

March 14, 2006

At the regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, held at the Court House, thereof, on Tuesday the 14th day of March, 2006; at 7:00 p.m., there were present:

Howard F. Simpson, Vice-Chairman

Pattie Cooper-Jones

Sally W. Gilfillan

Charles W. McKay

James C. Moore

Lacy B. Ward

Absent: Chairman William G. Fore, Jr. and Supervisor Robert M. Jones

Also present: Mildred B. Hampton, County Administrator; Sarah Puckett, Assistant Administrator; Jonathan L. Pickett, Director of Planning and Community Development; Jill C. Dickerson, County Attorney; and Sharon Carney, Director of Economic Development and Tourism.

In the absence of the Chairman, Vice-Chairman Howard F. Simpson called the meeting to order and asked Supervisor James C. Moore to offer the invocation.

In Re: Public Participation

Mr. George Welch indicated he had sent a letter to Chairman Fore posing several questions regarding the Pamplin sewer project. The questions were:

1. "Has somebody in a position of leadership or authority in Prince Edward County made any form of agreement, either verbal or written, to the Town of Pamplin to take the sewage project into Prince Edward?"
2. If the affluent is bacteria free and safe, why would the Town of Pamplin spend \$46,000 to engineer a system that would take the affluent a mile away from Town?
3. Who is the person in Prince Edward County in charge of the Pamplin sewer? I have not been able to come up with a name of somebody I can talk to who is knowledgeable and can answer a question for me.
4. Enough time has passed for us to get some answers about the financial questions I asked two months ago...(During the last meeting) the Supervisor from Farmville asked

if there would be any tax liability for Prince Edward County. The answer was no. I asked (Chairman Fore) to contact the Commissioner of Revenue about the two tax parcels that are affected by the Pamplin sewer. One is a commercial site by the railroad track. The other is woodland, or farmland. If the Town of Pamplin acquires those two parcels, they are not going to pay taxes on them. They are going to be tax exempt. Who's going pay the difference? I submit to you that there is some financial ramification to this project that is being overlooked."

Mr. Welch advised that he had obtained copies of eighty-six documents through the Virginia Freedom of Information Act. Included were letters between Pamplin Mayor, Bob Mitchell, and several state and national agencies. "No where in these documents does it talk about anybody in Prince Edward doing anything except sitting back and letting things happen. The correspondence indicates that Prince Edward is going to take the sewage. I don't know if somebody has agreed to do that or not. I would certainly hope that the project chairman (Mayor Mitchell) would have talked to somebody in Prince Edward. . .In these documents only soil evaluations were done in Prince Edward. None were done in Appomattox. . .Maybe there were some done in Appomattox, but I didn't find it. There were three parcels in Prince Edward that were evaluated.

On the compliance review conducted by DHCD (Department of Housing and Community Development) in 2002, it says there were two serious flaws with the program. . .Financial management is one of them. The Town of Pamplin has never undergone an audit. If the Town receives over \$300,000, they have to have an audit. I will submit to you that they had not received \$300,000 to that date, but had been promised over \$900,000. Don't you think that if they were prudent about the conduct of this project, they would have gotten an audit or gotten together with a CPA as had already been directed in (the) agreement documents they signed?

The other (flaw) was acquisition of the sewer property, which was supposed to have been completed in 2003. As far as I know, (it) hasn't been started yet, except for (obtaining an option on several pieces of property).

Another thing I found interesting was that the County, I don't know if it's Prince Edward County or Appomattox County, expended \$256,000. . .in leveraged monies (as of February 2005) waiving landfill fees for collecting and getting rid of junk and IPR set-aside contract money. I don't know if Prince Edward waived those kinds of money or not, but I think somebody ought to be interested. . .I don't think they are on

sound financial ground. That has been my complaint about the whole sewer project. Where is the money going to come from to keep it maintained?

One of the items in the engineering process is they have to build monitoring wells...Fine. Build monitoring wells. Everything goes good for a couple of years and all of a sudden the water is contaminated. Whoops, too late. What are you going to do? When you get to that point we have already caused a problem that is not correctable.

On September 22, 2003, conditions that needed to be met for financial assistance from rural utility services was a minimum of sixty people had to have executed the agreement for the sewer. They were only talking about twenty-six homes in the area for the east Pamplin revitalization program. One of the other things I found interesting, was the compliance review letter of 2005 states 'clearance of all junk, debris, weeds, inoperable vehicles and dilapidated structures of the project area'. There are many land parcels in the project area. One is a garage. One is a gas station. One is a four-and-a-half area parcel with probably 100 cars on it. I don't think all these people are going to be willing to let their property just be thrown away, and yet we continue to move along."

Mrs. Evelyn Mavins addressed the Board concerning its recent action (February 14, 2006) in drawing staggered terms for Planning Commission members. "This comes after the Board's earlier action, at its December meeting, to adopt a new policy that would end all members' terms as of December 31st, eliminate representation on the Planning Commission by Prince Edward County citizens living in the Town of Farmville, establish new staggered terms, and establish a new member appointment process...

I would like to respectfully express objection to the Board's policy of excluding County residents who live in the Town of Farmville from eligibility to serve on the County Planning Commission. You, as Supervisors, are supposed to be serving the citizens of this County—all the citizens. By this current policy, this Board is relegating all Farmville citizens to second-class status. By this policy, you are not fairly representing all the citizens of this County, and are putting into question the legitimacy of the County planning process. Whether the members of this Board believe it or not, citizens of Farmville do have a direct interest in how the County government makes planning decisions concerning the future of Prince Edward County.

Secondly, I would like to ask a couple of questions concerning how this Board came to reappoint the members of the Planning Commission in February, without any public involvement. In December, when this Board adopted its new policy, it spelled out a new appointment process. In this process, the Board stated it would publicly advertise when terms on the Planning Commission would be expiring; if the current incumbents were interested in serving again; and that positions would be available to applicants who live in Prince Edward County, notwithstanding the exclusion of all Farmville residents. When did this Board advertise to notify the public that there were vacancies as of December 31st on the Planning Commission to be refilled by new staggered terms? When did the Board notify the public that positions would be available to interested applicants? Why wasn't this important matter of reappointing the entire Planning Commission on the agenda for the February Board meeting, but nonetheless acted on without any public knowledge or input? How could the public know about, or participate in this process, if it was not notified? Does this Board take seriously its responsibility to let the public know when it makes such important decisions as appointing and establishing new terms for Planning Commission members? Will any other interested citizens, besides those currently serving, ever have an opportunity to be considered to serve on the County's Planning Commission? And finally, how can the citizens of this County trust the County's planning decision making process when it seems citizens are not fairly represented in the process, and do not have an opportunity to participate meaningfully in the Planning Commission member appointment process?"

Mr. Jack Houghton advised, "Nearly two years ago, in May of 2004, the Crestview Neighborhood Association brought to this Board's attention its concern that the County did not have valid zoning maps in the area of the Crestview neighborhood as is required by Virginia law. We were concerned at that time that this condition, in addition to being inconsistent with State law, would expose the Crestview residential neighborhood to the intrusion of commercial development and traffic. As you know, in response to our concerns, this Board adopted a new zoning map a short time later on June 8, 2004 to solve that legal problem. This new zoning map, while perhaps solving the legal problem, did not address the citizen concerns at that time regarding the intrusion of commercial development and traffic into the residential neighborhood. However, at that time, with the assurance of County officials that the zoning in

this area would be considered after the completion of the comprehensive plan, we assumed that problem would be soon addressed. Since that time, almost two years have gone by.

The Crestview neighborhood is still exposed to the risk of commercial intrusion into the existing residential neighborhood because of the new map the County adopted that night as a stopgap measure. Since that time, many things have happened. Our concerns regarding the impacts of these developments on the quality of life have not subsided. This past December, this Board approved a conditional use permit for 250 townhouse units adjacent to the Crestview neighborhood with the only vehicular access being the existing Crestview streets. As you know, we urged this Board to approve a single-family housing plan for that site. Just this month, the Town of Farmville approved a new subdivision for thirty-one single-family lots. Again, with the only access being by way of the existing Crestview streets. We support this subdivision but know that eventually it will also add to the traffic problems in the area. Peery Drive has experienced significant new commercial development resulting in more commercially generated traffic passing through the Crestview neighborhood on Peery Drive at all hours of the day and night. From these examples it can be seen that the Crestview neighborhood is facing considerable development pressure. To reduce some of this pressure and to protect the quality of life in the Crestview neighborhood, we have simply asked that this Board limit further commercial development to the east side of Peery Drive so that commercially generated traffic will not further enter the residential neighborhood at Williams Street. This would require moving the commercial zone only a small distance, but would have the effect of keeping further commercial traffic out of the residential neighborhood. We have broad consensus among the neighbors and citizens of that area, that this measure would help by keeping commercial traffic, litter, and noise out of the residential streets. We now understand, that at some point and time, the County Planning Commission will take up our concerns, but only as part of their Countywide review of the zoning ordinance. This could be another long and drawn-out process, similar to what you went through with the development of the comprehensive plan. Unfortunately for the Crestview residents, every day this known problem is left unresolved the residents of the Crestview neighborhood remain in jeopardy of irreversible damage as a result of unwanted commercial intrusions into the existing residential neighborhood. We would like to think that this Board is looking out for its citizens, and that it would not intentionally cause the interest of the citizens to be placed in jeopardy. We would also like to think that the Planning

Commission will fairly consider citizen concerns and wishes for the quality of life, and will expeditiously address this long-standing problem. I would like to ask that this Board carefully consider that two years have already passed since the citizens of this County brought this serious problem to this Board's attention, and to urge this Board to expedite the needed rezoning to correct the problem once and for all time.

Dr. Wilkie Chaffin stated that he had read about procedures used in the cities and counties around Richmond pertaining to public participation. "These places have a lot of restrictions on what you can do and what you can't. I want to compliment this Board for letting citizens get up and talk about things, and in some cases, criticize the Board with pretty much no restrictions.

I have been in front of the Board many times talking about Freedom of Information items. I came before the Board in May of 2005, and talked about the fact that the procedure that the Board used to go into closed session didn't meet the requirements of the Virginia Code. In particular, the fact that the Board would typically use a general statement about why they were going into closed session without any specifics about what was going to be discussed. That is prohibited by the Code. In fact, there is an explicit statement in the Code that says you can not use the general topic and Code section as a reason for going into closed session. When I brought this up in May, the Board actually changed the procedure that particular night. I appreciated that. However, last month the Board went back to its old procedure and gave a vague reason for closed session. I'm asking you again, if you are going to go into closed session for personnel matters or to talk about some economic question, you must give some details about why you are going in. You are not required to say enough to ruin the secrecy of the meeting, but you can not use a vague statement about going in for personnel matters. I'm asking you again, to think about that. I notice there is a closed session listed on tonight's agenda. When you make the motion you need to describe a little bit about what's going to be talked about."

In Re: Public Hearing – School Board Appointments

Vice-Chairman Simpson announced this was the date and time set for a public hearing to receive recommendations of candidates to be considered for appointment to the Prince Edward County School Board from Districts 101 (Farmville-Howard F. Simpson, Supervisor) and 801 (Farmville-Pattie Cooper-Jones, Supervisor). Notice of this hearing was advertised according to law in the February 24, 2006 and

March 3, 2006 editions of THE FARMVILLE HERALD, a newspaper published in the County of Prince Edward, Virginia. The floor was opened for public comment.

There being no one present wishing to speak, the public hearing was closed.

Citizen Committee members will present nominees to the Board of Supervisors during the April 11, 2006 meeting. A public hearing on the nominees will be held in May.

In Re: Public Hearing-Virginia Public School Authority Bond Issue

Vice-Chairman Simpson announced this was the day and time set for a public hearing to solicit comments on adoption of a resolution authorizing issuance of up to \$1 million of General Obligation School Bonds. Notice of this hearing was advertised according to law in the February 24, 2006 and March 3, 2006 editions of THE FARMVILLE HERALD, a newspaper published in the County of Prince Edward, Virginia.

Proceeds from the bonds will be used to finance the following projects:

Career Tech Addition	\$900,880
Elementary School Restroom Addition	99,120
Total	\$1,000,000

Supervisor McKay questioned Dr. Margaret Blackmon, Division Superintendent, about the restrooms at the elementary school, and asked that the Board be provided a copy of the architectural drawings.

Dr. Blackmon indicated the restrooms were "very similar to the ones designed for the building back in the late 70's when the addition (to the elementary school) was made. There was not adequate funding for the restrooms (at that time). The addition at the career tech center is a lab for computer assisted drafting and for agriculture."

Mr. Moore made a motion that the Board table action on the resolution until the Supervisors had had an opportunity to review the blueprints. He was advised that the Board had already approved the project, and the resolution had to be forwarded to the Virginia Public School Authority no later than March 24th for Prince Edward to be included in the bond pool.

With this clarification, Mr. Moore withdrew his motion to table, but requested that the Board of Supervisors be furnished copies of the architectural plans as soon as possible.

There being no one from the public wishing to speak, the public hearing was closed.

Mr. Moore made a motion: 1) to adopt the resolution authorizing issuance of general obligation school bonds, 2) authorize the Chairman and County Administrator to sign all necessary bond documents on the County's behalf, and 3) the Board be furnished copies of the architectural plans. The motion carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

The adopted resolution reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$1,000,000 GENERAL OBLIGATION SCHOOL BONDS OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD, VIRGINIA TO BE SOLD TO THE VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM AND DETAILS THEREOF

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors (the "Board") of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia (the "County") has determined that it is necessary and expedient to borrow an amount not to exceed \$1,000,000 and to issue its general obligation school bonds to finance certain capital projects for school purposes.

WHEREAS, the Board held a public hearing on March 14, 2006, on the issuance of the Bonds (as defined below) in accordance with the requirements of Section 15.2-2606, Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended (the "Virginia Code").

WHEREAS, the School Board of the County has requested by resolution the Board to authorize the issuance of the Bonds and has consented to the issuance of the Bonds.

WHEREAS, the objective of the Virginia Public School Authority ("VPSA") is to pay the County a purchase price for the Bonds which, in VPSA's judgment, reflects the Bonds' market value (the "VPSA Purchase Price Objective"), taking into consideration such factors as the amortization schedule the County has requested for the Bonds, the amortization schedules requested by other localities, the purchase price to be received by VPSA for its bonds and other market conditions relating to the sale of VPSA's bonds.

WHEREAS, such factors may result in requiring the County to accept a discount, given the VPSA Purchase Price Objective and market conditions, under which circumstance the proceeds from the sale of the Bonds received by the County will be less than the amount set forth in paragraph 1 below.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD, VIRGINIA:

1. Authorization of Bonds and Use of Proceeds. The Board hereby determines that it is advisable to contract a debt and to issue and sell general obligation school bonds of the County in the aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$1,000,000

(the "Bonds") for the purpose of financing certain capital projects for school purposes. The Board hereby authorizes the issuance and sale of the Bonds in the form and upon the terms established pursuant to this Resolution.

2. Sale of the Bonds. It is determined to be in the best interest of the County to accept the offer of VPSA to purchase from the County, and to sell to VPSA, the Bonds at a price determined by VPSA and accepted by the Chairman of the Board or the County Administrator and upon the terms established pursuant to this Resolution. The County Administrator and the Chairman of the Board, or either of them, and such officer or officers of the County as either of them may designate, are hereby authorized and directed to enter into the Bond Sale Agreement with the VPSA providing for the sale of the Bonds to VPSA in substantially the form on file with the County Administrator, which form is hereby approved (the "Bond Sale Agreement").

3. Details of the Bonds. The Bonds shall be issuable in fully registered form in denominations of \$5,000 and whole multiples thereof; shall be dated the date of issuance and delivery of the Bonds; shall be designated "General Obligation School Bonds, Series 2006" (or such other designation as the County Administrator may approve) shall bear interest from the date of delivery thereof payable semi-annually on each January 15 and July 15 (each an "Interest Payment Date"), beginning January 15, 2007, at the rates established in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Resolution; and shall mature on July 15 in the years (each a "Principal Payment Date") and in the amounts established in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Resolution. The Interest Payment Dates and the Principal Payment Dates are subject to change at the request of VPSA.

4. Principal Installments and Interest Rates. The County Administrator is hereby authorized and directed to accept the interest rates on the Bonds established by VPSA, provided that each interest rate shall be no more than ten one-hundredths of one percent (0.10%) over the interest rate to be paid by VPSA for the corresponding principal payment date of the bonds to be issued by the VPSA (the "VPSA Bonds"), a portion of the proceeds of which will be used to purchase the Bonds, and provided further, that the true interest cost of the Bonds does not exceed six percent (6%) per annum. The County Administrator is further authorized and directed to accept the aggregate principal amount of the Bonds and the amounts of principal of the Bonds coming due on each Principal Payment Date (the "Principal Installments") established by VPSA, including any changes in the Interest Payment Dates, the Principal Payment Dates and the Principal Installments which may be requested by VPSA provided that such aggregate principal amount shall not exceed the maximum amount set forth in paragraph one and the final maturity of the Bonds shall not be later than 21 years from their date. The execution and delivery of the Bonds as described in paragraph 8 hereof shall conclusively evidence such Interest Payment Dates, Principal Payment Dates, interest rates, principal amount and Principal Installments as having been so accepted as authorized by this Resolution.

5. Form of the Bonds. The Bonds shall be initially in the form of a single, temporary typewritten bond substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

6. Payment; Paying Agent and Bond Registrar. The following provisions shall apply to the Bonds:

(a) For as long as VPSA is the registered owner of the Bonds, all payments of principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds shall be made in immediately available funds to VPSA at or before 11:00 a.m. on the applicable Interest Payment Date, Principal Payment Date or date fixed for prepayment or redemption, or if such date is not a business day for Virginia banks or for the Commonwealth of Virginia, then at or before 11:00 a.m. on the business day next preceding such Interest Payment Date, Principal Payment Date or date fixed for prepayment or redemption;

(b) All overdue payments of principal and, to the extent permitted by law, interest shall bear interest at the applicable interest rate or rates on the Bonds; and

(c) SunTrust Bank, Richmond, Virginia, is designated as Bond Registrar and Paying Agent for the Bonds.

7. Prepayment or Redemption. The Principal Installments of the Bonds held by the VPSA coming due on or before July 15, 2016, and the definitive Bonds for which the Bonds held by the VPSA may be exchanged that mature on or before July 15, 2016, are not subject to prepayment or redemption prior to their stated maturities. The Principal Installments of the Bonds held by the VPSA coming due after July 15, 2016, and the definitive Bonds for which the Bonds held by the VPSA may be exchanged that mature after July 15, 2016, are subject to prepayment or redemption at the option of the County prior to their stated maturities in whole or in part, on any date on or after July 15, 2016, upon payment of the prepayment or redemption prices (expressed as percentages of Principal Installments to be prepaid or the principal amount of the Bonds to be redeemed) set forth below plus accrued interest to the date set for prepayment or redemption:

<u>Dates</u>	<u>Prices</u>
July 15, 2016 to July 14, 2017, inclusive.....	101%
July 15, 2017 to July 14, 2018, inclusive.....	100.5
July 15, 2018 and thereafter.....	100

Provided, however, that the Bonds shall not be subject to prepayment or redemption prior to their stated maturities as described above without first obtaining the written consent of the registered owner of the Bonds. Notice of any such prepayment or redemption shall be given by the Bond Registrar to the registered owner by registered mail not more than ninety (90) and not less than sixty (60) days before the date fixed for prepayment or redemption. The County Administrator is authorized to approve such other redemption provisions, including changes to the redemption dates set forth above, as may be requested by VPSA.

8. Execution of the Bonds. The Chairman or Vice Chairman and the Clerk or any Deputy Clerk of the Board are authorized and directed to execute and deliver the Bonds and to affix the seal of the County thereto. The manner of such execution may be by facsimile, provided that if both signatures are by facsimile, the Bonds shall not be valid until authenticated by the manual signature of the Paying Agent.

9. Pledge of Full Faith and Credit. For the prompt payment of the principal of, and the premium, if any, and the interest on the Bonds as the same shall become due, the full faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged, and in each year while any of the Bonds shall be outstanding there shall be levied and collected in accordance with law an annual ad valorem tax upon all taxable property in the County subject to local taxation sufficient in amount to provide for the payment of the principal of, and the premium, if any, and the interest on the Bonds as such principal, premium, if any, and interest shall become due, which tax shall be without limitation as to rate or amount and in addition to all other taxes authorized to be levied in the County to the extent other funds of the County are not lawfully available and appropriated for such purpose.

10. Use of Proceeds Certificate; Non-Arbitrage Certificate. The Chairman of the Board and the County Administrator, or either of them and such officer or officers of the County as either may designate are hereby authorized and directed to execute a Non-Arbitrage Certificate, if required by bond counsel, and a Use of Proceeds Certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the Bonds and containing

such covenants as may be necessary in order to show compliance with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and applicable regulations relating to the exclusion from gross income of interest on the Bonds and on the VPSA Bonds. The Board covenants on behalf of the County that (i) the proceeds from the issuance and sale of the Bonds will be invested and expended as set forth in such Use of Proceeds Certificate and the County shall comply with the covenants and representations contained therein and (ii) the County shall comply with the provisions of the Code so that interest on the Bonds and on the VPSA Bonds will remain excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes.

11. State Non-Arbitrage Program; Proceeds Agreement. The Board hereby determines that it is in the best interests of the County to authorize and direct the County Treasurer to participate in the State Non-Arbitrage Program in connection with the Bonds. The County Administrator and the Chairman of the Board, or either of them and such officer or officers of the County as either of them may designate, are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver a Proceeds Agreement with respect to the deposit and investment of proceeds of the Bonds by and among the County, the other participants in the sale of the VPSA Bonds, VPSA, the investment manager, and the depository substantially in the form on file with the County Administrator, which form is hereby approved.

12. Continuing Disclosure Agreement. The Chairman of the Board and the County Administrator, or either of them, and such officer or officers of the County as either of them may designate are hereby authorized and directed (i) to execute a Continuing Disclosure Agreement, as set forth in Appendix F to the Bond Sale Agreement, setting forth the reports and notices to be filed by the County and containing such covenants as may be necessary in order to show compliance with the provisions of the Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12 and (ii) to make all filings required by Section 3 of the Bond Sale Agreement should the County be determined by the VPSA to be a MOP (as defined in the Continuing Disclosure Agreement).

13. Filing of Resolution. The appropriate officers or agents of the County are hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this Resolution to be filed with the Circuit Court of the County.

14. Further Actions. The County Administrator, the Chairman of the Board, and such other officers, employees and agents of the County as either of them may designate are hereby authorized to take such action as the County Administrator or the Chairman of the Board may consider necessary or desirable in connection with the issuance and sale of the Bonds and any such action previously taken is hereby ratified and confirmed.

15. Effective Date. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

EXHIBIT A

(FORM OF TEMPORARY BOND)

NO. TR-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD

General Obligation School Bond
Series 2006

The COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD, VIRGINIA (the "County"), for value received, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY the principal amount of _____ Dollars (\$ _____), in annual installments in the amounts set forth on Schedule I attached hereto payable on July 15, 2007 and annually on July 15 thereafter to and including July 15, 20__ (each a "Principal Payment Date"), together with interest from the date of this Bond on the unpaid installments, payable semi-annually on January 15 and July 15 of each year commencing on January 15, 2007 (each an "Interest Payment Date;" together with any Principal Payment Date, a "Payment Date"), at the rates per annum set forth on Schedule I attached hereto, subject to prepayment or redemption as hereinafter provided. Both principal of and interest on this Bond are payable in lawful money of the United States of America.

For as long as the Virginia Public School Authority is the registered owner of this Bond, SunTrust Bank, Richmond, Virginia, as bond registrar (the "Bond Registrar") shall make all payments of principal, premium, if any, and interest on this Bond, without presentation or surrender hereof, to the Virginia Public School Authority, in immediately available funds at or before 11:00 a.m. on the applicable Payment Date or date fixed for prepayment or redemption. If a Payment Date or date fixed for prepayment or redemption is not a business day for banks in the Commonwealth of Virginia or for the Commonwealth of Virginia, then the payment of principal, premium, if any, or interest on this Bond shall be made in immediately available funds at or before 11:00 a.m. on the business day next preceding the scheduled Payment Date or date fixed for prepayment or redemption. Upon receipt by the registered owner of this Bond of said payments of principal, premium, if any, and interest, written acknowledgment of the receipt thereof shall be given promptly to the Bond Registrar, and the County shall be fully discharged of its obligation on this Bond to the extent of the payment so made. Upon final payment, this Bond shall be surrendered to the Bond Registrar for cancellation.

The full faith and credit of the County are irrevocably pledged for the payment of the principal of and the premium, if any, and interest on this Bond. The resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors authorizing the issuance of the Bonds provides, and Section 15.2-2624 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, requires, that there shall be levied and collected an annual tax upon all taxable property in the County subject to local taxation sufficient to provide for the payment of the principal, premium, if any, and interest on this Bond as the same shall become due which tax shall be without limitation as to rate or amount and shall be in addition to all other taxes authorized to be levied in the County to the extent other funds of the County are not lawfully available and appropriated for such purpose.

This Bond is duly authorized and issued in compliance with and pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including the Public Finance Act of 1991, Chapter 26, Title 15.2, Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, and resolutions duly adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County and the School Board of the County to provide funds for capital projects for school purposes.

This Bond may be exchanged without cost, on twenty (20) days written notice from the Virginia Public School Authority at the office of the Bond Registrar on one or more occasions for one or more temporary bonds or definitive bonds in marketable form and, in any case, in fully registered form, in denominations of \$5,000 and whole multiples thereof, having an equal aggregate principal amount, having principal installments or maturities and bearing interest at rates corresponding to the maturities of

and the interest rates on the installments of principal of this Bond then unpaid. This Bond is registered in the name of the Virginia Public School Authority on the books of the County kept by the Bond Registrar, and the transfer of this Bond may be effected by the registered owner of this Bond only upon due execution of an assignment by such registered owner. Upon receipt of such assignment and the surrender of this Bond, the Bond Registrar shall exchange this Bond for definitive Bonds as hereinabove provided, such definitive Bonds to be registered on such registration books in the name of the assignee or assignees named in such assignment.

The principal installments of this Bond coming due on or before July 15, 2016 and the definitive Bonds for which this Bond may be exchanged that mature on or before July 15, 2016 are not subject to prepayment or redemption prior to their stated maturities. The principal installments of this Bond coming due after July 15, 2016, and the definitive Bonds for which this Bond may be exchanged that mature after July 15, 2016 are subject to prepayment or redemption at the option of the County prior to their stated maturities in whole or in part, on any date on or after July 15, 2016, upon payment of the prepayment or redemption prices (expressed as percentages of principal installments to be prepaid or the principal amount of the Bonds to be redeemed) set forth below plus accrued interest to the date set for prepayment or redemption:

<u>Dates</u>	<u>Prices</u>
July 15, 2016 to July 14, 2017, inclusive.....	101%
July 15, 2017 to July 14, 2018, inclusive.....	100.5
July 15, 2018 and thereafter.....	100

Provided, however, that the Bonds shall not be subject to prepayment or redemption prior to their stated maturities as described above without the prior written consent of the registered owner of the Bonds. Notice of any such prepayment or redemption shall be given by the Bond Registrar to the registered owner by registered mail not more than ninety (90) and not less than sixty (60) days before the date fixed for prepayment or redemption.

All acts, conditions and things required by the Constitution and laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia to happen, exist or be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this Bond have happened, exist and have been performed in due time, form and manner as so required, and this Bond, together with all other indebtedness of the County, is within every debt and other limit prescribed by the Constitution and laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia, has caused this Bond to be issued in the name of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia, to be signed by its Chairman or Vice-Chairman, its seal to be affixed hereto and attested by the signature of its Clerk or any of its Deputy Clerks, and this Bond to be dated May __, 2006.

In Re: Approval of Minutes

On motion of Mr. Moore and adopted by the following vote:

Aye: Pattie Cooper-Jones
Sally W. Gilfillan
Charles W. McKay
James C. Moore
Howard F. Simpson
Lacy B. Ward

Nay: None

the minutes of the work session held February 8, 2006 were approved.

Minutes of the meeting held February 14, 2006 were approved by motion of Mrs. Gilfillan and carried:

Aye: Pattie Cooper-Jones
Sally W. Gilfillan
Charles W. McKay
James C. Moore
Howard F. Simpson
Lacy B. Ward

Nay: None

Mrs. Gilfillan moved approval of the minutes of the meeting held February 27, 2006. The motion carried:

Aye: Pattie Cooper-Jones
Sally W. Gilfillan
Charles W. McKay
James C. Moore
Howard F. Simpson
Lacy B. Ward

Nay: None

Supervisor McKay moved that the minutes of the Strategic Planning Session held March 6, 2006 be approved. The motion carried:

Aye: Pattie Cooper-Jones
Sally W. Gilfillan
Charles W. McKay
James C. Moore
Lacy B. Ward

Nay: None

Abstain: Howard F. Simpson

The minutes of the work session held March 9, 2006 were approved by motion of Mrs. Gilfillan and carried as follows:

Aye: Pattie Cooper-Jones
Sally W. Gilfillan
Charles W. McKay
James C. Moore
Howard F. Simpson
Lacy B. Ward

Nay: None

In Re: Approval of Accounts and Claims

The following accounts and claims were presented, and on motion of Mr. Moore were approved for payment by the following vote:

Aye: Pattie Cooper-Jones
Sally W. Gilfillan
Charles W. McKay
James C. Moore
Howard F. Simpson
Lacy B. Ward

Nay: None

<u>BOARD OF SUPERVISORS</u>		
Farmville Herald	Advertising	551.00
Platinum Plus for Business	Legislative Day-75.00	
	Lunches-162.34	237.34
Wal-Mart Community	Retreat refreshments	51.34

<u>COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR</u>		
Pitney Bowes Inc.	Equipment rental	65.97
AT&T	Phone	141.71
VITA	Pager rental	32.72
Sprint	Phone	446.02
U.S. Cellular	Phone	81.30
Sarah Puckett	Mileage-291.93	
	Mtg. expenses-61.48	353.41
Platinum Plus for Business	Parking-14.00	
	Meals-244.35	
	Legislative Day-50.00	
	Fed Ex-46.37	
	Directories-125.90	480.62
Diamond Springs	Office supplies	15.40
Farmville Printing	Printing	644.50
Key Office Supply	Office supplies	792.37
Moonstar BBS	DSL	105.00
Town of Farmville	Gas	119.12

<u>LEGAL SERVICES</u>		
Jill C. Dickerson	Retainer	1,837.50

<u>COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE</u>		
Business Data of Virginia, Inc.	Postage-4,536.59	
	Mileage-125.00	
	Printer-412.94	5,074.53
AT&T	Phone	57.87
VITA	Online service	125.38
Ntelos	Internet	19.95
Sprint	Phone	204.00
Farmville Printing	Mobile home license	144.70
Key Office Supply	Copy paper	8.67

<u>TREASURER</u>		
ComputerPlus Sales & Service	Equipment service contracts	1,745.10

Key Office Supply	Service contracts-316.00	
	Office supplies-99.63	
	Printer/table/cart-646.99	1,062.62
Farmville Herald	Advertising	756.00
AT&T	Phone	95.37
VITA	Online service	125.38
Sprint	Phone	193.95
Virginia Employment Commission	Online service	100.00
M&W Printers Inc.	Vehicle decals	2,666.60
Pitney Bowes Inc.	Equipment rental	1,912.02
Business Data of Virginia, Inc.	Cable	8.39

DATA PROCESSING

Business Data of Virginia, Inc.	Travel expense-375.00	
	Monthly contract-2,800.00	3,175.00
ComputerPlus Sales & Service	Maintenance contract	270.00

ELECTORAL BOARD AND OFFICIALS

Samuel A. Martin, Jr.	Salary	534.78
Gordon V. Smith	Salary	534.78
William Eugene Watson	Salary-1,069.58	
	Postage-92.04	1,161.62

REGISTRAR

U. S. Postal Service	Postage	195.00
AT&T	Phone	34.99
Sprint	Phone	125.35
Dale L. Bolt	Reimb Norton Antivirus	59.90
VITA	Online service	3.25

CIRCUIT COURT

AT&T	Phone	41.12
Sprint	Phone	139.66

GENERAL DISTRICT COURT

AT&T	Phone-Juv Prob	147.40
AT&T	Phone-J&D	85.30
AT&T	Phone-Gen Dist Court	92.36
Sprint	Phone-J&D	83.79
Sprint	Phone-Juv Prob	106.08
Sprint	Phone-Gen Dist Court	313.30
U.S. Cellular	Phone	5.33-
Key Office Supply	Office supplies	166.65

MAGISTRATE

AT&T	Phone	49.07
Sprint	Phone	90.99
Joyce Eggleston	Mileage	209.95
Kathryn Jackson	Mileage	220.35
Walt Newman	Mileage	216.45
VITA	Pager rental	16.36

CLERK

Key Office Supply	Copier service contract-795.00	
	Office supplies-14.99	809.99
AT&T	Phone	90.23

ESI of Virginia Inc.	Reprogrammed phone	85.00
Sprint	Phone	277.52
Caskie Graphics Inc.	Casebinders	634.20

LAW LIBRARY

Sprint	Phone	34.96
--------	-------	-------

COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY

Pitney Bowes Inc.	Equipment rental-134.73	
	Equipment filing fee-7.92	142.65
AT&T	Phone	207.47
Kinex Networking Solution	Internet	49.95
Sprint	Phone	303.19
Virginia Association of Commonwealth Attorneys	Dues	425.00
Virginia Lawyers Weekly	Subscription	289.00
ESI of Virginia Inc.	Reprogrammed phone	45.00

VICTIM WITNESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

AT&T	Phone	125.13
Kinex Networking Solution	Internet	49.95
Sprint	Phone	43.65
Treasurer of Virginia	Mtg. registration	100.00

SHERIFF

Commtronics of Virginia	Police package changeover-7,754.95	
	Radio repairs-1,397.48	9,152.43
East End Motor Co., Inc.	Auto repairs & maintenance	470.09
Newman Tire Co., Inc.	Tires	169.00
Townsend Chevron	Oil change	28.66
Tri-County Ford-Mercury	Rotors/brakes	484.24
UPS	Shipping	56.48
AT&T	Phone	400.71
ESI of Virginia Inc.	Headset-103.00	
	20 Button Keystrips-60.50	163.50
Sprint	Phone	429.35
U.S. Cellular	Phone	522.82
Deloris Earley	Meals	18.42
Vicki Partin	Meals	8.72
Diamond Springs	Office supplies	61.95
Great North American Co.	Calendar cards	163.50
Key Office Supply	Office supplies	497.22
Kinex Networking Solution	Domain renewal	20.00
Polk City Directories	Directory listing	50.00
Town of Farmville	Gas	4,914.71
Express Police Supply	Flashlight & cord	33.97
Galls Inc.	Defense pepper/cuffs/flashlight-360.00	
	Uniforms-416.50	776.50
Stuart Raybold	Glock magazine	45.00
Southern Police Equipment Co.	Handcuffs/night sights	84.99
Century Uniform-Raleigh	Uniforms	504.90
Mary Lou's Monogramming	Shirts with logo	1,012.00
Southern Police Equipment Co.	Uniforms	34.07
David Wilmoth	Uniforms	57.73
Pairet's Inc.	Sign	15.00
Arch Wireless/Metrocall	Pager rental	11.31

McMillian Pager Service	Pager rental	140.80
-------------------------	--------------	--------

FARMVILLE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

Barker-Jennings Corp.	Fire extinguishers	157.75
Commtronics of Virginia	Pager repairs	360.90
Davis Pontiac	Repair connector/check rotors	112.50
Farmville Auto Parts	Wire/bolt/parts	166.89
Farmville Volunteer Fire Department	Reimb phone bill	85.74
Farmville Wholesale Electric	Wallplate	1.34
Fire & Safety Equipment Co.	Repair hose/calibrate gas detector	175.00
Kinex Networking Solution	Internet	9.95
Lumber Yard Inc.	Wheel	97.99
NAFECO, Inc.	Coats/pants/boots/lettering	3,983.95
Singer Associates Fire Equipment	Turbo hose	310.06
Town of Farmville	Gas	237.91

RICE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

AT&T	Phone	51.09
Arrakis Publishing/Fire Program	Software support	295.00
Fire & Safety Equipment Co.	Jumpsuit/MSA receiver/gloves	372.55
Goodman Truck & Tractor	Sensor/truck maintenance	1,077.47
Lumber Yard Inc.	Lumber/nuts/bolts/fasteners	119.28
M&W Fire Apparatus Inc.	Boots/wristlet	187.00
Roy C. Jenkins Inc.	Propane	1,305.20
Sprint	Phone	78.67
Dominion Virginia Power	Electrical service	180.17

PROSPECT VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

Citizens Bank & Trust Co.	Truck payment	3,363.68
Farmville Auto Parts	Battery/truck supplies	110.61
M&W Fire Apparatus Inc.	Axe/truck repairs	266.07
Pamplin Exxon	Inspections	31.55
Price Supply Co., Inc.	Flange/hex nuts	11.24
Roy C. Jenkins Inc.	Propane	313.24
Sprint	Phone	83.39
Town of Farmville	Gas	127.59
Dominion Virginia Power	Electrical service	149.82
Worsham Repair Service	Repair hitch on van	100.00

DARLINGTON HEIGHTS VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

Darlington Heights Volunteer Fire Department	Reimb Fire Training	100.00
Ellington Energy Service	Propane	467.73
Farmville Wholesale Electric	Floor lamp/extension cord	190.75
Lumber Yard Inc.	Lumber	737.35
Pamplin Exxon	Fuel	107.50
Prince Edward Area Firefighters Assoc.	Dues	20.00
Southside Electric Cooperative	Electrical service	170.98
Verizon	Phone	62.74

PAMPLIN VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

AT&T	Phone	54.75
Farmville Wholesale Electric	Cable cutter	119.95
Premier Propane	LP Gas	790.33
Galls Inc.	Light replacement	47.98
Pamplin Volunteer Fire Department	Commercial package insurance	211.00

Pamplin Exxon	Fuel	368.59
Verizon	Phone	100.47
Dominion Virginia Power	Electrical service	346.16

MEHERRIN VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

Commtronics of Virginia	Install/program radios	453.49
Guardian Fire & Safety Equipment	SCBA carrying case	600.00
M&W Fire Apparatus Inc.	Boots/pants/gloves	930.02
Price Supply Co., Inc.	Dry hydrant parts	102.00
Roy C. Jenkins Inc.	Fuel	786.56
Jack L. Slagle Fire Equipment	Turnout gear	500.30
Sprint	Phone	6.68
U.S. Cellular	Phone	109.31
Verizon	Phone	109.06
Dominion Virginia Power	Electrical service	267.35

EMERGENCY SERVICES

Timmons Group	System maintenance	915.00
Korman Signs	Signs	222.52
Fire & Safety Equipment Co.	Powerheart AED battery	2,669.00

BUILDING OFFICIAL

Farmville Auto Parts	Halogen bulb	12.01
Town of Farmville	Gas	208.68
U.S. Cellular	Phone	40.65

ANIMAL CONTROL

Lumber Yard Inc.	Plywood/brackets	41.92
Davis Pontiac	Truck repairs	334.04
Town of Farmville	Gas	580.80
John B. Adams	Coyote bounty (2)	100.00
VITA	Pager rental	8.18
Harwood & Sons Insurance	Bond	100.00
U.S. Cellular	Phone	81.30

BIOSOLIDS MONITORING

U.S. Cellular	Phone	40.65
Town of Farmville	Gas	164.75

REFUSE DISPOSAL

Resource International	Permit amendment-157.50	
	Phase I closure-10,556.61	10,714.11
Lumber Yard Inc.	Padlock/bolt cutter/caution tape	55.58
Southern States	Gloves/maul/netting	214.93
Stiff's Traveling Johns	Monthly contract	577.50
Dave M. Walker	Cleaning box site	250.00
Hackney Construction	Repair chain fence	3,158.21
C & L Machine & Welding	Bolts	14.40
Newman Tire Co., Inc.	Tires/flat repairs	799.95
Arena Trucking	Trash pickups	129.00
Resource International	Groundwater monitoring	15,202.55
Wright's Excavating	Landfill operation	31,250.00
STEPS, Inc.	Recycling fee	664.80
AT&T	Phone	143.30
Sprint	Phone	258.72
U.S. Cellular	Phone	40.65

Verizon	Phone	93.72
Town of Farmville	Gas	1,398.29

GENERAL PROPERTIES

Servicemaster	Monthly contract	4,875.83
Architectural Products	Door frame	580.00
Ayers Building & Supply Co.	Building materials	28.62
Longwood Well Drilling	Water pump	1,249.21
Stiff's Traveling Johns	Monthly contract	100.00
Tri-Boro Shelving & Partition Corp.	Shelving	265.84
Southside Electric Cooperative	Electrical service	144.04
Dominion Virginia Power	Electrical service	1,140.70
Roy C. Jenkins Inc.	Fuel oil	5,050.01
Town of Farmville	Water & sewer	175.73
Sprint	Phone	63.82
U.S. Cellular	Phone	203.20
Guardian Chemical Co.	Janitorial supplies	244.52
Handi-Clean Products Inc.	Janitorial supplies	198.92
Wilco Inc.	Janitorial supplies	1,919.60
Carpet House	Paint & supplies	58.48
VITA	Pager rental	25.44
Farmville Wholesale Electric	Electrical parts/bulbs	283.13
Grant's Glass	Maintenance supplies	13.44
Lumber Yard Inc.	Maintenance supplies	541.90
OK Termite & Pest Control	Exterminating service	150.00
Price Supply Co., Inc.	Light	17.95
Cintas Corporation	Uniform rental	368.80
Sherwin Williams Co.	Paint	73.76
Southern States	Fescue	6.00
Town of Farmville	Gas	307.61

CANNERY

Southside Electric Cooperative	Electrical service	108.26
Roy C. Jenkins Inc.	Fuel oil	499.31

HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Prince Edward County Health Department	Local support	36,538.25
----------------------------------------	---------------	-----------

COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES ACT

Barry Robinson Center	Professional services	2,180.00
Centra Health	Professional services	18,496.00
Crossroads Services Board	Professional services	2,805.00
Dominion Academy LLC	Professional services	2,470.00
Dominion Youth Services	Professional services	4,193.13
Cherie Eanes	Foster care	312.00
Elk Hill	Professional services	2,868.89
Family Preservation Service	Professional services	7,670.00
Juanita Fisher	Foster care	230.00
Grafton School	Professional services	23,491.02
Hallmark Youthcare-Richmond	Foster care	1,760.00
Shirley Hicks	Foster care	365.00
Intercept Youth Services	Professional service	6,412.00
Jennifer Kingsley	Foster care	312.00
Mrs. Earnestine Lee	Foster care	730.00
Little Life Day Care	Foster care	255.00
New Dominion School (Girls)	Professional services	3,500.00

Jessie Pack	Foster care	624.00
St. Johns Church After School	Foster care	90.00
The Learning Depot	Foster care	620.00

MUSEUMS

Lumber Yard Inc.	Garden hose	36.87
------------------	-------------	-------

PLANNING

MarshWitt Associates PC	Zoning/Subdivision Ordinance update	2,039.10
Garland Carmichael	Commission meeting	25.20
Samuel Coleman	Commission meeting	27.80
Donald Gilliam	Commission meeting	33.00
Robert M. Jones	Commission meeting	23.25
Jack Leatherwood	Commission meeting	20.00
Raymond E. Ligon	Commission meeting	30.40
W. W. Porterfield	Commission meeting	20.00
Clem Richards	Commission meeting	33.00
U.S. Cellular	Phone	40.65
Jonathan L. Pickett	Mileage	199.55
SWANA Old Dominion Chapter	Training session	100.00

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

AT&T	Phone	119.58
AT&T	Fax	29.90
Charter Communications	Internet	101.92
ESI of Virginia Inc.	Reprogram phone	85.00
Moonstar BBS	Webhosting	15.00
Sprint	Phone	187.28
U.S. Cellular	Phone	40.65
Sharon Lee Carney	Mileage-80.28	
	Meals-83.63	
	Postage/Office supplies-94.80	258.71
Platinum Plus for Business	Mtg. registration-145.00	
	Fed Ex-24.53	169.53
Draper Aden Associates	Wetland Delineation-219.62	
	Park Planning-2,160.00	
	Mapping update-600.00	2,979.62
Cooperative Living	Advertising	356.39
Farmville Printing	Letterhead/envelopes	87.00
Key Office Supply	Office supplies	55.32

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION OFFICE

Sprint	Phone	95.37
Treasurer, VA TECH	Local support	14,427.47

CAPITAL PROJECTS

Draper Aden Associations	Dam 12 inspection-1,495.00	
	Monitor/Treatment system-75.00	
	SRR Financial Analysis-455.00	2,025.00
Old Dominion University	SRR Water Quality Study	2,125.62

DEBT SERVICE

Rural Development	Loan #97-01—6, 508.00	
	Loan #97-02—10, 118.00	16,626.00

PIEDMONT COURT SERVICES

Scientific Testing Lab	Drug tests	181.80
Quill Corporation	Maintenance contract	19.99
Dominion Virginia Power	Electrical service	127.64
AT&T	Phone	192.68
Sprint	Phone	231.70
W. A. Watson & Sons Insurance	Surety bond	176.40
Sheena Franklin	Mileage	63.05
Sharon Gray	Mileage	54.60
Renee T. Maxey	Mileage	70.60
Charlotte Gazette	Subscription	20.00
M & S Publishing Co., Inc.	Subscription	17.00

PIEDMONT COURT SERVICES – SUBGRANT

W. A. Watson & Sons Insurance	Surety bonds	50.40
Stephanie Burchard	Mileage	129.99
Rebecca Moss	Mileage	10.43

PIEDMONT COURT SERVICES – SUPERVISION FEES

SRP Corporation LLC	Rent	1,550.00
JJ's Cleaning Service	Cleaning service	70.00

ADDENDUM BILL LIST

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Review Publications	Subscription	18.00
---------------------	--------------	-------

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

U.S. Postal Service	Postage-1,665.00	
	Envelopes-189.85	1,854.85
Ranson's Inc.	Microphone	29.99

DATA PROCESSING

Business Data of Virginia, Inc.	Travel expense	125.00
---------------------------------	----------------	--------

LAW LIBRARY

AT&T	Phone	24.58
------	-------	-------

COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY

Key Office Supply	Office supplies	270.90
-------------------	-----------------	--------

SHERIFF

Wal-Mart Community	Phone card-29.58	
	Office supplies-45.42	
	Police supplies-98.55	
	Rainsuit/shoes-54.68	228.23
Express Care	Oil changes	129.03
Farmville Auto Parts	Brakes/rotors/filters-600.20	
	Battery-85.95	686.15

Platinum Plus for Business	License-15.00	
	Postage-10.48	
	Meals-36.92	
	Office supplies-49.38	
	Gas-27.00	
	Manual-35.00	
	Chair-109.98	283.76
Stuart Raybold	Postage	5.36
U. S. Postal Service	Postage	156.00
ESI of Virginia Inc.	Add phone line	437.50
ID Networks	Photo equipment	1,825.00
Galls Inc.	Shoes	198.10
Southern Police Equipment Co.	Handcuff case	20.69

EMERGENCY SERVICES

Korman Signs	Diecut numbers	220.06
--------------	----------------	--------

REGIONAL JAIL

Piedmont Regional Juvenile Detention Center	Juvenile Detention	6,375.00
---------------------------------------------	--------------------	----------

REFUSE DISPOSAL

Sprint	Phone	36.04
--------	-------	-------

GENERAL PROPERTIES

McQuay Service	Chiller maintenance contract	2,181.00
Dominion Virginia Power	Electrical service	7,844.20
AT&T	Phone	31.22
Sprint	Phone	58.22
Doli/Boiler Safety	Boiler inspection	60.00
Aramark Uniform Services	Janitorial supplies	192.82
Arcet Equipment Co.	Grinder/cords/brush	419.40

CANNERY

AT&T	Phone	23.87
Sprint	Phone	31.61

COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES ACT

Latrind Abdus Sabur	Foster care	322.50
Bedford County Treasurer	Foster care	6,453.00
Brookfield Inc.	Professional services	4,704.00
Centra Health	Professional services	27,000.00
Hallmark Youthcare-Richmond	Foster care	1,600.00
Presbyterian Home & Family Services	Foster care	2,940.00

In Re: Treasurer's Report

On motion of Mr. McKay and carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones Sally W. Gilfillan Charles W. McKay James C. Moore Howard F. Simpson Lacy B. Ward	Nay: None
------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------

the Board accepted the following Treasurer's Report for the month of January, 2006:

January, 2006

Fund balances were as follows:

General Fund-----	\$ 168,902.36	
General Fund Reserved for Investment-----	6,634,229.52	
		6,803,131.88*
Recreation Fund Reserved for Investment-----		25,099.62
Forfeited Assets Fund Reserved for Investment-----		42,135.70
Virginia's Heartland Fund-----		0.00
VPA Fund-----		0.00
Underground Storage Tank Liability Fund Reserved for Invest--		20,000.00
Board of Public Welfare Special Account-----		30,361.38
Piedmont ASAP Fund-----		138,218.03
PCS/DCJS Fund-----		0.00
Prince Edward Community Development Fund-----		31,249.00
School Capital Projects Fund—QZAB-----		1,091,313.50
School Fund-----		0.00
Landfill Construction Fund-----		159,107.85
PCS Fund-----		220,196.02
Undistributed Local Sales Tax Fund-----		0.00
		\$8,560,812.98

Cash accounts were as follows:

Cash in Office-----	1,000.00
Cash in Banks-----	1,510,337.93
Warrants Payable (School Fund)-----	0.00
General Fund Investments-----	6,803,131.88
Watershed Fund Investments-----	0.00
CDBG Reserve Fund Investments-----	0.00
Underground Storage Tank Fund-----	20,000.00
Recreation Fund Investments-----	25,099.62
Revenue Sharing Fund-VDOT-----	0.00
Landfill Construction Fund for Investment-----	159,107.85
Forfeited Asset Fund for Investment-----	42,135.70
	\$8,560,812.98

*Of this \$6,803,131.88 in the General Fund, \$3,755,595.93 is encumbered for:

Transfers to:	
School Fund	\$ 3,081,397.28
VPA Fund	308,569.08
Watershed Construction Fund	0.00

Revenue Sharing Fund-VDOT	0.00
Debt Obligations	365,629.57

Total	\$3,755,595.93
--------------	-----------------------

This leaves an unencumbered balance of \$3,047,535.95 in the General Fund.

STATEMENT OF DEPOSITORY BALANCES

Balances as of January, 2006:

Checking Accounts:

Benchmark Community Bank	1,019.51
Wachovia Bank	85,575.44
Branch Bank & Trust	246,004.31
Bank of America	235,000.00

\$567,599.26

Investment Accounts:

Mentor Investments – Stock Account	\$173,648.31
Benchmark Community Bank	700,000.00
Wachovia Bank	200,000.00
Citizens Bank & Trust Company	385,000.00
BB&T	3,409,502.70
Planters Bank & Trust	500,000.00
Mentor Investments	144,548.79
SNAP (State Non-Arbitrage Plan)	22,065.50
Bank of America	2,457,448.42

\$7,992,213.72

Supervisor Moore questioned Mrs. Shanaberger regarding the status of the sale of delinquent tax parcels. Mrs. Shanaberger indicated twenty-nine parcels had been turned over to Attorney James W. Elliott. During its February 14, 2006 meeting, the Board approved contracting with Mr. Elliott to conduct a Judicial Land Sale. Mrs. Shanaberger advised that she anticipated having a sale by late summer, perhaps earlier.

In Re: Appropriation – Clerk of the Circuit Court

The Board received a request from Mrs. Machel J. Eppes, Clerk of the Circuit Court, for an appropriation of \$2,646.05 to purchase a Laser Jet 4350n printer. Mrs. Eppes explained that the purchase had been approved through the Technology Trust Fund and the County would be reimbursed by the State Compensation Board.

A motion was made by Mr. Moore to appropriate \$2,646.05 to the Circuit Court Clerk's Account, #21600-3310, Repairs and Maintenance. The motion carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

In Re: Request for Building Permit Refund – Gary L. Williams

Mr. Gary L. Williams requested a refund of fees paid for a building permit he purchased in December 2002, to construct a home on his property located on Bradshaw Road in Lockett District. During the March 9, 2006 work session, Mr. McKay noted that building permits expire after two years and asked if there was a time restriction on refunds. The Administrator reported the County Code did not specify a time limit, and that Mr. Williams was entitled to an 80% refund of the base fees paid (\$243.00 base fee x 80% = \$194.40).

On motion made by Mr. McKay and carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors authorized issuance of a Treasurer's check to Mr. Gary L. Williams in the amount of \$194.40.

In Re: Local Choice Renewal

On motion of Mrs. Cooper-Jones and adopted:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

the Board approved the following 2006-07 health insurance renewal rates for county employees under the Local Choice Program:

	<u>Single</u>	<u>Dual</u>	<u>Family</u>
Key Advantage Expanded	\$441	\$816	\$1,191
Key Advantage 200	\$424	\$784	\$1,145

For those employees who have elected dual or family coverage, the cost is as follows for each employee per pay period:

	<u>Key Advantage</u>	<u>Key Advantage 200</u>
Dual	\$375	\$343
Family	\$750	\$704

In Re: Introduction of Commonwealth Regional Council Executive Director

Mr. Danny Fore, Executive Director of the Commonwealth Regional Council, introduced himself to the Board. Mr. Fore is a native Virginian having grown up in the Rustburg area.

His past experience included managing “several agencies around the country and previously was the executive director of the Rappahannock Area Development Commission in the Fredericksburg area. I have both planning experience and economic development experience. My objective, at this point and time, is to try and take the previous planning efforts of the Piedmont Planning District Commission, and the economic development efforts of the Heartland Partnership, and bring those two together in a very cost effective and efficient manner. We need to concentrate on good effective planning because you can certainly destroy your environment if you don’t take care of it. We also need to concentrate on growing the economy of the Commonwealth region so the residents can experience a better quality of life. Having been here only nineteen days, it is hard to come before you with a vision of the community. I think visions typically arise from getting to know the people who have lived in the area for the longest period of time-- that’s you and the residents of the region. I am doing that as time progresses.”

Mr. Fore invited Board members to call upon him at anytime. “I’d like to meet with each of you to get your personal prospective on what needs to be happening within the Commonwealth regional so it can be everything you want it to be.”

In Re: Highway Matters

In the absence of the Resident Highway Engineer, Vice-Chairman Simpson asked if Board members had any matters they wished to have forwarded to him.

Mr. Moore questioned the status of his request for a speed reduction on Route 634 between the dumpster site and Virso Bridge. County Planner, Jonathan Pickett, advised that he had spoken with Mr. Mark McKissick, the Assistant Resident Engineer, and was told the Lynchburg District Office had approved a 45-mph speed limit. "It still has to be signed by the Transportation Commissioner. Mr. McKissick said it would be very unusual for the Commissioner to not approve what was recommended...Once the speed limit is lowered we should have sufficient sight distance."

In Re: EMS Budget Requests

Mr. Ralph Crawley, President of the Prince Edward Area Firefighter's Association, presented 2006-07 budget requests on behalf of the individual fire departments, first responders, and Firefighter's Association. Fire departments are requesting a \$500 increase in their operations budget and a \$10,000 increase for equipment. The first responder units in Rice, Hampden-Sydney, and Pamplin are seeking funding in the amount of \$5,000 and the Firefighter's Association asked for \$10,000. Mr. Crawley indicated "increases were needed because of the increase in fuel prices, supplies, insurance, and maintenance on the vehicles". The increase in the Association's request would be used for training and upgrading the 25-year-old air van. "It is past its life expectancy. We are working to get prices on a new one...We are hoping to get an 80/20 or 50/50 grant to replace it."

A detailed breakdown follows:

	Current \$ FY 2005-06	Requested \$ FY 2006-07	
Farmville Volunteer Fire Department	\$ 51,500 15,000	\$52,000 25,000	Operations Equipment
Rice Volunteer Fire Department	51,500 15,000	52,000 25,000	Operations Equipment
Prospect Volunteer Fire Department	51,500 15,000	52,000 25,000	Operations Equipment
Hampden-Sydney Volunteer Fire Department	51,500 15,000	52,000 25,000	Operations Equipment

Darlington Heights Volunteer Fire Department	51,500 15,000	52,000 25,000	Operations Equipment
Meherrin Volunteer Fire Department	51,500 15,000	52,000 25,000	Operations Equipment
Pamplin Volunteer Fire Department	51,500 15,000	52,000 25,000	Operations Equipment
Rice Volunteer Fire Department 1 st Responder	2,500	5,000	
Hampden-Sydney Volunteer Fire Department 1 st Responder	2,500	5,000	
Pamplin Volunteer Fire Department 1 st Responder	2,500	5,000	
Prince Edward Area Firefighter's Association	6,000	10,000	

In Re: Prince Edward Volunteer Rescue Squad Request

Mr. Brian Butler, Captain of the Prince Edward Volunteer Rescue Squad, and Mrs. Nancy Haga, Treasurer, distributed a packet of information including a brochure, Squad Care enrollment form, and list of officers. Mrs. Haga reported the squad "ran 1,700 calls this year. Forty-six percent of them were in this County...less than 1% of calls were not answered by the rescue squad."

Mr. Butler presented the Squad's 2006-07 budget request for \$60,000. "...\$50,000 of that goes to pay for the ambulances, \$10,000 is to partially pay for replacement of defibrillators. The defibrillators we have are no longer supported by the manufacturer. Also, they no longer provide care consistent with the standard of care in the medical community...We are putting nine new defibrillators in service. Because we purchased a large number of defibrillators, they are giving us four to use on our auxiliary units. We are purchasing five to put on our ambulances to improve the quality of care we are providing to the community."

Mr. Butler pointed out that even though the brochure indicated 46% of the Squad's calls were run in Prince Edward County "actually it is closer to 90%. We divide out the Town calls for purposes of going to each governing body and appropriating funds, but virtually all the calls we run in the Town of Farmville are actually run also in Prince Edward County. I think sometimes we think of them as separate entities, but we are all in this together...We are answering well over 99% of the calls dispatched to the rescue squad.

When we are not able to respond it is because we are already dispatched on another call. That's something we can't avoid."

Mr. Butler also advised that the Squad was appropriating "a little over \$75,000 to implement a computer system. It will improve our billing situation for revenue recovery, and it will improve our ability to perform quality assurance and quality improvement. That may seem like a luxury item. It is not. The State is mandating we do quality improvement. They are mandating we do quality assurance. We have to have a plan in place. By having the computer system, we are able to do that more efficiently, more effectively.

In response to a question from Mr. Moore regarding charges made under the Squad Care Program, Mr. Butler advised "it costs about \$478,000 a year to run the rescue squad. Even though we get a generous donation from the County, we have to come up with the rest of the money. That money comes from donations from the public, memorial contributions and Squad Care. I think our percentage of recovery is about sixty percent—up from about thirty-five percent. We are now operating in about the national average of recovery for agencies that do revenue recovery...Our billing company has agreed to reduce the cost they charge us for doing the billing by 20% as a result of us adopting the computer system. They are confident that it is going to improve our income, and subsequently, their income...We figure less than a five year return on investment for the computer system."

Mr. Butler also reported that the "Squad has been funding paid personnel to assist with response during the daytime. We spent a little over \$50,000 last year providing for response during the daytime. That's something we are doing ourselves to make sure the community is protected. We take our responsibility seriously in terms of making sure that when somebody dials 911 they get an ambulance."

In Re: Meherrin Volunteer Fire & Rescue

Mr. David Norman, EMS Captain of Meherrin Volunteer Fire & Rescue, presented a budget request for level funding of \$10,000, and updated the Board on services provided. "We recently got another ambulance in service. We took one of our older ambulances that Charlotte County had given us and updated it through a grant through the Rescue Squad Assistance Fund. We put it in service three weeks ago. We have, basically, two brand new ambulances—a 2005 and a 2001. We are also applying for

another grant to update our defibrillators. Our defibrillators are older. They are not updated. They cannot do as much as the new ones can... We also received extrication equipment through another grant cycle through FEMA... We've used it on a couple of calls already.

We ran 330 (EMS) calls last year. We've had to call mutual aid from Prince Edward. We've used first responders from Rice and Hampden-Sydney, as well. Everyone works together as a team. We can't do it alone. Luckily, we have enough people in our department that we are covering all the calls. Sometimes we get another call back-to-back. Sometimes we have a crew, sometimes we don't, but we all have a team approach. It's all for the patient."

Mr. Norman thanked the Board, particularly Supervisor Moore, for the new Virso Bridge. "It has been a tremendous and enormous help. (The old bridge) was very unsafe for Meherrin Fire & Rescue and for patient-residents in that area. Sometimes we had to go around because it was unsafe. Now it's a lot easier to get across to provide fire and rescue services. It is greatly appreciated."

In Re: Resolution-Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act

Board members were asked to readopt a resolution regarding the County's participation in the regional program for the Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act. Vice-Chairman Simpson advised that the resolution was originally adopted some years ago; however, it needed to be updated in order to remove the name of the recently retired Court Service Unit Director.

Mr. Moore moved adoption of the resolution. The motion carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

**A RESOLUTION OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE
COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD, VIRGINIA**

VIRGINIA JUVENILE COMMUNITY CRIME CONTROL ACT

WHEREAS, the County of Prince Edward participates in the Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act and accepts funds appropriate for the purpose as set forth in this Act;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward does hereby intend to combine with the governing bodies of the Counties of Appomattox, Buckingham, Charlotte, Cumberland and Lunenburg, and that Charlotte County will act as fiscal agent for these localities; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward does hereby authorize the Director of the 10th District Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court Service Unit to execute the plan on the behalf of the County of Prince Edward.

In Re: Appointments-High Bridge State Park Master Planning Advisory Committee

The Board received correspondence from Mr. Joe Elton, Director of Virginia State Parks, inviting the County to appoint two representatives to the High Bridge Trail State Park Advisory Committee. Vice-Chairman Simpson advised that he had been instructed by the Board Chairman to appoint Mr. William G. Fore, Jr. and Mrs. Sarah Puckett to serve on the committee.

Mr. Ward questioned the appointment indicating "the committee might better be served if we had our Economic Development and Tourism person on there. Here's a project that will be dependent, to a great extent, on this type vision."

Mr. Simpson explained that since Mrs. Puckett had been involved with the project since its inception, it was felt she could better represent the County at this stage of development. Ms. Sharon Carney, Director of Economic Development and Tourism, will be asked to provide input on the marketing aspect of the project.

In Re: PERT-Review of Routes and Ridership

During its March 9, 2006 work session, the Board discussed a recommendation from Mrs. Julie Adams, Transit Manager of Farmville Area Bus, to discontinue the Purple (Hampden-Sydney /Darlington Heights) and Yellow (Rice/Piney Grove) bus lines due to lack of ridership. Mrs. Adams proposed adding another day to the more heavily used Orange Line that serves the Meherrin/Green Bay area.

Mr. Moore moved approval of the request effective April 1, 2006, directing that an advertisement be run in THE FARMVILLE HERALD notifying citizens of the discontinuance of the Purple and Yellow Lines. The motion carried:

Aye: Pattie Cooper-Jones
Sally W. Gilfillan
Charles W. McKay
James C. Moore
Howard F. Simpson
Lacy B. Ward

Nay: None

In Re: Planning Commission – Review of By-Laws

Board members were provided copies of the by-laws adopted by the Prince Edward County Planning Commission on February 15, 2006. They read:

**Bylaws
Of
Prince Edward County Planning Commission**

- 1) Meetings shall be held on a monthly basis, normally on the third Wednesday of the month at 7:30 P.M. in the Board of Supervisor's room. The schedule may be altered at any regularly scheduled meeting. Meetings may be cancelled due to lack of business, but at a minimum, meetings must be held at least every other month.
- 2) Additional meetings may be held at any time upon the call of the chairman, or by a majority of the members of the commission, or upon request of the Board of Supervisors following at least twenty-four hours notice to each member of the commission.
- 3) The commission at its regular meeting in January of each year shall elect a chairman and vice-chairman. The recording secretary shall be the Director of Planning and Community Development or his designated alternate, who shall make an audiotape of the proceedings of each meeting and prepare minutes for the permanent records of the commission.
- 4) The duties and powers of the officers of the planning commission shall be as follows:
 - A. Chairman
 - Preside at all meetings of the commission.
 - Call special meetings of the commission in accordance with the bylaws.
 - Sign documents of the commission.
 - See that all actions of the commission are properly taken.
 - B. Vice-Chairman

During the absence, disability, or disqualification of the chairman, the vice-chairman shall exercise or perform all duties and be subject to all the responsibilities of the chairman.
 - C. Recording Secretary
 - Prepare an audiotape of the proceedings of each meeting of the commission.

- Prepare minutes from the audiotape of each meeting in detail sufficient to include the tenor of public comments and the commission's reasoning underlying each decision or recommendation.
 - Circulate a copy of the minutes to each member of the commission before the next meeting.
 - Prepare the agenda for all commission meetings.
 - Be custodian of commission records.
 - Inform the commission of correspondence relating to business of the commission and attend to such correspondence.
 - Handle funds allocated to the commission in accordance with its directives, state law, and county ordinances.
 - Sign official documents of the commission.
- 5) All maps, plats, site plans, and other materials submitted to the commission shall be filed in the office of the Director of Planning and Community Development and maintained for public access until the project to which they relate has been completed or vacated. Minutes of the commission's meetings shall be permanently filed in the office of the planner and maintained for public access.
- 6) Matters referred to the commission by the Board of Supervisors shall be placed on the calendar for consideration and possible action at the first meeting of the commission after the referral and appropriate public notification.
- 7) A majority of the members of the commission shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business, but no quorum shall be required for informational meetings at which no action is to be taken.
- 8) Reconsideration of any decision of the commission may be taken when the interested party for such reconsideration makes a showing satisfactory to the chairman that, without fault or deliberate omission on his own part, essential facts were not presented to the chairman.
- 9) *Roberts Rules of Order for Committees* shall govern the commission's proceedings in all cases not specifically ordered by these bylaws.
- 10) Order of consideration of agenda items in a public hearing:
- Director of Planning and Community Development or other staff member presents report and makes a recommendation.
 - Commission members may question the staff member on the presentation.
 - Proponents of the agenda item make presentations as appropriate.
 - Opponents of the agenda item make presentations as appropriate.
 - Applicant make rebuttal of objections not previously covered.
 - Commission members may question applicant, proponents, or opponents or may offer comments on the agenda item.
 - Commission votes on recommendation to Board of Supervisors.
- 11) Any member of the commission who feels that he has a conflict of interest on any matter before the commission shall voluntarily excuse himself from discussion and any subsequent vote.
- 12) Each member of the commission who has knowledge that he will be unable to attend a scheduled meeting of the commission shall notify the County Administrator's office at the earliest opportunity. The Director of Planning and Community Development shall notify the chairman if projected absences will produce a lack of

quorum. Members who are absent from three consecutive meetings, or who are absent from more than half of the commission's meetings during a calendar year, will be referred to the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors for possible replacement.

- 13) The vice-chairman shall succeed the chairman if he vacates his office before his term is completed. A new vice-chairman shall be elected at the next regular meeting.
- 14) These bylaws may be amended at any meeting having a quorum present by a majority vote, provided that notice of such proposed amendment has been given to each member in writing at least two weeks prior to its consideration.

Mr. Ward asked if Section 11, pertaining to conflict of interest, “was a new provision”.

County Planner, Jonathan L. Pickett, explained that these were the Commission’s “first formally adopted by-laws”.

Supervisor Gilfillan suggested changes to the wording in three of the paragraphs, and recommended that throughout “the entire set of the bylaws we make sure we don’t only refer to men—that it’s made gender neutral.”

Paragraph 10 – “I request we add a bullet that any input received, in writing, from citizens or other interested parties will be considered along with other information.”

Paragraph 11 – “There is a distinction between legal conflict of interest and ethical behavior. I offer a change that says any member of the commission who has any personal or financial interest in any matter before the commission declare the nature of that interest; and shall, if the interest constitutes a legal conflict of interest by Virginia law, recuse themselves from deliberations on that matter including lobbying other members, participating in discussions or voting on that matter. In cases where the interests do not raise to the level of conflict of interest by Virginia law, a member may voluntarily recuse themselves in the interest of avoiding the appearance of conflict. All commission members shall be sensitive to the importance of impartially, and endeavor always to avoid any actual or appearance of conflict of interest. I would like to offer that as wording in place of what is there now.”

Paragraph 14 – “I propose that since these by-laws are being approved by this Board (of Supervisors), only this Board (of Supervisors) ought to be able to change the by-laws. That #14 either be deleted, or replaced with wording such that any by-law changes must be approved by the Board of Supervisors.”

Vice-Chairman Simpson asked that the by-laws be referred back to the Planning Commission for amendment. The Board will reconsider this matter at its April meeting.

In Re: Planning Commission Resolution

The Supervisors reviewed and discussed the following "Resolution Creating the County Planning Commission". The resolution was prepared by the County Attorney per an earlier request of the Board, and updates Code sections referenced throughout to correspond with changes resulting from recodification of the Virginia State Code.

**A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD, VIRGINIA**

CREATION OF COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

WHEREAS, Virginia Code Title 15.2, Chapter 22, Article 2 provides that every locality shall create a local planning commission to promote the orderly development of the locality and its environs; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, Virginia, wishes to update its resolution creating the Prince Edward Planning Commission; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia, as follows:

Section 1. A County Planning Commission is hereby created for Prince Edward County, Virginia, in accordance with the provisions of Title 15.2, Article 2 of the Code of Virginia. Said commission shall consist of nine (9) members, one of whom shall be a member of the Board of Supervisors, and the remaining eight (8), hereinafter referred to as appointed members, shall be residents of Prince Edward County, Virginia and freeholders qualified by knowledge and experience to make decisions on questions of community growth and development. The Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, Virginia may establish such other requirements for eligibility as the Board of Supervisors shall deem appropriate. All of the members shall be appointed by the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, Virginia. Of the members first appointed, two (2) shall be appointed for a term of one (1) year, two (2) shall be appointed for a term of two (2) years, two (2) shall be appointed for a term of three (3) years and two (2) shall be appointed for a term of four (4) years. Their successors shall be appointed for terms of four (4) years. Any vacancy in membership shall be filled by appointment by the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, Virginia, and such appointed, in the case of an appointed member, shall be for the unexpired term. Any appointed member may be removed by the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, Virginia, for inefficiency, neglect of duty, or malfeasance in office, provided that such removal may be made only after a public hearing at which said member is given an opportunity to appear and be heard on the charges against him.

Section 2. The Prince Edward County Planning Commission shall have the functions, powers, and duties which are prescribed by law and generally contained in Title 15.2, Chapter 22, Article 2.

Section 3. The Prince Edward County Planning Commission shall adopt by-laws and establish a program of work after consultation with the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, Virginia. This program of work shall outline the activities in which the Planning Commission expects to engage in (a) assembling such data regarding Prince Edward County, Virginia, and the surrounding territory as said Planning Commission shall deem necessary in exercising its functions, powers, and duties; (b) analyzing such data and making such studies as may be necessary in the preparation of a comprehensive plan for Prince Edward County, Virginia; (c) preparing the comprehensive plan as a general guide for the development of Prince Edward County, Virginia, and as a basis for the preparation of zoning and other regulations; and (d) recommending to the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, Virginia, appropriate procedures for effectuating the comprehensive plan.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect and shall be in full force and effect from and after the date of its adoption.

Supervisor Ward requested that Section 1 of the resolution be changed to indicate membership of the Planning Commission would consist of “one representative from each judicial district of the County”.

Vice-Chairman Simpson reminded him that during its December 13, 2005 meeting, the Board had voted that membership of the Planning Commission would consist of “Prince Edward County residents living outside the Town of Farmville limits”.

Mr. Ward asked that the decision be reconsidered.

Mrs. Gilfillan pointed out that persons living in the Town of Farmville “were residents of Prince Edward County... This is the resolution that was adopted in 1966. The only change that has been made was to align with current Code sections because the Code of Virginia section numbers have some difference today, in 2006, then they did in 1966. That’s why the wording is as it is. This is what was adopted in 1966. My request is that rather than do anything with this resolution, we create a planning ordinance for Prince Edward County consistent with the fact this is now the year 2006. Our County is changing—it’s not the same as it was in 1966 and it’s certainly not going to be the same five-fifteen years from now. I think our citizens would like to be a part of, and aware of, the fact that we are updating. In the interest of openness and transparency in government, I ask that we have an ordinance rather than just simply updating and changing Code numbers on a resolution from 1966.”

Following Mrs. Gilfillan’s comments, Supervisor Ward made a motion that the Board “establish an ordinance instead of a resolution” creating the County Planning Commission.

The Vice-Chairman asked the County Attorney for direction on how to proceed. Mrs. Dickerson advised, "the Code provides that the County can establish a planning commission either by resolution or by ordinance. (Mr. Ward's) motion is in order according to the State Code."

Mrs. Gilfillan then asked for clarification of Mr. Ward's motion. "So, we are voting to replace the 1960's resolution with the creation of an ordinance?"

Mr. Ward answered, "That's right."

Vice-Chairman Simpson explained that if the Board chose to enact an ordinance, one would have to be drafted by the County Attorney for review at the April meeting. He then called for a vote on Mr. Ward's motion. The motion failed:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay:	Charles W. McKay
	Sally W. Gilfillan		James C. Moore
	Lacy B. Ward		Howard F. Simpson

The County Attorney advised that she had "drafted the resolution in response to a request of the Board at the December meeting. I will point out, that typically, ordinances are updated for current Code sections and changes in the State Code. Resolutions are not. I pointed out to the Board during the work session last week, I didn't see any necessity of updating the resolution. It typically is not done."

Mr. Ward said, "But there is nothing to prohibit update."

Mrs. Dickerson responded, "No sir. The Code of Virginia periodically goes through recodification in which the State legislature changes the numbers. Between the state statute that existed when this resolution was enacted, and the State statute now that provides for planning commissions, there has been no change other than the change in the numbers that happened during recodification."

Supervisor Gilfillan felt it would be helpful to know how many counties were still operating under a resolution, and of those who were, the number of years the resolution had been in existence. Staff will survey all counties via email and report back to the Board in April.

Mrs. Gilfillan also asked the Board to reconsider a sentence in Section 1 of the resolution. The sentence reads, *The Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, Virginia may establish such other requirements for eligibility as the Board of Supervisors shall deem appropriate.* "One problem in my mind with using a resolution from the 1960's, and having growth affecting all citizens of our county, is the ability that the Board could establish such other requirements as they deem appropriate without the public

being aware of it. The resolution requires no hearing for updating. I ask, as we table this, if we end up that the idea of an ordinance is truly defeated...that we change some of the wording in Section 1 concerning what Mr. Ward and I pointed out.”

In Re: CDA – Use of County Quarry Water

The Board reviewed an agreement, drafted by the County Attorney, regarding Poplar Hill Community Development Authority’s request to use water from the County-owned quarry.

Mr. Ward read the following sentence from the agreement: The County *shall* approve such request unless it is determined that the County has a need for the water in the quarry for *its own purposes*. “Here we have the CDA restricting us on our making this decision. We may not want to use the water for our own purposes, but maybe for...other priorities (like a dry hydrant). That’s what I thought the wording would state. When we say we can only turn it down if we are going to use the water for our own purposes, that’s restricting us greatly.”

The County Attorney suggested changing the wording of the sentence from “its own purposes” to “other purposes”. With that change, Supervisor McKay made a motion to adopt the agreement. The motion carried unanimously:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

The revised agreement reads:

AGREEMENT

This agreement is made between The Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, Virginia (hereinafter called “County”), and Poplar Hill Community Development Authority of Prince Edward County, Virginia (hereinafter called “Poplar Hill CDA”), as follows:

WHEREAS, the County is the owner of a tract of land located in Prince Edward County, Virginia upon which lies a quarry that contains spring water; and

WHEREAS, Poplar Hill CDA has requested permission to use the water in the quarry as a water source for its golf course in times of drought.

NOW, THEREFORE, the County and the Poplar Hill CDA hereby agree as follows:

A. Should Poplar Hill CDA determine that it has need of water from the quarry located on property owned by the County, the Poplar Hill CDA shall request, in writing, that the County allow the Poplar Hill CDA to use said water to irrigate the Poplar Hill CDA golf course. The County shall approve such request unless it is determined that the County has a need for the water in the quarry for other purposes.

B. Poplar Hill CDA shall not use the water for any other purpose other than irrigation of its golf course. Access to the water in the quarry shall be through property owned by Poplar Hill CDA and shall not be through the County's property to State Route 628.

C. Poplar Hill CDA shall not cause any permanent piping to be installed on the County property but shall be allowed to use temporary piping to extract the water.

D. Poplar Hill CDA will be solely responsible for all costs related to the extraction of water from the County's property.

E. Poplar Hill CDA shall assume full responsibility for acts, negligence, or omissions of all its employees, agents and subcontractors and all other persons or entities involved in the extraction of water from the County's property.

F. Poplar Hill CDA shall indemnify and hold harmless the County, and its agents and employees, from and against all claims, damages, losses, and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees in case it will be necessary to file an action, arising out of this agreement, which are (1) for bodily injury, illness, or death, or for property damage, including loss of use, and (2) caused in whole or in part by Poplar Hill CDA's negligent act or omission, or that of a subcontractor, or that of anyone employed by it or for whose acts the contractor or subcontractor may be liable.

G. Poplar Hill CDA shall keep in force at its own expense during the entire period of this agreement liability insurance that will protect it from claims, under workers' compensation and other employee benefit laws, for bodily injury and death, and for property damage, that may arise out of work under agreement, whether directly or indirectly by the contractor, or directly or indirectly by a subcontractor. Proof of this insurance will be filed by Poplar Hill CDA with the County within a reasonable time after execution of this contract.

H. The County may terminate this agreement at any time upon giving ten (10) days written notice to Poplar Hill CDA.

I. Any notice to be given to the County pursuant to the terms of this agreement shall be sent to:

Mildred B. Hampton, County Administrator
Prince Edward County
Post Office Box 382
Farmville, Virginia 23901

With a copy to:

Jill C. Dickerson
County Attorney
117 North Main Street
Farmville, Virginia 23901

In Re: Approval of Transfer of Charter Communications Franchise Agreement

The County received correspondence from Charter Communications Cable System requesting the Board approve the sale of its franchise to Cebridge Acquisition, LLC (doing business as Cebridge Connections). The Administrator reported that recent legislation passed by the General Assembly "allows more than one company to come into a franchised area. It hasn't gone into effect yet. We are researching it more."

Based on this information, the Board agreed to table the matter until the April meeting.

In Re: Publication of Biosolids Information

During the February work session, the Board Chairman requested staff look into the legalities of publishing the name and address of the owners of farms receiving biosolids. A sample draft ad was presented to the Board during the March 9, 2006 work session for consideration. The ad would include the following information:

Address/Location of Property
of Acres
Tax Map #
Anticipated Dates of Spreading

In the absence of Supervisor Robert M. Jones, Assistant Administrator Sarah Puckett reminded the Board of discussion during the March 9, 2006 work session during which Mr. Jones had requested the ad be amended to include the road name and magisterial district rather than the address/location of the property.

Mrs. Cooper-Jones felt "citizens have a right to know it is being spread. I think State law gives us the right to print the address. Although it might not benefit some farmers for people to know it is coming, it has a horrendous smell. It is something I personally believe effects your health, and you have a right to know...I think it should be published."

Mrs. Puckett also reminded Board members that the Chairman had indicated the cost of the ad would be approximately \$45.00, and that Supervisor McKay had requested notices be published in only one edition of the newspaper "so people would get use to either seeing it in the Wednesday or the Friday paper".

Supervisor Cooper-Jones made a motion that notices of the spreading of biosolids be advertised one time in the Friday edition of THE FARMVILLE HERALD, that the notice be published as soon as possible after the County receives notification from Nutri-Blend Inc., and the ad include the following information:

Address/Location of Property
of Acres
Tax Map #
Anticipated Dates of Spreading

The motion carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

In Re: Personnel Committee Report and Recommendations

Board members reviewed the following report and recommendations from the Personnel Committee:

Summary – The Committee met and discussed the following items: (1) the retirement of Housekeeper Virginia Stanton; (2) the consideration of special salary requests made by Magistrates, the Commonwealth’s Attorney and the Director of Piedmont Court Services.

Recommendations – 1. The Committee accepted the recommendation of the County Administrator that upon the retirement of Virginia Stanton a housekeeping vacancy be advertised.

2. The Committee recommended that the Board eliminate the per mile reimbursement for Magistrates and deny the \$250 per month salary supplement request. The Committee also recommended that the Board send a letter to the Judges requesting their support for a salary increase FROM THE STATE for the Magistrates due to the increased work load because of the work area realignment and new technology.

3. The Committee recommended that during budget deliberations the Board consider the salary levels in the Office of the Commonwealth’s Attorney at the levels recommended by the Consultant.

4. The Committee recommended that during budget deliberations the Board consider the salary of the Director of Piedmont Court Services (PCS) at the level recommended by the Consultant, and that the Board send a letter to the counties in the PCS region asking for their support of the PCS budget request.

Following some discussion, a motion was made by Mr. Ward to approve the recommendations of the Personnel Committee. The motion carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

In Re: Joint Meeting with Town of Farmville

The Administrator reported that a joint meeting with Farmville Town Council had been scheduled for noon on Monday, April 24, 2006, at Settle Hall, Hampden-Sydney College. The County will host the meeting. Board members were asked to contact the Administrator's office if they had items they wished to have included on the agenda.

Supervisor Gilfillan asked who would be present at the meeting, and specifically, whether Planning Commissioners would be in attendance. It was agreed that the meeting would consist of Board and Council members, the administrative staffs of both the Town and County, Town and County Planners; and Mrs. Sharon Carney, Director of Economic Development and Tourism. Planning Commission members will not be invited to the initial meeting. Mrs. Gilfillan indicated she "did not have a strong feeling one way or the other, but I did want it to come up because I think that at some point in the future, if we are going to meet every six months, we would want the planning commissions to join us."

In Re: Supervisor Ward Letter

Supervisor Ward referenced a letter he had distributed to each Board member prior to the beginning of the meeting. "My request is we take this matter seriously. I have described an incident that occurred between the Chairman of the Board and me. I agonized for a month and now that I have explained to you exactly what took place, I hope that you will take it seriously. I think we are at a point

where we have to be in control because we are the County leaders. What we do may have a lot to do with the decisions we make. I hope you will read that letter—that you will consider the seriousness of it—and let’s talk about it and try and resolve the matter.”

In Re: Closed Meeting

On motion made by Mr. Moore and adopted by the following vote:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

the Board entered into closed meeting pursuant to the Code of Virginia, 1950 as amended, Section 2.2-3711 (A)(1), to discuss complaints and performance relating to personnel.

The Board returned to regular session by motion of Mr. McKay and adopted as follows:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

On motion of Mr. Moore and carried by roll call vote:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

the Board adopted the following Certification of Closed Meeting in accordance with the Virginia Freedom of Information Act declaring that the only matter discussed during the closed session was that relating to complaints and performance of personnel:

WHEREAS, the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of The Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by this Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered by the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors.

In Re: Personnel Committee

Mr. Howard F. Simpson, who serves as Chairman of the Prince Edward County Personnel Committee, announced that Mr. William G. Fore, Jr. would serve in his place during the upcoming Personnel Committee meeting scheduled for April 3, 2006.

On motion of Mr. McKay and adopted by the following vote:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

the meeting was recessed at 9:20 p.m. and will reconvene March 28, 2006, at 7:00 p.m., for presentation of the 2006-2007 School Budget.

March 28, 2006

At a reconvened meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, held at the Court House, thereof, on Tuesday the 28th day of March, 2006; at 7:00 p.m., there were present:

William G. Fore, Jr., Chairman

Howard F. Simpson, Vice-Chairman

Pattie Cooper-Jones

Sally W. Gilfillan

Robert M. Jones

Charles W. McKay

James C. Moore

Lacy B. Ward

Also present: Mildred B. Hampton, County Administrator; Sarah Puckett, Assistant Administrator; Jonathan L. Pickett, Director of Planning and Community Development; Dr. Margaret Blackmon, Prince Edward County School Superintendent, and members of the Prince Edward County School Board.

Chairman Fore called the meeting to order.

In Re: Presentation of 2006-07 School Budget

Dr. Margaret Blackmon, Division Superintendent, presented the proposed school budget for fiscal year 2006-07. The budget totaled \$27,969,518 and was based on an average daily membership of 2,600 students.

Budget Highlights - "Most of our budget is going for personnel costs. A 5% salary increase is what we propose for all of our employees. We also have some significant VRS and health insurance costs. Our VRS is going from 12% to 14.2% and our health insurance is increasing by 8.4%. That is a figure of \$574,000. We also (added funding) for increased electrical services and fuel for heating our buildings and for our buses."

The budget included \$64,500 for contracted services in the forms of testing, therapy, and work transition opportunities for special needs students; and \$21,000 to increase the offerings and opportunities for students enrolled in the gifted program.

“We are requesting that you approve a second Qualified Zone Academy Bond (QZAB) in the amount of \$1 million. We would have to apply for that by June 1st. There would be no interest charge. In essence, we would be borrowing \$1 million and paying for that over fifteen years at 0% interest which ends up being right at \$900,000 (pay back). We would not have any debt payment during 2006-07.

One of the major things I hope you feel good about, is the fact that our State funding is rising by \$1,726,655. That’s an 11.02% increase. There are several reasons for this. The major reason is that the State government is willing to put more funds into public education. Another reason is that our composite index has changed. This past biennium it was \$.29 on the dollar that Prince Edward was paying in local tax money to match the State’s \$.71. Now it’s \$.28.

We are requesting a 6.59% increase in local funds. That amounts to \$437,665 new dollars (and includes the debt service increase for QZAB and VPSA bonds). Of this 6.59% increase, 2.02% is an increase simply because we are getting so much more State money. In order to get that State money, we have to increase our local match.”

Revenues and Expenditures - The following chart reflects a comparison of the current year’s revenues and expenditures with the proposed figures for 2006-07:

	<u>2005-06</u> <u>Adopted</u>	<u>2006-07</u> <u>Proposed</u>	<u>% Increase</u>
REVENUE:			
Local Funds – Operational	\$5,770,625	\$6,149,554	6.57
Local Funds – Debt Service	867,082	925,818	6.77
State	15,670,634	17,397,289	11.02
Federal	3,061,717	3,191,518	4.24
Individuals, Agencies and Other Schools	373,536	305,341	-18.26
TOTALS	25,743,594	27,969,520	8.65
EXPENDITURES:			
Instructional	\$19,009,709	\$20,758,438	9.20

Maintenance:	Electrical Services	80,000	
	Heating Services (Fuel Oil)	23,000	
	Travel/Training	10,000	
	Maintenance Service Contracts	8,000	
	Materials & Supplies	14,000	
	Subtotal		135,000
Gifted:	Purchased Services/Art Initiatives	2,235	
	Memberships	1,000	
	Travel	5,500	
	Materials & Supplies/All Disciplines	9,639	
	Textbooks	2,934	
	Subtotal		21,308
Textbooks:	Elementary	32,288	
	High School	20,867	
	Amount Needed to Comply w/ State Funding	15,560	
	Subtotal		68,715
Contracted Services for Testing & Occupational & Physical Therapy:	Elementary	20,000	
	Middle	14,505	
	High	15,000	
	Subtotal		49,505
Transition for Special Needs Students: STEPS & Woodrow Wilson	High	15,000	
	Subtotal		15,000
Elementary:	Conferences	2,150	
	4-yr old budget	4,500	
	Instructional Materials	2,400	
	Copier Contracts	2,000	
	Principal Wkshops & Memberships	1,900	
	Librarian Conferences	800	
	Subtotal		13,750
Middle:	Psychological Services Supplies	2,000	
	Homebound Services	5,000	
	Purchased Services (Postage, etc.)	7,500	
	Copier Contracts	1,500	
	Principal Materials & Supplies	4,989	
	Materials & Supplies for Instruction	345	
	Subtotal		21,334
High:	English or Math Teacher	45,000	
	Subtotal		45,000

Debt Service:	QZAB	62,225
	VPSA Interest	29,528
Subtotal:		91,753
Grand Total		\$2,216,026

“If you approve our budget, we will be spending almost \$873,000 on salaries. That’s all of our different classifications of salaries together. We are also spending almost half a million dollars on our VRS increase. It is going up significantly for schools all around the State. When you look at the total personnel costs of what we would like in new money from you and the State, we’re looking at \$1.65 million that is associated with personnel.”

Increased funding was also included for school bus fuel, as well as electrical and heating costs. “We have small amounts for gifted education, for textbooks, and special needs services. Anytime a parent refers their child for special education, (the child is) given a series of tests to determine whether they are eligible... We are required under federal law to educate every child from the age of two to twenty-two if they have a special need.

We have added an English or Math teacher (for the high school). We currently have several classes of sophomore English that have thirty-two or thirty-three students. By law, under the Standards of Quality, we can have only a ratio of 1:24 in English from grades six through twelve.”

The Priority One List also included debt service payments of \$62,225 and \$29,528 for the QZAB and VPSA bonds respectively. “The QZAB is a bond we have that is \$1,116,857. For that, we owe \$62,225. The first payment will be due this summer and that will continue over a fifteen year period. Only the interest will be due on the VPSA bond. It is a little less than \$30,000. The figure of the total amount of funding that is new is \$2,216,026. It would be important to understand that \$1.7 million of that is State money and \$437,000 is the local amount.”

Priority List Two included the following:

Additional positions: (Computed with fringe benefits)

High School:	Math or English Teacher (for smaller class size & remediation)	\$45,500
	Biology/Chemistry Teacher (for smaller class size & higher SOL scores)	45,500

	Special Education Aide-LD/ED (caseloads are at max)	21,000
	Special Education Aide-MR (caseloads are over max)	21,000
	EMT Teacher (additional elective)	45,500
	College & Career Counselor & SOL Testing and Tracking Coordinator (needed for SOL environment for 850 students)	45,500
	Secretary 11-12 months (to cover office while others are on vacation)	3,100
Middle School:	Secretary for Office	22,750
	Special Education Teacher-6 th Grade (Teacher of severe disability student from ES)	43,500
	Special Education Teacher-7 th Grade (co-teaching model)	43,500
Elementary School:	Aide for Kindergarten	18,000
	Aide for 1 st Grade	18,000
	Aide for 2 nd Grade	18,000
	Aide for 3 rd Grade	18,000
	Aide for 4 th Grade (needed to cover lunch & playground duty)	18,000
	Clerical Aide (to help out with extra duties)	22,000
	Teacher for Special Education	45,500
	Teacher for Pre-K	45,500
	Teacher for Kindergarten	45,500
	Teacher for 1 st Grade	45,500
	Teacher for 4 th Grade (for additional students)	45,500
	Special Education Aide 1-1	21,000
	Psychologist 11-12 months (for summer testing & eligibility mtgs)	6,300
	Second Librarian (needed to serve students)	49,800
	Instructional Technology Resource Tech (position needs to be split from another)	43,500
	Subtotal	796,950

Additional Supplies & Programs:

High School:	Freshman Programs	2,500	
	8 th Grade Evening	3,000	
	Rising Scholars	11,000	
	German Exchange Program costs for chaperones & day trips	6,100	
	Guidance Office Fax Line needed for confidentiality & convenience	405	
	Copier for Psychologist	1,075	
	Testing Materials for Psychologist new versions of standardized tests have been released	2,000	
Middle School:	Instructional Support Team Facilitator training costs for 06-07, position 07-08	2,000	
Elementary:	Stipends for Grade Group Chairs \$1000 per grade level	6,000	
Maintenance:	Mulch & Fertilizer Increases	5,000	
	Grass Cutting Equipment Repairs	5,000	
	Snow Removal Vehicle with Blade	35,000	
	Replacement Van & Truck	40,000	
Transportation:	Equipment Digital camera, lateral filing cabinets, mobile video camera & VCR system	8,000	
	Replacement Buses (2)	135,000	
	Replacement of Handicap Buses (2)	136,500	
	Replacement Trucks (2)	34,000	
	Replacement Vans (2)	25,000	
	Replacement Van & Truck for Maintenance	30,000	
	Replace Driver's Ed Car	12,500	
	Special Education:	Stipends to score the VGLA's & VAAP's Materials & Supplies for VAAP Portfolios	2,000 3,000
		Psychologist: Travel to Conferences & Supplies	2,500
	Subtotal		507,580
Grand Total		\$1,304,530	

While reviewing Priority List Two, Dr. Blackmon advised, "We are not asking for this, but we need you to see that when we asked the Principals to put together what their needs were, this is part of what was included. If our enrollments (for example in special education or kindergarten) increase, we will have

to go to the second priority list and make some adjustments knowing full well we don't have money to do these things."

Debt Service - Debt service payments due in fiscal year 2006-2007 totaled \$925,818 and would leave an outstanding indebtedness for school facilities of \$7,875,773.

Qualified Zone Academy Bond - Dr. Blackmon presented the following list of projects for the second QZAB Bond:

1.	Install 2 HVAC units at PECMS	\$205,000 *
2.	Repair Roof at PECMS	20,000 *
3.	Upgrade Panel Boxes & Light Switches Install New Exit Lights at PECES	16,000 *
4.	Add Interior Walls in Bldg. E Classrooms at PECES	1,800 *
5.	Replace Steamers in PECMS & PECHS Cafeteria Kitchens	2,200 *
6.	Upgrade HVAC Systems at All Schools Replace hardware inside control boxes at each school Upgrade all valves and sensors at each school Upgrade computer software to run entire system	75,000 *
	Subtotal	\$320,000 *
7.	Install AC Units in PECES & PECHS Cafeterias	\$70,000
8.	Install Tile in 12 Classrooms at PECMS	40,000
9.	Replace Tile in PECHS Cafeteria & Teachers' Lounge	16,000
10.	Additional Sidewalks at PECES	3,000
11.	Upgrade Lights at Football/Baseball Field	100,000
12.	Music Equipment, Furniture, & Uniforms	106,000
13.	Replace Fencing Around Football/Baseball Field	65,000
14.	Install Security Systems	
	PECHS	68,523
	CTC	29,041
15.	Repave/Seal All Parking Lots	55,000
16.	Redo Lighting on Stage in PECHS Auditorium	75,000
17.	Redo Sound System in PECHS Auditorium	2,500
18.	Renovate Field House & Concession Stand	50,000

Grand Total

\$1,000,064

*Denotes projects that must be done during 06-07

“At the very least, if you choose not to do the Qualified Zone Academy Bond, we need you to add \$320,00 (Items 1-6) to what you would be putting into the budget for this year because these are the things we need to do right away in terms of maintenance of our buildings. This list shows you renovations and maintenance that need to be accomplished on the \$33.7 million worth of building assets we are responsible for... We thought long and hard about this and tried very, very hard to be responsible. We know we have a lot of property to maintain and if we don't take care of it in an appropriate manner, we're simply going to be delaying that cost and the expense as well.

We would have to apply for the second Qualified Zone Academy Bond by June 1st, and need you to (make a decision) as part of your budget process this spring. There is no payment in 06-07. The payments would start in 07-08 and would run consistently just as the first Qualified Zone Academy Bond is doing. I point out that while our debt service is going up this year by about \$58,000 to \$922,318, and would go over \$1 million next year (if the second QZAB is approved), the following year it would drop down to \$962,921. By 2009 it would be back down below where it is right now. Yet, you would have \$3,000,000 in new money—the first Qualified Zone Academy Bond, the VPSA, and the QZAB we are requesting that you add as part of this budget process.

REVENUES – Dr. Blackmon reported that the County would be receiving \$17,397,289 in State funding. “It's going up 11% this year. An 11% increase on what use to be \$15.5 million is substantial. A whole lot different than the federal funding which is going up a little bit (4.24%).

The local share is increasing \$437,669. It is a 6.59% increase (in Operations and Debt Service combined).

In order to get the State's \$11 million in SOQ Funding, we have to come up at the local level with \$4,235,408. It's important to understand that if we don't come up with every penny of that, we won't get every penny of the State money. That's a required match.”

A joint meeting of the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors and School Board will be held April 4, 2006 at the School Board Office.

In Re: Authorize Public Hearing on School Budget

On motion of Mr. Simpson and carried:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	William G. Fore, Jr.	
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Robert M. Jones	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

the Board authorized a public hearing on the 2006-07 School Budget for April 11, 2006, at 7:00 p.m.

In Re: Reset Date for Joint Meeting with Town of Farmville

The Board received a letter from Town Manager, Gerald J. Spates, asking that the joint meeting with Farmville Town Council scheduled for April 24, 2006 be postponed until mid-May.

On motion of Mr. Moore and carried by the following vote:

Aye:	Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: None
	William G. Fore, Jr.	
	Sally W. Gilfillan	
	Robert M. Jones	
	Charles W. McKay	
	James C. Moore	
	Howard F. Simpson	
	Lacy B. Ward	

the meeting was rescheduled for noon on Monday, May 22, 2006, at Hampden-Sydney College.

In Re: Announcement

Chairman Fore informed the Supervisors that a group picture would be taken prior to the April 11, 2006 meeting for the Heart of Virginia Festival. Members were asked to be present for the photograph at 6:45 p.m.

On motion of Mr. McKay and adopted by the following vote:

Aye: Pattie Cooper-Jones
William G. Fore, Jr.
Sally W. Gilfillan
Robert M. Jones
Charles W. McKay
James C. Moore
Howard F. Simpson
Lacy B. Ward

Nay: None

the meeting was recessed at 7:50 p.m., and will reconvene April 4, 2006, at 6:30 p.m., for a dinner meeting with the Prince Edward County School Board.