANNERY

Price Supply Company, Inc.	Maintenance supplies	11.04
Putney Mechanical Company, Inc.	Cut & tread pipe	12.00
Southside Electric Cooperative	Electric service	119.81
AT&T	Phone	47.02
Can Corporation of America, Inc.	Cans & lids	1,679.84
Virginia Department of Taxation	Sales Use tax on cans	83.99

COMPREHENSIVE SERVICE ACT

Latrind Abdus Sabur	Foster care	225.00
Rickey Brown	Foster care	483.00
Business Card	Foster care	226.84
Crossroads Services Board	Foster care-88.00	
	Professional services-4,956.10	5,044.10
Elk Hill	Professional services	700.00
Family Preservation Services	Professional services	151.25
Juanita Fisher	Foster care	230.00
Grafton School, Inc.	Professional services	26,097.00
Heartland Family Counseling	Professional services	3,380.00
Heritage Weekday Education Center	Foster care	230.36
Christy Johnson	Foster care	799.00
Lakeisha Lawson	Foster care	862.00
Ernestine Lee	Foster care	862.00

Angela Uhrich	Foster care	4,136.00
Walmart	Foster care	170.14
Carrie Ziegfeld	Foster care	217.00
Key Office Supply	Folders	75.58

PLANNING

U. S. Cellular	Phone	75.22
Jonathan Pickett	Mileage & tolls	334.98

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Farmville Herald	Advertising	218.50
AT&T	Phone	63.47
Moonstar BBS	Web hosting-15.00	
	DSL-45.00	60.00
Business Card	Meals-34.73	
	UPS & fees-43.80	78.53
Sharon Lee Carney	Mileage/parking/tolls	131.65
Lunenburg County Treasurer	Virginia's Retreat Consortium	4,300.00
OCO Industries, Inc.	Antibacterial wipes	92.16
Magi VanEps	Four way key	6.96
Creative Office Environment	File cabinet	767.92

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION OFFICE

Embarq	Phone	93.66
--------	-------	-------

CAPITAL PROJECTS

Luck Stone Corporation	Stone-Virso site	14,343.94
Powers Signs, Inc.	Balance due-Industrial Park signs	15,275.00

DEBT SERVICE

Rural Development	Courthouse loan payment	16,626.00
-------------------	-------------------------	-----------

RETIREMENT BENEFIT FUND

Vicki K. Johns	Retiree benefit	959.00
Anthem BCBS	Retiree health insurance	2,718.00

ENTERPRISE ZONE

Carbone Kirkwood, LLC	Enterprise Zone shortfall	14,821.00
Daily Grind	Enterprise Zone shortfall	12,059.00
Farmville Mini-Storage, LLC	Enterprise Zone shortfall	17,467.00
FV-CBA, LLC	Enterprise Zone shortfall	49,240.00
Main & High Streets Investors, LLC	Enterprise Zone shortfall	46,227.00
Paris Ceramics USA, Inc.	Enterprise Zone shortfall	49,239.00
Russell Enterprises, LLC	Enterprise Zone shortfall	49,240.00
Southside Community Nursing Home, Inc.	Enterprise Zone shortfall	49,240.00
119 Third Street, LLC	Enterprise Zone shortfall	49,240.00

REVENUE SHARING FUND – VDOT

Draper Aden Associates	Via Sacra design	550.00
------------------------	------------------	--------

PIEDMONT COURT SERVICES

Dominion Virginia Power	Electric service	181.28
AT&T	Phone	343.16
Embarq	Phone	230.80
Sheena Franklin	Mileage	180.66

Sharon Gray	Mileage	100.11
Ashley Hricko	Mileage	68.07
Renee T. Maxey	Mileage	104.74
Rebecca Moss	Mileage	95.89
Lawrence Randolph	Mileage	145.51
Charles Squires	Mileage	40.48
Kinex Networking Solutions	Reinstall system	150.00

PCS SUPERVISION FEES EXPENDITURES

SRP Corporation, LLC	Rent	1,550.00
Page Hardy	Cleaning service	120.00

PCS DRUG TESTING FEES

Kroll Laboratory	Drug testing	15.57
------------------	--------------	-------

HANDWRITTEN CHECKS

William S. Coe, Jr.	Dehumidifiers (3)	752.85
---------------------	-------------------	--------

ADDENDUM BILL LIST

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Business Data of Virginia, Inc.	Norton Anti-virus	49.99
Ranson's, Inc.	Battery	9.98

ASSESSOR

Wampler-Eanes Appraisal	Reassessment	19,278.90
-------------------------	--------------	-----------

TREASURER

ComputerPlus Sales & Service	Maintenance contracts	581.70
------------------------------	-----------------------	--------

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Business Data of Virginia, Inc.	Travel expense-125.00	
	Monthly contract-2,800.00	2,925.00
ComputerPlus Sales & Service	Service contract	270.00

REGISTRAR

U. S. Postal Service	Box J rent	104.00
----------------------	------------	--------

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

International Land Systems	Replacement battery	159.87
Whitecom Systems	Alarm monitoring	258.00

COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY

Edward A. Mann	Postage	9.40
Key Office Supply	Office supplies-24.00	
	Color formatter-799.00	823.00

SHERIFF

Town of Farmville	Gas	7,697.55
-------------------	-----	----------

RICE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

C. W. Williams	Chrome plates/handlock-532.10	
	Foamjet twister-106.64	
	Top mount adapter-216.11	854.85

Elecom, Inc.	Radio repairs-44.12	
	Radios-2,284.00	2,328.12
Farmville Wholesale Electric	Extension cord retraction wheel-181.73	
	Plugs/connectors-39.33	221.06
Fire & Safety Equipment Company	Calibration kit	375.00
Goodman Truck & Tractor	Replace truck radiator	6,701.33
Safe Air Systems, Inc.	Air test/service	547.53
Singer Associates Fire Equipment	Spot/flood lamp	81.13
Embarq	Phone	88.32
Dominion Virginia Power	Electric service	362.39
Burkeville Auto Parts	Creeper/truck wash pad	74.38

REGIONAL JAIL & DETENTION

Piedmont Regional Juvenile Detention Center	Juvenile detention	13,425.00
--	--------------------	-----------

BUILDING OFFICIAL

Town of Farmville	Gas	293.08
-------------------	-----	--------

ANIMAL CONTROL

Newman Tire Company, Inc.	Flat repair	10.88
Town of Farmville	Gas	738.07
M&W Printers, Inc.	Dog tags	1,334.40

BIOSOLIDS MONITORING

Town of Farmville	Gas	388.73
-------------------	-----	--------

REFUSE DISPOSAL

STEPS, Inc.	Recycling fee	2,270.00
AT&T	Phone	43.88
Newman Tire Company, Inc.	Flat repair	5.00
Town of Farmville	Gas	1,853.13
Jimmy's Service Center	Trash truck maintenance	262.40

GENERAL PROPERTIES

McQuay Service	Chiller maintenance contract	2,181.00
Dominion Virginia Power	Courthouse-10,581.02	
	Ag building-1,384.32	11,965.34
Fisher Auto Parts, Inc.	Charger	59.99
Newman Tire Company, Inc.	Flat repairs (mower)	23.83
Cintas Corporation #524	Uniform rental	518.11
Town of Farmville	Gas	907.91

CHAPTER X BOARD

Crossroad Services Board	Local support	15,660.75
--------------------------	---------------	-----------

COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES ACT

Betty Baldwin	Foster care	862.00
Centra Health	Professional service	2,185.00
Cumberland Hospital	Professional service	6,615.00
Dominion Youth Services	Professional services	6,061.18
Hallmark YouthCare-Richmond	Professional services	3,440.00
New Dominion School-Boys	Professional services	8,680.00
Presbyterian Home & Family Service	Foster care	7,331.50
Richard Lee	Foster care	30.00
Vanguard Service Unlimited	Professional services	11,067.00

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Draper Aden Associates	Mapping update	1,752.50
Southside Messenger	Advertising	110.00
Embarq	Phone	183.86

In Re: Appropriation – Sheriff’s Department

On motion of Mr. Moore and approved by the following vote:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	William G. Fore, Jr.	
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Robert M. Jones	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors approved the following appropriations for the new Courtroom Officer position for the Sheriff’s Department:

3-100-23000-0020	Shared Expenses-State	\$30,558
3	From General Fund Balance	\$ 8,928
4-100-31200-1100	Salary & Wages	\$28,507
4-100-31200-2100	FICA	\$ 2,181
4-100-31200-2210	VRS	\$ 3,372
4-100-31200-2300	Health Insurance	\$ 4,530
4-100-31200-2400	Group Life Insurance	\$ 285
4-100-31200-2600	Unemployment	\$ 33
4-100-31200-2700	Workers Comp	\$ 578

In Re: Appropriation – 2005 State Enterprise Zone Shortfall

Chairman Fore said the County received \$336,773 from the Tobacco Commission to be used to restore the 2005 State Enterprise Zone shortfall for certain business entities in Prince Edward County. He said the expenditure was approved with the Bill List, and the appropriation was needed to pass the funds to the eligible businesses.

On motion made by Mr. McKay and carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones William G. Fore, Jr. Sally W. Gilfillan Robert M. Jones Charles W. McKay James C. Moore Howard F. Simpson Lacy B. Ward	Nay: None
------	---	-----------

the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors approved a \$336,773 appropriation for the Enterprise Zone shortfall to Revenue Account #3-737-24040-0001 and Expenditure Account #4-737-81500-0001.

In Re: 2006-07 Year-End Appropriations

Chairman Fore stated in order to comply with SAS-112 auditing standards, invoices for purchases or services provided prior to July 1, 2007 were posted to FY-07. Appropriations of \$321.53 for the Building Official's account, and \$230.36 for Comprehensive Services were necessary.

On motion of Mr. Moore and carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones William G. Fore, Jr. Sally W. Gilfillan Robert M. Jones Charles W. McKay James C. Moore Howard F. Simpson Lacy B. Ward	Nay: None
------	---	-----------

the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors approved the appropriations as follows:

3-100	From General Fund Balance	\$551.89
4-100-34100-5880	Building Official-Permit Surcharge	\$321.53
4-100-53500-3160	Comp Services Act-Programs	\$230.36

In Re: Highway Matters

Mr. Alan Leatherwood, Resident Highway Engineer, updated the Board on the progress of several projects. He said there had been no change on Route 613, which had two parcels outstanding and one other parcel that needed to be re-signed. A brief discussion on the landowners followed. He also said there had been no change on the Route 751 project which had three parcels outstanding.

Mr. Leatherwood reminded the Board political signs were not to be placed in the right of way, and said there had been issues in other counties where the supporters had chastised VDOT staff for removing

signs from highway property. He said there had been no problem in Prince Edward County, but if one should arise, he should be contacted personally.

Mr. Simpson said the residents on Lake Drive, Route 676, wanted to petition for a reduction in the speed limit to 25 mph. Mr. Leatherwood said he wasn't sure it would qualify for that much of a reduction, but it may be reduced.

Mr. Moore asked when the dip would be attended to on Route 607, as it was a hazard. Mr. Leatherwood said the road was safe at the current speed limit, but that it would be repaired when the contractor returns, sometime prior to December 1, 2007.

Mr. Moore asked about the bridges on Route 634 and Route 633. Mr. Leatherwood said the bridge on 634 is being backfilled currently, and expected a September 20th completion date. He added the bridge on Route 633 had been inspected, and that all bridges are inspected every two years.

Mr. Jones said he noticed asphalt is being spread on the secondary roads, and asked why they were "lined" two weeks ago. Mr. Leatherwood said the contractor from the South Hill residency, carried over from when their office's residency was part of the Central Operations out of Richmond. He said their office didn't know the contractors were in the area.

Chairman Fore said the Board received a letter from William Hogan, President of the Pamplin Volunteer Rescue Squad, Fire Department and EMS, regarding a section of Highway 460 West with an excessive number of accidents. Mr. Hogan's letter stated they frequently respond to accidents in the eastbound lane of Route 460, approximately one-half mile east of Five Forks Road (Rt. 658), between Five Forks Road and Price Lane. The letter had been sent to see if anything could be done about the section of roadway. According to Mr. Hogan's letter, since 2000, there had been 12 accidents, including two fatalities, 12 personal injuries and \$212,500 in damages to property. A request was made for signs or replacement of the guard rail to prevent another serious accident or potential fatality. Mr. Fore asked for Mr. Leatherwood to conduct a study and make a recommendation on that section of road.

Mr. Leatherwood stated he would have the traffic engineers look into it

Mr. McKay asked that Route 706 be studied during the Six-Year Plan.

In Re: Virginia Department of Forestry

Mr. Tom Zaebst, Assistant State Forest Manager, presented a check for \$35,392.59 to the Board of Supervisors for the County's share of the proceeds of the sale of timber from Prince Edward-Gallion State Forest.

Mr. Moore asked if the land is being re-seeded. Mr. Zaebst said that it was and if it's in the Management Plan to be a pine stand, it's replanted; if it's in the Management Plan to be a hardwood stand, it is allowed to regenerate naturally. He added a balance is maintained in all of the forests.

In Re: QZAB Amendment

Dr. Patricia Watkins reported changes to the projects being funded by QZAB II. She said the plans include a digital video system, 50 cameras, DVD burners and a closed television system for security monitoring. She said it would be completed in three phases, and discussed the financing plan. Dr. Watkins said Phase I includes installation of 26 cameras and is expected to be completed in December. She reported the funding adjustments had been approved, but wished to keep the Board informed of the changes.

In Re: Resolution for Wyatt Lee Overton

On motion of Mr. McKay and carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay:	None
	William G. Fore, Jr.		
	Sally W. Gilfillan		
	Robert M. Jones		
	Charles W. McKay		
	James C. Moore		
	Howard F. Simpson		
	Lacy B. Ward		

the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors approved a Resolution of Appreciation and Respect to Mr. Wyatt Lee Overton.

Chairman Fore read and presented the Resolution to Mr. Overton. Mr. Overton thanked all for their cooperation and kindness during the 20 years he served as Commissioner of Revenue.

**Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia
A Resolution of Appreciation and Respect**

**Wyatt Lee Overton
Commissioner of Revenue
County of Prince Edward, Virginia
January 1, 1988 – August 31, 2007**

WHEREAS, Wyatt Lee Overton served as the Commissioner of Revenue of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia, from January 1, 1988 to August 31, 2007; and

WHEREAS, Wyatt Lee Overton served his fellow Prince Edward County citizens with uncommon commitment; and

WHEREAS, during his career in public service, Wyatt Lee Overton established a reputation for dedication to the duties and responsibilities of his office; now

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia hereby conveys its appreciation to Wyatt Lee Overton, for the service performed by him as Commissioner of Revenue of Prince Edward County and wishes him well in his retirement; and, be it

RESOLVED FURTHER, that a copy of this resolution be prepared for presentation to Wyatt Lee Overton as an expression of respect by the members of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia.

In Re: Resolution – Mr. Oliver W. Hill, Sr.

On motion of Mrs. Cooper-Jones and carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay:	None
	William G. Fore, Jr.		
	Sally W. Gilfillan		
	Robert M. Jones		
	Charles W. McKay		
	James C. Moore		
	Howard F. Simpson		
	Lacy B. Ward		

the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors adopted the following Resolution of Respect to Mr. Oliver White Hill, Sr.:

**Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia
A Resolution of Respect**

**Oliver White Hill, Sr.
May 1, 1907 – August 5, 2007**

WHEREAS, Oliver White Hill, Sr. was a native Virginian, born in Richmond in 1907; and

WHEREAS, Oliver White Hill, Sr. earned his undergraduate and law degrees from Howard University and practiced law in Virginia starting in 1934; and

WHEREAS, Oliver White Hill, Sr. became active in the national civil rights movement, using his law degree to serve as legal counsel in many of the Nation's most important civil rights cases establishing equal opportunity in education, employment, housing, transportation and the justice system; and

WHEREAS, Oliver W. Hill, Sr. represented the students of Robert Russa Moton High School in *Davis v. School Board of Prince Edward County, Virginia*, which became one of five cases consolidated in the 1954 landmark *Brown v. Board of Education* decision; and

WHEREAS, Oliver W. Hill, Sr. was an icon of the civil rights movement and a central figure in the history of Prince Edward County, Virginia;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia, does join other Virginians in honoring the life and legacy of Oliver White Hill, Sr. for his efforts to promote racial equality, and ensure equal opportunities and justice for all.

In Re: Dominion Power Easement for YMCA

Mrs. Sharon L. Carney, Director of Economic Development and Tourism, reported that Dominion Virginia Power requested an easement to install electrical power at the Prince Edward Business Park for the Southside Virginia Family YMCA. She said the pole placement would be on county property at the shared boundary line between the YMCA and a five-acre vacant parcel next to the Social Security Building on the north side of Commerce Drive. She added it would not hinder development of the vacant property as there is a 50-foot setback from each side.

Mr. Moore made a motion to approve the Dominion Power easement, and to authorize the Chairman and/or the County Administrator to execute the easement agreement with Dominion Power upon review and approval as to form by the County Attorney. The motion carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay:	None
	William G. Fore, Jr.		
	Sally W. Gilfillan		
	Robert M. Jones		
	Charles W. McKay		
	James C. Moore		
	Howard F. Simpson		
	Lacy B. Ward		

**A Resolution of the
Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia**

**Electrical Utility Easement to Dominion Virginia Power
For the Southside Virginia Family YMCA**

WHEREAS, Dominion Virginia Power owns and operates the electrical service system serving the Prince Edward County Industrial Park in PRINCE EDWARD COUNTY, VIRGINIA, and

WHEREAS, Dominion Virginia Power would like to install a power pole on the shared property line between the Southside Virginia Family YMCA property and 5 (five) vacant acres on the north side of Commerce Drive in the Prince Edward County Industrial Park,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia does hereby grant an electrical utility easement to Dominion Virginia Power for the installation of a power pole along the shared property line of the Southside Virginia Family YMCA and 5 (five) vacant acres on the north side of Commerce Drive at the Prince Edward County Industrial Park, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia does hereby authorize the Board of Supervisors Chairman and/or County Administrator to sign all necessary grant documents to execute the utility easement to Dominion Virginia Power.

In Re: Board and Commission Vacancies

The Board was advised that one Planning Commissioner's term and one Board of Zoning Appeals member's term would expire December 31, 2007. Mr. Chris Mason expressed interest in remaining on the Planning Commission, and Dr. W. W. Porterfield expressed interest in remaining on the BZA.

Mr. McKay made a motion to authorize advertisement of the Board and Commission vacancies for which the Board will make appointments at the December Board meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay:	None
	William G. Fore, Jr.		
	Sally W. Gilfillan		
	Robert M. Jones		
	Charles W. McKay		
	James C. Moore		
	Howard F. Simpson		
	Lacy B. Ward		

Appointments will be made during the December, 2007 meeting.

In Re: Appointments for County Administrator

The Board was advised it was necessary to appoint the County Administrator to various Boards.

On motion of Mr. Jones and carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones William G. Fore, Jr. Sally W. Gilfillan Robert M. Jones Charles W. McKay James C. Moore Howard F. Simpson Lacy B. Ward	Nay:	None
------	---	------	------

the Board of Supervisors appointed Mr. Wade Bartlett, County Administrator, to the following:

- CRC Chief Administrative Officers Committee
- Virginia's Heartland Regional Industrial Facilities Authority
- Piedmont Regional Juvenile Detention Board
- Alternate, Chief Local Elected Officials, Workforce Investment Board

Mr. Moore made a motion to approve designating Mrs. Sarah Elam Puckett as Mr. Bartlett's official Alternate. The motion carried unanimously.

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones William G. Fore, Jr. Sally W. Gilfillan Robert M. Jones Charles W. McKay James C. Moore Howard F. Simpson Lacy B. Ward	Nay:	None
------	---	------	------

In Re: Memorandum of Agreement: Commissioner of Revenue

The Board of Supervisors maintains a Cooperative Agreement with each Constitutional Officer regarding extending coverage of the County's personnel policies and procedures to all of their employees but not the elected official. A new agreement was required with the Commissioner of Revenue's office due to the retirement of Mr. Wyatt Lee Overton and the appointment of Mrs. Beverly Booth as Commissioner

of Revenue. Board members were advised that County Attorney had reviewed and approved the draft agreement.

On motion of Mrs. Cooper-Jones and carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay:	None
	William G. Fore, Jr.		
	Sally W. Gilfillan		
	Robert M. Jones		
	Charles W. McKay		
	James C. Moore		
	Howard F. Simpson		
	Lacy B. Ward		

the Board of Supervisors approved the Cooperative Agreement between the County and the Commissioner of Revenue and authorized the Chairman and the County Administrator to sign the agreement.

**COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AND THE COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE
OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD, VIRGINIA**

THIS COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT, effective as of September 1, 2007, between the Commissioner of Revenue and the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia.

ARTICLE I - SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

This agreement extends the coverage of the County's personnel policies and procedures to all employees of the Commissioner of Revenue. This Agreement recognizes that employees of the Commissioner of Revenue and other County employees all serve the residents of Prince Edward County.

Therefore, this Agreement seeks to establish a uniform personnel system so that the Commissioner of Revenue's employees will have the same rights and benefits and will be subject to the same policies, procedures and regulations as other County employees, except as provided herein. The inclusion of such employees in the County Pay and Classification Plan shall not change the status of such employees as appointees of a constitutional officer who serve at the will and pleasure of the Commissioner of Revenue and concurrent with the term of office of the Commissioner of Revenue; nor shall it deprive the Commissioner of Revenue of control over the actions of her appointees, but shall serve as the basis for supplementation of salaries as permitted by law.

Employees will be subject to the County's personnel policies and procedures, except the grievance procedure. All employees of the Commissioner of Revenue, whether funded by the Compensation Board or by the County, shall be placed on the County's pay plan, shall be eligible for the same benefits, and shall receive salary adjustments consistent with those received by other County Employees. This is an endeavor to maintain parity among County and Compensation Board funded positions as it pertains to general employee compensation.

The Commissioner of Revenue understands that future increases offered by the State through the Compensation Board will not be passed automatically to the staff due to the fact that they would be covered under the county system. Regardless of what the county pay and classification plan states it is understood that the salaries for the Commissioner of Revenue's staff will be no less than the salaries approved by the Compensation Board, as is required by state law. In the event that the salary established by the Compensation Board for a given position is higher than that determined by the County's pay plan, the salary set by the Compensation Board shall be applicable to the position.

Nothing in the Agreement shall be interpreted to infringe upon the authority of the Commissioner of Revenue to retain control over the operations of her office, including, without limitation, the authority to:

- Direct the work of employees and deputies;
- Hire, promote, transfer or appoint employees and deputies; and
- Discipline, suspend, demote, dismiss or terminate the appointment of any employee or deputy.

Such authority shall be exercised by the Commissioner of Revenue; however, the procedures shall be in accordance with the County's personnel policies and procedures. In addition, the Commissioner of Revenue's authority pursuant to Virginia Code Section 15.2-1603 to terminate the appointment of a deputy is not intended to be infringed by this Agreement.

The Commissioner of Revenue does not agree to include employees under the County Grievance Policy or Procedure, as the employees serve as an extension of the Commissioner of Revenue and derive all powers from the status of the Commissioner of Revenue as an elected Constitutional Officer.

The Commissioner of Revenue does agree to abide by all applicable State and Federal laws regarding FLSA, FMLA, EEO/AA and other such applicable statutes as applied to appointees of elected officials.

ARTICLE II - TIME OF PERFORMANCE

This Agreement shall commence as of September 1, 2007 and is effective until the below named Constitutional Officer leaves office.

ARTICLE III - LAWS, PERMITS AND RESTRICTIONS

This Agreement shall be governed in all respects, whether as to validity, construction, capacity or performance by the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

ARTICLE IV- POLICIES

The Commissioner of Revenue agrees to comply with the policies included in the County's Personnel Policy Manual, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 20, 2005, and which may be amended from time to time.

The Commissioner of Revenue and County agree that employees and deputies in the office of the Commissioner of Revenue shall be incorporated in the County Uniform Pay Plan, as approved by the Board of Supervisors on December 20, 2005, and which may be amended from time to time.

ARTICLE V - AMENDMENT TO POLICIES

The County agrees to provide the Commissioner of Revenue with written notice of any change to all policies and procedures, and any new policies within thirty (30) days after adoption by the Board. The Commissioner of Revenue agrees to respond in writing to the County within thirty (30) days of receipt of such notice as to his agreement or disagreement with such amended/new policy. No amendments or new policies may be incorporated within this agreement without the written consent of the Commissioner of Revenue and the County.

This Agreement constitutes the sole Agreement existing between the parties with respect to this subject matter and there are not other written or oral understandings or agreements with respect thereto. No variation or modification of this Agreement and no waiver of its provisions shall be valid unless agreed to in writing and signed by the County, and the Constitutional Officer.

ARTICLE VI - TERMINATION

- (1) This Agreement may be canceled by either party by giving thirty (30) days written notice to the other, or
- (2) This Agreement shall be suspended in the event Board of Supervisors fails to appropriate or allocate funds for the purpose of continuation of this Agreement, or
- (3) In the event of breach by either party to this Agreement, the other party may give written notice to the party deemed to be in breach specifying the manner in which the Agreement has been breached. If such notice of breach is given, the party sending the notice may suspend performance of any or all of its corresponding obligations under this Agreement, and if the party receiving the notice has not substantially corrected the breach within thirty (30) days of receipt of the written notice, the party sending the notice shall have the right to terminate this Agreement.

ARTICLE VII – PERSONNEL RECORDS AND REPORTS

The County Administrator or designee shall maintain the official time records of all employees of the Commissioner of Revenue. The Commissioner of Revenue agrees to keep and maintain current records of all of her employees regarding accumulated sick leave, annual leave, etc. and to report each pay period any usage. The Commissioner of Revenue agrees to provide the County Administrator or designee all relevant information needed to administer the Personnel Plan, upon request. Records and forms will be maintained and submitted in accordance with established procedures. Additionally, the Commissioner of Revenue agrees to provide an accounting as of September 1, 2007, of all accrued leave balances.

In Re: Personnel Committee Report

The Board was advised the Personnel Committee received a request from a retiring Constitutional Officer for consideration of compensation for unused leave. The Personnel Committee had County staff research the issue and report to them what current County policies were in place and also policies of other Counties across the state.

It was determined by the Personnel Committee that current County policy specifically precludes Constitutional Officers from being covered by the County's Personnel Policies, to include being compensated for accumulated leave balances. Additionally, Constitutional Officers do not accumulate leave, as they are elected officials and their leave time is determined solely by them.

The Personnel Committee recommended no change in County policy.

In Re: County Administrator's Report

Mr. Wade Bartlett provided a 10-year report of the County's revenues, expenditures and fund balances. He added an analysis is forthcoming and plans to have it completed by next month's meeting. This will present future year implications.

Mr. Bartlett said the revenues increased by a fairly substantial rate, and the expenditures had also grown, and the majority of increases were due to state and federal mandates. He said the analysis will help the Board make informed decisions in the future.

Mr. Bartlett recommended not having two Finance and Budget committees, as it had been his experience that the meetings would, in essence, be held twice as questions would be repeated. He stressed that all information is important to the entire Board.

In Re: Drought Disaster Resolution

Chairman Fore said a letter had been received from Bobby Long, Agricultural Extension Agent, requesting the Board petition the Governor for a drought disaster declaration due to insufficient spring and summer rainfalls coupled with sustained high temperatures during most of August, which caused significant losses to many crops in Prince Edward County.

On motion of Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. Moore, and carried by the following vote:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay:	None
	William G. Fore, Jr.		
	Sally W. Gilfillan		
	Robert M. Jones		
	Charles W. McKay		
	James C. Moore		
	Howard F. Simpson		
	Lacy B. Ward		

the Board of Supervisors adopted the following resolution requesting Governor Timothy M. Kaine declare Prince Edward County as a drought disaster area:

**A RESOLUTION OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD, VIRGINIA
REGARDING THE 2007 DROUGHT DISASTER**

WHEREAS, an analysis of crop and livestock conditions in the County of Prince Edward has determined that insufficient spring and summer rainfalls, coupled with the sustained high temperatures during most of August, have cause significant losses to many crops; and

WHEREAS, the estimated economic losses are currently valued at over \$1,275,000 and could escalate if adverse growing conditions persist; and

WHEREAS, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia to request that the Governor of Virginia declare the County of Prince Edward a drought disaster area;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward does hereby direct the County Administrator to file with the Governor of Virginia a request that the County of Prince Edward be designated a drought disaster area.

In Re: Sandy River Reservoir Protection Overlay District Meeting

The Board was advised of a public meeting on the Sandy River Reservoir Protection Overlay District. One purpose of the meeting is to share information and answer questions of citizens regarding the development of the proposed special district which may prescribe protective management practices for land areas around the Sandy River Reservoir. The meeting would be held Wednesday, September 12, 2007 at 7:00 p.m. in the Board of Supervisors room.

In Re: Library Committee Report

Mrs. Gilfillan advised the Board that the Library Committee will be touring other library facilities on September 20, 2007. An invitation to participate in the tour was extended to all Board members.

In Re: Strategic Economic Development Visioning Session

Board members were informed that the Commonwealth Regional Council will hold a meeting Thursday, September 20, 2007 at 6:00 p.m. to present the final report of the Strategic Economic Development Visioning Session.

In Re: Closed Session

Supervisor Cooper-Jones made a motion that the Board convene in Closed Session for the purpose of discussing the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position of the public body, pursuant to the exemptions of Section 2.2-3711 A(3) of the *Code of Virginia*. The motion carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones William G. Fore, Jr. Sally W. Gilfillan Robert M. Jones Charles W. McKay James C. Moore Howard F. Simpson Lacy B. Ward	Nay: None
------	---	-----------

The Board returned to regular session by motion of Mr. McKay and adopted as follows:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones William G. Fore, Jr. Sally W. Gilfillan Robert M. Jones Charles W. McKay James C. Moore Howard F. Simpson Lacy B. Ward	Nay: None
------	---	-----------

On motion of Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. Simpson, and carried by the following roll call vote:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones William G. Fore, Jr. Sally W. Gilfillan Robert M. Jones Charles W. McKay James C. Moore Howard F. Simpson Lacy B. Ward	Nay: None
------	---	-----------

the following Certification of Closed Meeting was adopted in accordance with the Virginia Freedom of Information Act:

WHEREAS, the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of The Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712 of the *Code of Virginia* requires a certification by this Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that to the best of each member's knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered by the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors.

In Re: Animal Warden's Report

Mr. Ray Foster, Animal Warden, submitted a report for the month of August 2007, which was reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: Building Official's Report

Mr. Coy Leatherwood, Building Inspector, submitted a report for the month of August 2007, which was reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: Cannery

Mrs. Lena Huddleston, Cannery Manager, submitted a report for the month of August 2007, which was reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: PERT Ridership Report

The Board reviewed the August 2007 report from PERT and ordered it to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: Financial Reports from Prince Edward County Schools

Dr. Patricia Watkins, School Superintendent, submitted a financial summary report and a comparative receipts and expenditures report for the month of August 2007, which were reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: Piedmont Regional Juvenile Detention

The Board reviewed a utilization report from the Piedmont Regional Juvenile Detention Center for the month of July 2007, and ordered that it be filed with the Board papers.

On motion of Mr. Moore and adopted by the following vote:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay:	None
	William G. Fore, Jr.		
	Sally W. Gilfillan		
	Robert M. Jones		
	Charles W. McKay		
	James C. Moore		
	Howard F. Simpson		
	Lacy B. Ward		

the meeting was adjourned at 9:20 p.m.