

May 10, 2022

At the regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, held at the Court House, thereof, on Tuesday, the 10th day of May, 2022; at 7:00 p.m., there were present:

Beverly M. Booth
Pattie Cooper-Jones
J. David Emert
Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.
Robert M. Jones
Odessa H. Pride
Jerry R. Townsend
James R. Wilck

Also present: Douglas P. Stanley, County Administrator; Sarah Elam Puckett, Assistant County Administrator; Robert Love, Director of Planning & Community Development; Cheryl Stimpson, Director of Finance; and Terri Atkins Wilson, County Attorney.

Chairman Pride called the May meeting to order.

Chairman Pride stated:

Due to the COVID-19 Emergency, the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors is operating pursuant to and in compliance with its "EMERGENCY CONTINUITY OF OPERATIONS ORDINANCE." Effective August 1, 2021, the Board has re-opened meetings to in-person participation by the public; however, there could still be limited available seating. Citizens are strongly encouraged to participate in meetings through in-person participation, written comments, and/or remote participation by calling: 1-844-890-7777, Access Code: 390313 (If busy, please call again.) Additionally, citizens may view the Board meeting live in its entirety at the County's YouTube Channel, the link to which is provided on the County's website.

The Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors strongly encourages citizen input and engagement in our County government. Due to COVID-19, the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, and other County committees, boards, etc. are operating pursuant to the following protocols for public input and public participation.

~~~~~

Public Participation and Public Hearing comments and information regarding the limited number of seats for in-person participation at County meetings are coordinated through Karin Everhart, Deputy Clerk to the Board of Supervisors, as follows:

- In-Person Participation:** While county meetings have re-opened to the public, there could still be limited seating, if social distancing is needed. To enter the Prince Edward County Courthouse, unvaccinated and vaccinated individuals are required to wear a mask at all times and socially distance. Based on the uncertainty of social distancing requirements, the exact number of seats available in the Board/Planning Commission meeting room is uncertain. The County appreciates the public's patience as staff continues to adapt to the public safety recommendations and guidelines of the Virginia Department of Health and the CDC.

2. **Written Comments:** Please limit word count to no more than 500 words. Comments must be received by 2:00 p.m. the day of the meeting.
  - a. **Mailed:** Board of Supervisors (or Planning Commission)  
P.O. Box 382, Farmville, VA 23901.
  - b. **E-Mailed:** Board of Supervisors: [board@co.prince-edward.va.us](mailto:board@co.prince-edward.va.us)  
Planning Commission: [info@co.prince-edward.va.us](mailto:info@co.prince-edward.va.us)
3. **Remote Participation:** Citizens may participate remotely during the meeting. To call in to the meeting, please dial: **1-844-890-7777**. When prompted for an Access Number: **390313**. Citizens may provide comments during Public Participation and/or for a specific Public Hearing on the agenda. Citizens are encouraged to pre-register with the County Administrator's Office at 434-392-8837 by 2:00 p.m. the day of the meeting. Callers must be on the line and ready to speak when called upon by the Chair. Please state your name and district of residence. Based upon the # of speakers, the Chair will determine the time allotted to each speaker.
4. **County YouTube Channel:** Citizen may also view the monthly Board of Supervisors meeting live at the County's YouTube Channel: (link is also on County website under Meetings & Public Notices.)  
<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCyfpsa5HEjIWejBSc5XwplA/featured> .

Chair Pride offered the invocation and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### In Re: Recognitions

Mrs. Crystal Baker, Finance Manager, stated "Recognitions" is an opportunity for the Board of Supervisors to recognize achievements in our community, with a focus on the accomplishments of students, employees and our citizen volunteers who serve the County of Prince Edward.

Mrs. Baker said "We would like to recognize Prince Edward County's first Employee of the Month, Deputy James Taylor. Deputy Taylor managed the courthouse security screening point much of the time through the majority of the worst, so far, of the COVID pandemic. He greeted every person who walked into the courthouse with a "good morning". He taught us that "green is good". He managed in his calm, measured way all of the COVID-related changes and nuances that impacted entry to the courthouse and suggested improvements that may have gone unnoted. Deputy Taylor managed the flow of public during a difficult time with a positive attitude and excellent customer service towards the employees, the public, and the job he was assigned. Deputy Taylor is an exemplary representative of the County of Prince Edward and the Prince Edward County Sheriff's Office. He serves the County and its' citizens well, and treats *everyone* with respect.

Thank you, Deputy Taylor, for being a team player and positive role model.”

In Re: Conflict of Interest Act

(None)

In Re: Public Participation

*Public Participation is a time set aside for citizens to share their thoughts, ideas and concerns. An official record is made of each person’s contribution tonight and will be directed to the County Administrator for follow-up; any necessary follow-up will be noted and tracked. Follow-up may consist of an immediate response, or planned action by the County Administrator or Board, or by placement on a future Board agenda. Tonight’s agenda cannot be changed, because the public needs advance knowledge of and the opportunity to review related materials regarding items addressed by the Board. To further assist public information, the Board requests the Administrator, Attorney or county staff to immediately correct any factual error that might occur.*

(None.)

In Re: Board Comments

The Board members welcomed all in attendance, and thanked all for their participation and input.

In Re: Consent Agenda

On motion of Supervisor Wilck, seconded by Supervisor Cooper-Jones, and carried:

|      |                      |           |
|------|----------------------|-----------|
| Aye: | Beverly M. Booth     | Nay: None |
|      | Pattie Cooper-Jones  |           |
|      | J. David Emert       |           |
|      | Llew W. Gilliam, Jr. |           |
|      | Robert M. Jones      |           |
|      | Odessa H. Pride      |           |
|      | Jerry R. Townsend    |           |
|      | James R. Wilck       |           |

the Board accepted the March 2022 Treasurer’s Report; the minutes of the meetings held April 12, 2022, and April 26, 2022; Accounts and Claims, Board Mileage Sheets; and Salaries.

**Prince Edward Treasurer's Report - March 2022**

| <b>Name of Bank</b>                | <b>Bank Balance</b> | <b>Available Balance</b> |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Benchmark Pooled Fund Account      | \$22,091,677.11     | \$22,091,677.11          |
| Benchmark Social Services          | \$201,684.19        | \$201,684.19             |
| Benchmark School Fund              | \$1,851,990.49      | \$1,851,990.49           |
| Benchmark Food Service             | \$395,076.41        | \$395,076.41             |
| TOTAL                              |                     | \$24,540,428.20          |
| <br>                               |                     |                          |
| <b>Certificates of Deposit</b>     |                     |                          |
| Benchmark                          |                     | \$628,007.42             |
| Farmers Bank                       |                     | \$4,471,866.32           |
| Benchmark 5 Yr CD-letter of credit |                     | \$656,524.41             |
| TOTAL                              |                     | \$5,756,398.15           |
| <br>                               |                     |                          |
| GRAND TOTAL                        |                     | \$30,296,826.35          |

In Re: Town of Farmville Update – Dr. C. Scott Davis, Town Manager

Dr. C. Scott Davis, Farmville Town Manager, reported several streets will be paved over the next month to be completed by June 30. He said the Crestview subdivision, South Street, Fourth Street, Longwood Avenue, Johnston Drive, Putney Street, Barber Street and others in that area of Town. He said this will be approximately \$800,000 worth of paving. He stated fireworks will be held to commemorate July 4<sup>th</sup> on July 2<sup>nd</sup> and will be shot from the roof of the Town Hall at sunset. He said the event will be held from 6:00 to 10:00 p.m., and there will be food vendors and will be teaming up with North Street Press Club who will enclose North Street and have a beer garden and a band. Two bands will perform on Crute Stage, and the fireworks will go off at sunset. Mr. Davis then said the Heart of Virginia Festival will be held September 17, 2022.

Mr. Davis announced the Julie Moore has been hired as Finance Director and began on April 25; she previously was employed by an auditing firm, Brown Edwards and Company. He said at the Town Council meeting, Council will vote on the redistricting map and change in their ordinance based on the decennial census, which will make it available for the November election, which has changed because traditionally, the Town has held elections in May. He said the 2022-2023 Budget is the topic of a public hearing being held May 11, 2022; he said this budget will allow for a combination fire department for the

first time. He said they will still depend strongly on volunteers; however, over time, the goal is to begin building a professional career fire department to match all volunteers. It will create one new position, a Fire Chief and transfer the Fire Attendant into a firefighter position.

Mr. Davis said there is a need to replace equipment such as a dump truck, a sweeper truck, and police vehicles, the latter of which will be five per year.

Mr. Davis then stated the Town of Farmville will fund one more year of the Executive Director position at \$15,000. He said the Town will continue maintaining the vehicles; he said the turn-around time to the Rescue Squad is much faster and is saving them money. He said they will continue to provide fuel.

Mr. Davis announced there will be an increase in water, sewer and sanitation fees; water and sewer have not been adjusted since 2018, and sanitation has not been adjusted since it began in 2012. He said there will be a pay increase for employees and Town Council has worked to fix salary gaps.

Mr. Davis said with regards to ARPA, the Council has agreed to upgrades on the water and wastewater treatment plants. He said the upgrades that are needed will cost approximately \$3 million. He said the remaining ARPA funds may be used on a splashpad, other water and sewer line replacements especially in the area of Oak Street, Griffin Street and High Street, as they are in need because they are the oldest part of the town. He said they are also looking at other recreational equipment in the parks and some of the non-profit requests.

Supervisor Wilck said he has heard a lot of positive feedback for the EMT situation.

Supervisor Jones asked about the closing of the golf course and asked if it was the entire facility. Mr. Davis stated they plan to rent out the banquet room and keep that area maintained; they intend to close the functioning of the golf course as of June 30. He said the [Town] Council asked to get the property appraised at its highest and best value, and if that is completed and someone wishes to purchase that land and incorporate the banquet room, and dependent upon the amount, the Town would likely sell. Mr. Davis said that, over the years, renovations have been done in the neighborhood of \$2.2 million, and it has never made a profit or broke-even. Mr. Davis said the decision was made due to having to put money into it when the funds could be going to other core services.

Supervisor Emert thanked Mr. Davis for his assistance to PEVRS, and said the turn-around time [on vehicle repairs] has been tremendously different, and PEVRS is very thankful.

In Re: Public Participation

Melba Moore, Executive Director of Crossroads Community Services Board, reported she is enjoying her time on the Board, and is following her strategic 30-60-90 Day Plan that she shared with the interview panel. She thanked the Board for their patience as she does her assessment to ensure Crossroads is back on the right track, so it runs smoothly. She said she is here to serve the community.

In Re: Highway Matters

Mr. Scott Frederick, P.E., VDOT Resident Engineer, provided an update on VDOT activities:

- Mill Creek Road (Rt. 628) – Rural Rustic project, surface treatment will begin Monday
- Mt. Pleasant Road (Rt. 634) – shoulder work and patching
- Darlington Heights Road (Rt. 665) – boom axe work through the following week, working on the secondary roads
- Route 604 – widen the shoulders; Lynchburg District is doing Safety Projects and have placed double-yellow and edge lines on the road from the Charlotte County line to Darlington Heights Road
- Installation of approximately 100 signs – chevrons, advance warning signs, curve warning signs
- Mowing contractor working on litter pick-up is being done in Green Bay and mowing will follow
- VDOT is mowing the primary roadways starting the beginning of June
- Heights School Road – work on shoulders and broken sides of roadway
- Inmate crews are mowing by Lowe’s and Granite Falls Boulevard, on Rt. 15 to Dowdy’s Corner
- Route 460 – cutting for sight distance on all cross-overs

Mr. Frederick reported on the upcoming Rural Rustic Program upcoming in July and August:

- Bolden Flournoy
- Whispering Woods
- School House Road

Supervisor Gilliam reported that on Route 658, a section of Five Forks Road is collapsing and sinking; one area is near the bridge at Buffalo, and one is past Elam Road toward Pamplin on the left-hand side.

Supervisor Townsend thanked Mr. Frederick for patching work on Mt. Pleasant Road.

Mrs. Puckett stated the Sheriff has been working closely with staff and Mr. Frederick regarding the Board’s safety concerns on a formal safety resolution that he can present to VDOT with Sheriff Epps’ letter;

she asked the Board take action on the draft resolution regarding the public safety concerns at the Rt. 307 and Rt. 460 intersection.

Supervisor Emert reported a huge hole at the entrance to the recycling center at Darlington Heights, coming north.

Supervisor Emert made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Townsend, to approve the Resolution requesting VDOT immediately enact a speed limit reduction at the U.S. 40/RT 307 intersection as has been requested by Sheriff Tony Epps; the motion carried:

|      |                                                                                                                                                                |           |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Aye: | Beverly M. Booth<br>Pattie Cooper-Jones<br>J. David Emert<br>Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.<br>Robert M. Jones<br>Odessa H. Pride<br>Jerry R. Townsend<br>James R. Wilck | Nay: None |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|

**A RESOLUTION OF THE  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD, VIRGINIA**  
~~~~~  
**REQUEST FOR SPEED LIMIT REDUCTION
AT U.S. 460/RT. 307 INTERSECTION**

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia, convened at the Prince Edward County Courthouse, Board of Supervisors Room, Tuesday, May 10, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.:

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Beverly M. Booth
Pattie Cooper-Jones
J. David Emert
Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.
Robert M. Jones
Odessa H. Pride
Jerry R. Townsend
James R. Wilck

MEMBERS ABSENT:

(None)

On motion of Supervisor Emert, seconded by Supervisor Townsend, and carried by the following vote:

A YE:	NAY:
Beverly M. Booth Pattie Cooper-Jones J. David Emert Llew W. Gilliam, Jr. Robert M. Jones Odessa H. Pride Jerry R. Townsend James R. Wilck	(None)

WHEREAS, in September of 2015, the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors authorized the submission of a HB2 (Smart Scale) project application to the Virginia Department of Transportation to reconfigure the US 460/RT 307 intersection to improve the overall geometrics, to enhance safety for the travelling public and to provide additional spacing between Route 307 and Route 600; and

WHEREAS, in the Fall of 2020, VDOT completed the construction of the newly redesigned intersection; and

WHEREAS, Prince Edward County Sheriff L. A. “Tony” Epps has submitted to VDOT a written request, a copy of which is attached hereto, for a speed limit reduction from 60 miles per hour to 45 miles per hour on U.S. 460 Eastbound, U.S. 460 Westbound and on Route 307 Westbound as vehicles are approaching the intersection; and

WHEREAS, Sheriff Epps has thoroughly and carefully outlined the compelling public safety justification for his request for a speed limit reduction at the U.S. 460/RT 307 intersection;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors concurs with the accident data, the ongoing concern for the intersections and the conclusions enumerated by Sheriff Epps in his letter dated April 20, 2022; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors endorses the request by Sheriff Epps for a speed limit reduction from 60 miles per hour to 45 miles per hour on U.S. 460 Eastbound, U.S. 460 Westbound and on Route 307 Westbound as vehicles are approaching the intersection, and request that the Virginia Department of Transportation act on this request immediately to mitigate the risk of accidents at the U.S. 460/RT 307 intersection.

In Re: Public Hearing – VDOT Six-Year Plan

Chairman Pride announced that this was the date and time scheduled for a public hearing in accordance with Section 33.2-331 of the *Code of Virginia*, for the purpose of receiving public comment on the proposed Secondary Six-Year Plan for Fiscal Years 2023-2028 in the County and on the Secondary System Construction Budget for Fiscal Year 2023. Notice of this hearing was advertised according to law in the Wednesday, April 27, 2022, and Wednesday, May 4, 2022 editions of THE FARMVILLE HERALD, a newspaper published in the County of Prince Edward.

Chair Pride opened the public hearing.

Mr. Frederick reviewed the Six-Year Plan and FY23 Construction Program for Prince Edward County. He reported roads in the current Six-Year Plan include:

- Hidden Lake Road (completed)
- Copper Hill Road (completed)
- Mill Creek Road
- Bolden-Flournoy Road

- Whispering Woods Road
- School House Road
- Briery Way
- Old Oak Road
- Falkland Road
- Loman Road
- Junction Canal Road
- Quail Crossing Road
- Rice Creek Road
- Featherfin Road
- Bell Road
- Schultz Mill Road
- Various / Cost Centers (Rights of way, traffic, drainage, engineering)

Mr. Joe Hines requested support for Briery Way; he said there is one-third of a mile that leads to the development and the rest is paved.

Supervisor Jones asked if the work on Quail Crossing will finish the entire road; Mr. Frederick said it will.

Supervisor Townsend requested Loman and Rice Creek Roads be flipped, to have Loman Road prior to Rice Creek Road.

There being no one further wishing to speak, Chair Pride closed the public hearing.

Supervisor Townsend made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Wilck, to approve the 23-28 Six-Year Plan and FY 23 Construction Program with the amendment to Loman Road and Rice Creek Road in that order, and to authorize the Chairman or County Administrator to sign the resolution; the motion carried:

Aye:	Beverly M. Booth Pattie Cooper-Jones J. David Emert Llew W. Gilliam, Jr. Robert M. Jones Odessa H. Pride Jerry R. Townsend James R. Wilck	Nay: None
------	--	-----------

**A RESOLUTION OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE EDWARD, VIRGINIA

FY 23-28 SIX-YEAR ROAD PLAN
AND FY 23 CONSTRUCTION PRIORITY LIST**

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince Edward, Virginia, held at the Prince Edward County Courthouse, Board of Supervisors Room, Tuesday, May 10, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.:

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Beverly M. Booth
Pattie Cooper-Jones
J. David Emert
Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.
Robert M. Jones
Odessa H. Pride
Jerry R. Townsend
James R. Wilck

MEMBERS ABSENT:

(None)

On motion of Supervisor Townsend, seconded by Supervisor Wilck, and carried by the following vote:

AYE:

Beverly M. Booth
Pattie Cooper-Jones
J. David Emert
Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.
Robert M. Jones
Odessa H. Pride
Jerry R. Townsend
James R. Wilck

NAY:

(None)

WHEREAS, Section 33.2-331 of the *Code of Virginia*, 1950, as amended, provides the opportunity for each county to work with the Virginia Department of Transportation on the development of a Secondary Six-Year Road Plan and budget; and

WHEREAS, this Board has previously agreed to assist in the preparation of this Plan, in accordance with the Department of Transportation policies and procedures, and participated in a public hearing on the proposed Plan (FY 2023 through 2028), as well as the Construction Priority List (FY 2023) on May 10, 2022, after being duly advertised so that all citizens of the County had the opportunity to participate in said hearing and to make comments and recommendations concerning the proposed Plan and Priority List; and

WHEREAS, Scott D. Frederick, P.E., Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Transportation, appeared before the Board and recommended approval of the Six-Year Plan for Secondary Roads (FY 2023 through 2028) and the Construction Priority List (FY 2023) for Prince Edward County;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that since said Plan appears to be in the best interests of the Secondary Road System in Prince Edward County and of the citizens residing on the Secondary System, said Secondary Six-Year Plan (FY 2023 through 2028) and Construction Priority List (FY 2023) are hereby approved as presented at the public hearing.

In Re: Public Hearing – Subdivision Ordinance

Chairman Pride announced that this was the date and time scheduled for a public hearing in accordance with Section 33.2-331 of the *Code of Virginia*, for the purpose of receiving public comment on an Ordinance Amendment to Appendix A – Subdivisions of the Prince Edward County Code, to provide for

an agricultural/forestal exception, further define a family subdivision, and allow the Board of Supervisors to set the fee schedule by resolution. Notice of this hearing was advertised according to law in the Wednesday, April 27, 2022, and Wednesday, May 4, 2022 editions of THE FARMVILLE HERALD, a newspaper published in the County of Prince Edward.

Mr. Love stated the Prince Edward County Subdivision Ordinance does not provide any agricultural exemption for perking a site for septic and drain field that is solely intended for Agricultural use. While the Comprehensive Plan encourages agriculture and forestry as an industry in the County, the Ordinance does not directly support the goals and objectives in order to preserve farmland for active farming activities as it pertains to large tract subdivisions. An exemption to perking and entrance review for a new lot meant for agricultural use not only reduces the cost to the owner but also the time it takes in order to obtain soil work and obtain Health Department and Virginia Department of Transportation approval prior to recordation.

At the February 15, 2022 and March 14, 2022 meetings, the Planning Commission held Public Hearings on the amended new language and description of development standards for consideration that will clearly allow for agricultural and forestal uses, more clearly define a Family Subdivision and other language to better clarify the standards in the Ordinance. After comments were heard, the Commission unanimously recommended approval of new language consisting of a 25-acre minimum required for an exemption, forwarding the matter to the Board of Supervisors for Public Hearing.

At the April 12, 2022 meeting, the Board held a Public Hearing on the proposed ordinance amendment. Several speakers spoke in opposition, whereas the County received letters from local realtors in favor of perking the land and setting the minimum acreage at 25 acres as recommended by the Planning Commission. After lengthy Board discussion, the matter was referred to the May meeting for a Public Hearing with a lower 5-acre minimum threshold to be advertised.

Mr. Love reviewed what other Counties require as a minimum acreage for an exemption:

Amelia County - 40 acres

Buckingham - lots over 5 acres in size but it is a permanent restriction and can never be used as a building lot.

Charlotte County - 20 acres

Appomattox County - 20 acres

Nottoway - No ag exemption.

The current proposed minimum threshold of five (5) acres is much less than what is being utilized in the surrounding counties for an agricultural exemption. It would provide opportunity for owners wanting to subdivide a new lot for agricultural purposes without the need of perking and or an entrance review from VDOT.

Mr. Love said there have been only three plats since he has been with Prince Edward County that have been intended as agricultural use. He said this exemption could be used to lower the cost to create a new lot. He said this does not apply to residential use lots which will still need to be perked. This exemption only happens when a new tract is created. Mr. Love stated there have been no further comments received on this topic.

Chair Pride opened the public hearing.

Jessie Yeatts, Lockett District, asked if there was a change from the proposed five-acre limit, and that if that stands, he had nothing more to say.

There being no one further wishing to speak, Chair Pride closed the public hearing.

Supervisor Jones made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Townsend, to approve the Ordinance Amendment to amend and re-ordain the Prince Edward County Code, Appendix A - Subdivisions; the motion carried:

Aye:	Beverly M. Booth	Nay:	Pattie Cooper-Jones
	J. David Emert		
	Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.		
	Robert M. Jones		
	Odessa H. Pride		
	Jerry R. Townsend		
	James R. Wilck		

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND APPENDIX A OF THE PRINCE EDWARD COUNTY CODE
(SUBDIVISIONS) TO AMEND THE DEFINITION OF SUBDIVIDE**

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PRINCE EDWARD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS that the Price Edward County Code - Appendix A (Subdivisions) be amended as follows:

- 7-32. Subdivide. To divide any tract, parcel or lot of land into two or more parts, except:
- 7-32-1. The administrator may, however, permit the separation of one parcel from a tract of land without complying with all requirements of this ordinance if it is:
- (1) Not in conflict with the general meaning and purpose of the ordinance;
 - (2) No new streets are required to serve the parcel;
 - (3) At least 1½ acres in area; and

- (4) Not less than 200-foot frontage.

7-32-2. The division of land solely for agricultural or forestal purposes and not for building or occupancy. The minimum lot size for such a division shall be 5 acres. Such division shall not be for the purpose of circumventing this chapter. Any plat with lots approved under this section shall contain restrictions as to the uses allowed on the lots suitable to the Administrator. Such restrictions shall also be placed by the subdivider in any deed of transfer for a lot approved under this section. Such restrictions shall run with the land until a valid Health Department approval is received for an individual sewage disposal system or a sanitary sewer is extended to serve the property.

7-32-3. A single division of a lot or parcel for the sale or gift to a member of the immediate family of the property owner, including the family member's spouse. Only one such division shall be allowed per family member and shall not be for the purpose of circumventing this chapter. A member of the immediate family is defined as any person who is a natural or legally defined offspring, spouse, sibling, grandchild, parent or grandparent of the property owner; provided, however, that only one conveyance of land shall be permitted to each set of parents of each one of the individual property owners, and provided further that a maximum of two conveyances of land shall be permitted to a maximum of two different sets of parents where there is more than one individual property owner. No person who has previously received a conveyance of land as a grantee under § 15.2-2244 of the Code of Virginia or any local ordinance enacted pursuant thereto shall be eligible to be a grantee of a lot or parcel created pursuant hereto.

7-32-4. The division of land for dedication for widening or straightening the rights-of-ways for roads within or eligible for inclusion within the state highway system or the division of land for dedication for public utilities.

~~The term "to subdivide" shall not include a bona fide division or partition of land for members of a family owning any such lands or a bona fide partition of land between or among cotenants, in the partition of such land ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction.~~

Any person proposing to divide land who contends that such division is exempted from the provisions of this chapter under Subsection (1), (2), (3) or (4) above shall submit to the Administrator a plat and/or other evidence satisfactory to the Administrator to enable him to determine if the proposed division is exempt. If, in the opinion of the Administrator, the division is exempted, he shall so certify on the plat or deed of conveyance by which the property is to be divided. No person shall record a plat or conveyance for a division of land without complying with the provisions of this chapter or without obtaining the Administrator's certificate of exemption.

Language proposed to be deleted is ~~lined through~~.

Language proposed to be added is underlined.

In Re: Public Hearing – Special Use Permit - Sawmill

Chairman Pride announced that this was the date and time scheduled for a public hearing in accordance with Section 33.2-331 of the *Code of Virginia*, for the purpose of receiving public comment on a request by Israel Yoder for a Special Use Permit to operate a sawmill facility on Tax Map Parcel 086-A-1 on

County Line Road, Cullen, Virginia. Notice of this hearing was advertised according to law in the Wednesday, April 27, 2022, and Wednesday, May 4, 2022 editions of THE FARMVILLE HERALD, a newspaper published in the County of Prince Edward.

Mr. Love said the County has received an application for a Special Use Permit from Israel Yoder for a Special Use permit to operate a sawmill facility on Tax Map Parcel 086-A-1 on County Line Road, Cullen, VA. This parcel is in an A1, Agricultural Conservation zoning district and this use is allowed in the district only after approval of a special use permit.

Mr. Love stated the Planning Commission held a public hearing on April 18, 2022 where no one spoke in opposition, but there was one letter received in opposition to the application. The County has received one additional letter opposing the request since that initial meeting. The Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval with conditions, forwarding the request to the Board of Supervisors for Public Hearing. Mr. Love said the sawmill operation equipment is on-site as they have been using it to create lumber for their cheese factory, but wish to continue that operation at that location and have it as a public sawmill.

County staff is of the opinion the use is compatible with the zoning district and will have minimal impact on surrounding properties.

Supervisor Gilliam questioned the hours of operation. Mr. Love stated Mr. Yoder requested more hours but agreed to scale it back at the recommendation of the Planning Commission. The amended hours of operation are included in the conditions.

Chair Pride opened the public hearing.

Tom Grant, Charlotte County, stated he resides across from the proposed location and asked the Board to look at the Special Use for Spot Zoning requests, [which states it is to be] in the best interest of the health, safety, morals, and the community as pursuant to the Comprehensive Plan. He said zoning, land use and the Comprehensive Plan should dictate the use of the land; creating special privileges to one or a few properties is spot zoning. Property owners who do whatever they want with their property first, then seek approval for creating a non-conforming use clearly demonstrates that they don't care about their neighbors, they don't care about the property, they just do it. He requested the Board not create islands which are far different from the entire district as it is zoned agricultural and residential. He said he and a few of his

neighbors consider the sawmill a nuisance. Mr. Grant said zoning goals that he read in Prince Edward County documents and in Charlotte County talk about conservation of property values, safeguarding the general public welfare, and eliminating non-conforming uses. He said if zoning is not enforced, it is ineffectual. He stated there are several sawmills in the area within ten minutes of this property.

Mr. Grant asked that this use not be enlarged or extended and asked that a complete stoppage and removal of the sawmill within one year be done. This minimizes any loss from the change-over and gives time for the owner to plan ahead for the most advantageous use of this land. He added he visited other sawmills in the area and there are fumes, air-laden pollution, noise of noxious activity and general traffic problems. He closed by saying he doesn't want to see this in a residential, agricultural area.

Supervisor Emert stated he received a call from Israel Yoder that they do not observe Daylight Savings Time, and which would allow them to work from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on the permit.

There being no one further wishing to speak, Chair Pride closed the public hearing.

Supervisor Jones made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Cooper-Jones, to approve the Special Use Permit request by Israel Yoder for a sawmill facility with conditions as recommended by the Planning Commission; the motion carried:

Aye:	Beverly M. Booth	Nay: (None)
	Pattie Cooper-Jones	
	J. David Emert	
	Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.	
	Robert M. Jones	
	Odessa H. Pride	
	Jerry R. Townsend	
	James R. Wilck	

**Israel Yoder – Sawmill Facility
Special Use Permit Conditions**

SITE PLAN

1. Development activities on the site shall be limited to those as specified in the Special Use Permit Application and Site Plan. The final locations of incidental facilities may be adjusted provided no such adjustment violates any buffers, setbacks, or other statutory requirement. The concepts reflected in the filed special use permit dated 03-21-2022 are hereby made part of these development conditions.
2. Final site plan approval for the Sawmill facility shall be submitted to the Prince Edward County Planning Commission for final review and approval pursuant to Article IV Development Standards of the Prince Edward County Code (Zoning Ordinance).

3. Any proposed expansion of the operation, change of activities or additional facilities or activities shall be submitted to the Prince Edward County Planning and Community Development office for review prior to implementation. Any changes may be subject to Permit amendment procedures, including Public Hearings.
4. All buildings within the property shall be developed as a cohesive entity, ensuring that building placement, architectural treatment, parking lot lighting, landscaping, trash disposal, vehicular and pedestrian circulation and other development elements work together functionally and aesthetically.

ENVIRONMENTAL

5. All pollution control measures, erosion and sediment control measures, storm water control facilities, and all construction activities shall comply with the requirements of the appropriate federal, state, and local regulations and ordinances.
6. All facilities for the provision of potable water and sanitation and wastewater disposal systems shall be approved by the appropriate local, state, or federal agency including but not limited to Virginia Department of Health, Virginia Departments of Environmental Quality, Environmental Protection Agency, etc.
7. Any development activities of structural of land disturbing nature not specifically addressed by these Conditions shall be in conformance with applicable provisions of federal, state, and local statutes and regulations.

TRANSPORTATION

8. All entrance permits must be authorized by the Virginia Department of Transportation.
9. All internal roads used for public access shall be of compacted earth, or have a minimum of a four (4) inch stone base, or shall be paved.
10. Adequate area shall be provided on site to accommodate parking of all employees and patrons. It shall be the responsibility of the Permittee to assure that employees and patrons park only on site and not on any highway right-of-way, or on adjoining or adjacent parcels unless written consent is provided by the owner or owners thereof.

GENERAL

11. Hours of operation will be from 8:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m.
12. All exterior lighting shall be designed and installed so as to minimize glare onto adjoining properties or any public access road. All lighting shall be full cut-off type fixtures.
13. Outdoor storage of trash containers shall be situated at the rear of buildings and shall be appropriately screened.
14. The Permittee is responsible for the appearance of the site including litter pick-up and other orderly site appearance.
15. This Permit is non-transferable, except and unless written notice from the Permittee regarding the transfer, and a signed document from the proposed new Permittee is received by the Planning and

Community Development Office which states that the new Permittee agrees to comply with all terms and Conditions imposed with the original Permit Issuance. If the proposed new Permittee desires to amend the original Permit Conditions, amendments must be addressed by the Prince Edward County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors through the Special Use Permit process.

16. Failure of Permittee to full conform to all terms and conditions may result in revocation of this Special Use Permit if said failure or failures are not corrected or addressed to the satisfaction, not to be unreasonably withheld, of the County within thirty (30) days of written notice from the County.

In Re: Public Hearing – Special Use Permit - Community Solar Energy Facility

Chairman Pride announced that this was the date and time scheduled for a public hearing in accordance with Section 33.2-331 of the *Code of Virginia*, for the purpose of receiving public comment on a request by Impact Power Solutions, LLC, for a Special Use Permit to operate a 5 MWac community solar energy facility on Tax Map Parcel 043-A036, on Llama Road, Pamplin, VA. Notice of this hearing was advertised according to law in the Wednesday, April 27, 2022, and Wednesday, May 4, 2022 editions of THE FARMVILLE HERALD, a newspaper published in the County of Prince Edward.

Mr. Love stated the County has received an application for a Special Use Permit from Impact Power Solutions, LLC, to construct and operate a 5MWac solar energy facility on Tax Map Parcel 043-A-36, containing a total of 33.6 +/- acres on Llama Road, Pamplin, VA. This parcel is in an A-1, Agricultural Conservation zoning district and this use is allowed in the district only after approval of a special use permit.

Mr. Love said the purpose of the Special Use is to allow for the location of a community solar energy generation facility. The applicant stated that the proposed facility will not be seen nor heard and will not impact adjacent properties. The facility will not generate noise, light, dust, odor, fumes, or vibrations. Water quality will be addressed according to Virginia Stormwater Management Permit requirements and the site will not generate any significant amount of traffic with the main traffic occurring temporarily during the construction phase.

The Planning Commission held a public hearing on April 18, 2022; no one spoke in opposition and the County has received no other correspondence opposing the request. The Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval with conditions, forwarding the request to the Board of Supervisors for Public Hearing. Mr. Love added that one of the conditions sets forth that siting agreements will be done and will require a separate public hearing under the Code of Virginia. He said that is a negotiation between the

County and the developer based on revenue shares, which is allowed by the Code of Virginia. He said that will take place if this is recommended for approval.

Supervisor Emert asked if this is the same property that was to be used as a solar project four or five years ago. Mr. Love said it is; he said the owner signed an agreement [with a different company] and the timing timed-out. He said this is only one landowner [has now] entered this lease with Impact Power Solutions.

Chair Pride opened the public hearing.

George Welch, Buffalo District, expressed his concerns regarding the project. He stated that several years ago, a solar farm was to be built; he said he shares a 2,000-foot boundary line with this property but did not receive a letter in the mail about this project. He asked if the solar collectors are made in China, and said he prefers trees to a denuded property, but added he will not be able to see it from his property. He questioned how the company will get the power from the solar site to the substation, as they would have to go through his property if they are going on the Dominion right-of-way. He said Dominion has the right to construct and take care of the vegetation, but no private company has authorization to use his property.

Jake Hay, IPS Solar, stated the previous company planned a different type of solar operation; that was utility scale which crosses over boundary lines. He said this is a smaller, single landowner project and would not cross the property line. He said Dominion would be using their lines and their grid, they will put up the lines that tie into the existing grid and upgrade any single-phase line which would also benefit anyone in the area as well. He said Dominion would be using their existing right-of-way. Mr. Hay said this is a 20-acre project with 5 MW and is the largest maximum site under Virginia's program. He said this is a site because of the lack of visibility from the neighbors; he said process includes putting in pollinator plantings and native grasses which will help with water management, compaction and erosion. He said if this land would go to agricultural [use] at the end of the life of this project, the native grasses will be deep-rooted and improve the quality of the soil. He said an access road will be built at IPS Solar's expense and this will upgrade the existing road. After construction, this will be used minimally for upkeep and maintenance of the site.

Mr. Hay said IPS is a 31-year old company out of Minneapolis, Minnesota, that has built community solar gardens all over the country. He stated the program is relatively new; it is a community solar program

and the goal is not to sell the power to Dominion, but for homeowners and businesses that do not have the ability to put solar on their homes. This program allows them to subscribe to the solar garden, and Dominion treats their bill as if they have solar produced on-site. Dominion is not actually getting the power because it is put onto the grid and is dispersed as normal.

Chair Pride asked how Mr. Welch will be contacted. Mr. Hay said he will provide his contact information to Mr. Welch; if there is anything that would affect his land, IPS would reach out to him by mail or telephone call.

Chair Pride then asked if the road is built and affects his property, would Mr. Welch be compensated. Mr. Hay said he would if it is on his property; he added IPS is using an existing field road that is there, and upgrading it, not installing a new path.

Supervisor Wilck asked if the lines will be overhead or buried. Mr. Hay said everything inside the fence will be buried, until it comes up out of the fence; at that point but Dominion's lines are above-ground as it is easier for them to access. At the point where there is the connection, there will be poles. He added there is an existing transmission line directly west of the property that is a high metal transmission line, but IPS ties into the standard lines.

Supervisor Gilliam asked where the poles will be located. Mr. Hay said they are adjacent to the road; the meter will be on the east side of the train tracks and the overhead cables will come up from the north-west corner and follow along the road, and using Dominion's right of way.

Supervisor Jones questioned if the people will see reduced rates. Mr. Hay said subscribers will receive a credit dependent upon their subscription.

There being no one further wishing to speak, Chair Pride closed the public hearing.

Supervisor Emert and Supervisor Gilliam discussed the lines as delineated on the map of the project. Mr. Adam Carlson (Chief Real Estate Officer), explained where the lines will be. He said in discussion with Dominion, they have determined where they can connect.

Supervisor Jones made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Wilck, to approve the Special Use Permit request by Impact Power Solutions, LLC, for a proposed 5MWac community solar energy facility with conditions as recommended by the Planning Commission and subject to a siting agreement to be mutually agreed upon by the Board of Supervisors and Impact Power Solutions, LLC; the motion carried:

Aye: Beverly M. Booth
Pattie Cooper-Jones
J. David Emert
Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.
Robert M. Jones
Odessa H. Pride
Jerry R. Townsend
James R. Wilck

Nay: (None)

**Impact Power Solutions, LLC
Special Use Permit Conditions**

SECTION 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. This Special Use Permit applies to the following properties for which a special use permit application was submitted:
Tax Map Parcel Identification Number: 043-A-36
The Special Use Permit application was submitted on 03/18/2022 by Impact Power Solutions, LLC on behalf of the owners of the said properties, and compliance with these conditions is the express duty of, and these conditions shall bind, the Applicant and any assignee of the Applicant who operates the Solar Facility.
2. The Site shall be developed, constructed, operated, and decommissioned in compliance with all of the following:
 - a. All applicable federal, state, and local laws, statutes, ordinances, and regulations.
 - b. All written agreements entered into between the Applicant and the County, expressly including, but not limited to, a Solar Facility Siting Agreement.
 - c. The Site Plan approved by Prince Edward County.
 - d. The Decommissioning Plan approved by Prince Edward County.
 - e. The Emergency Response Plan approved by Prince Edward County.
 - f. The Construction Traffic Management Plan approved by Prince Edward County.
 - g. The Erosion and Sediment Control Plan approved by Prince Edward County.
 - h. The Stormwater Management Plan approved by Prince Edward County and/or DEQ.

Violation by the Applicant or by any one or more of Applicant's agents, employees, contractors, assigns, or successors in interest of any terms, conditions, or provisions of any of the foregoing shall constitute a violation of this Special Use Permit.

3. The following terms shall have the following meanings if or when used in these Conditions:
 - a. "Abandoned" means the discontinuation of power generation by the Solar Facility for a period of at least 180 consecutive days, except in the event of a force majeure event requiring reconstruction.
 - b. "Applicant" means Impact Power Solutions, LLC.
 - c. "Approved Site Plan" means the detailed drawing showing all equipment, excavation, landscaping, and other changes or improvements to be made to the real property or properties for the development of the Project following approval of the Special Use Permit Application by the Prince Edward County Planning Commission, and the Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors and administrative review and approval by Prince Edward County staff.

- d. "Board" means the Board of Supervisors of Prince Edward County, Virginia.
 - e. "Commercial Operation" means the period beginning on the date that the sale of electricity generated from the Solar Facilities to a third party through the Grid commences pursuant to a Power Purchase Agreement and terminating contemporaneously with the commencement of Decommissioning.
 - d. "County" means Prince Edward County, Virginia.
 - g. "County Administrator" means the county administrator of Prince Edward County, Virginia.
 - h. "Decommission" or "Decommissioning" or "Decommissioning Activities" means the work on the Solar Facility to remove improvements on the real property and to otherwise comply with the Decommissioning Plan.
 - i. "Decommissioning Commencement Date" means the earliest date on which Decommissioning is required to begin under the terms set forth in these Special Use Permit Conditions.
 - j. "Decommissioning Plan" means the plan for Decommissioning Activities submitted by Impact Power Solutions, LLC and approved by the County.
 - k. "Grid" means the interconnected network for delivering electricity from producers to consumers (consisting of generating stations, electrical substations, high voltage transmission lines, and distribution lines that connect individual customers) to which the Project is connected and provides power.
 - l. "Investor Owned Utility Company" means an electric utility as defined in Section 56-576 of the Code of Virginia.
 - m. "Operator" means any party which undertakes the management, maintenance, and operation of the Solar Facility, including, but perhaps not limited to, as assignee of the Applicant.
 - n. "Power Purchase Agreement" means the written agreement pursuant to which electricity generated from the Solar Facilities is sold to a third party.
 - o. "Project" means the Solar Facility on the Site, including the following: (i) the development, design, procurement, construction, installation, commissioning, testing, interconnection, and start-up of the Solar Facility on the Site; (ii) the operation, repair, replacement, and maintenance of the Solar Facility on the Site; and (iii) the decommissioning and removal of the Solar Facility from the Site.
 - p. "Related Entity" or "Related Entities" means any two or more entities described in I.R.C. § 267(b).
 - q. "Site" or "Solar Facility Site" means all properties to be leased or purchased by the Applicant or any Related Entity for development in connection with the Project, identified as follows: Prince Edward County Tax Map Identification Number 043-A-36.
 - r. "Site Plan" means the detailed drawing showing all equipment, landscaping, roads, retention facilities, fencing, buffers, and other changes or improvements to be made to the real property or properties for the development of the Project.
 - s. "Solar Facility" or "Solar Facilities" means the Site together with all equipment, apparatus, or other items of personal property used for the Construction, Operation, or Decommissioning of the Project.
 - t. "Surety Review Date" means the date by which the Applicant will update the cost estimate in the Decommissioning Plan every seven (7) years and reimburse the County for the actual and reasonable, out-of-pocket costs of each such independent review and analysis by a licensed engineer of each decommissioning cost estimate revision.
4. The Site shall be developed in general conformance with the information and exhibits submitted with the Special Use Permit application (the "SUP" Application), except as modified by associated

conditions, the Approved Site Plan, and as required by the land development ordinances of Prince Edward County.

5. This Special Use Permit (SUP) is issued to the owners of the properties for which the special use permit application was submitted (the Properties) and shall run with the land unless and until this SUP is revoked, expires, or is voided.
6. An approved site plan (the "Approved Site Plan") shall be required for this use.
7. Prior to the issuance of construction permits, the Applicant shall record in the Circuit Court Clerk's Office of Prince Edward County, Virginia a plat of survey delineating the property boundary and total acreage.
8. The Applicant shall submit an Emergency Response Plan (the "ER Plan") with the submission of the Site Plan. The ER Plan shall include fire suppression methods that can be deployed during both the construction and operation of the project. The ER Plan shall also include a program of education and training to be provided for County emergency response staff covering onsite emergency response.
9. Unless approved in writing by the County, no signage shall be permitted on the Site; except that signage containing notices, warnings, or other information, if required by law or by applicable codes and standards, or deemed by the County to be in the interest of the safety and welfare of the community, shall be required.
10. Impact Power Solutions, LLC will reimburse, or cause to be reimbursed, to the County all reasonable, out-of-pocket costs and fees incurred for professional services engaged for purposes of assisting the County during the application process and during Construction, including, but not limited to, legal fees and consulting fees; however legal fees shall not be assessed to Impact Power Solutions, LLC after construction is completed. The purpose of the reimbursement payments is to defray the costs and expenses incurred by the County in connection with (i) the zoning and permitting processes related to the approval of the Solar Facility, (ii) the permitting process with federal and state agencies, as applicable, and (iii) the construction of the Solar Facility. Should the special use permit application submitted by Impact Power Solutions, LLC for the Project not be approved by the County, no reimbursement under this paragraph will be owed by Impact Power Solutions, LLC to the County.

SECTION II. BUFFERS, HEIGHTS, AND SETBACKS

11. Buffers throughout the Site shall include the following:
 - a. All setbacks shall be no less than those shown on the site plan approved by Prince Edward County.
 - b. The Site Plan will identify a Maximum Extent of Project Area, outside of which solar panels or other equipment will not be located. The solar panels or other equipment of the Solar Facility will not be located within the standard setbacks established by Section 7-110 (D) of the County Ordinance.
 - c. The Site Plan will include a vegetative buffering plan (the "Vegetative Buffer Plan") that will limit the visibility of the Solar Facility from the public rights-of-way adjacent to the Site. For purposes of this Condition, "Solar Facility" does not include the perimeter security fencing, gravel access road, or interconnection equipment. Also, the "Solar Facility" is not an objectionable feature, within the meaning of County Ordinance Section 7-110 (F). All vegetative buffering areas, as shown on the Vegetative Buffer Plan, shall enable insolation of the Solar Facility and may be both natural and planted, shall be a part of the approved

Project, and should be protected from harvest so long as the Site is operated as a solar facility.

- d. Vegetative buffering areas shall be installed (pursuant to the screening suggestions attached as Exhibit A) and, as necessary, managed to ensure health and preservation of the vegetation. Any vegetative buffering that is dead during the operating period shall be removed and replaced in conformance with the approved site plan, within a six (6) month time period during a typical growing period. The type and height of replacement vegetation shall be similar to that of which was originally planted during construction. In the event that the vegetative buffering is severely damaged due to an unusual weather occurrence or natural catastrophe, the Project shall have one year or one growing season, whichever is sooner, to replace or replant.
- e. A 15' screening buffer shall be observed with any bordering standing timber harvested after construction of the solar facility.
- f. Electrical lines leaving the solar facility shall be underground until the point of reaching the first pole outside of the facility as to not impact the screening plan.
- g. Any historical resources noted in the Virginia Department of Historic Resources Map must be identified, marked, and preserved at a setback of at least 100 feet, as reflected on the Site Plan.
- h. The maximum height of ground mounted systems, equipment, and structures, as measured from the grade or base of the improvements to the highest point, shall not exceed eighteen (18) feet in height. Excluded from this height requirement are overhead electric distribution and transmission lines and poles, project substation, and utility switchyard.

SECTION III. CONSTRUCTION, TRAFFIC, and ROAD REPAIRS

- 12. Subject to compliance with applicable site safety requirements and upon reasonable prior notice, the County Administrator, building official, zoning administrator, or environmental codes and compliance officer, or any party or parties designated by any one or more of those county officials, including other federal, state, or local government officials, shall be allowed to enter the Site at any time during construction. Once the facility has commenced Commercial Operation, subject to compliance with applicable Site safety requirements, County officials may enter the Site upon at least one week's advance notice to the Solar Facility Liaison.
- 13. All construction entrances for the Site shall be in general conformance with the information and exhibits submitted with this Special Use Permit application and must be authorized and approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT).
- 14. All construction activity shall be conducted during daylight hours Monday-Saturday. Activities allowed on Sundays include only the following: onsite planning, walking and riding the Site by passenger vehicle (not heavy construction trucks or equipment), office work, and other activities that do not produce large quantities of traffic on the surrounding roads or loud construction noises within the Site. The Applicant shall comply with the Prince Edward County Noise Ordinance Chapter 46, Article II during operation but shall not be required to do so during construction.
- 15. All heavy construction traffic, including, but perhaps not limited to, dump trucks, tractors and trailers, supplier vehicles, and trucks hauling equipment shall enter the site at the designated private driveway along Route 719 (Llama Road).
- 16. The Applicant shall submit a Construction Traffic Management Plan ("CTMP") as part of the Site Plan. The CTMP shall address traffic control measures, an evaluation of the condition of the public roads along the Delivery Routes prior to construction, and a description and an estimate of any

anticipated repairs to public roads that may arise due to damages attributable to construction of the Solar Facilities, which CTMP must be reviewed by a third-party selected by the County and paid by, and at the sole cost of, the Applicant.

17. Dust containment measures shall be utilized at all times, as necessary, to contain dust from constituting a nuisance to nearby residents.
18. No burning of stumps and/or debris will be allowed onsite at the subject solar facility.
19. The Solar Facilities shall be enclosed within chain link security fencing not less than six (6) feet in height.
20. The Project will not utilize permanent lighting. If installed at a later date, lighting will be downward facing, motion activated security lighting located at the Project entrance gate or at the control panels near the equipment pad.
21. Prior to commencement of construction, the Applicant shall provide the County a bond equal to 100% of the cost of the anticipated repairs to be made to the public road along the Delivery Routes, as defined in paragraph 22 below, including the entire public right of way along the Delivery Routes. The bond may be in the form of a letter of credit, a surety bond, or a cash bond given to the County, to be held by the County without interest, but the form of any surety bond must be approved by the County Administrator. The County will release, return, and terminate the roadway surety upon completion of construction and Commercial Operation of the Project.
22. Delivery Routes to the site shall include Route 719 (Rice Creek Road) from its intersection with State Route 47 (Thomas Jefferson Highway) to the Impact Power Solutions, LLC Site.
23. The Solar Facilities shall be constructed and operational within two (2) years of approval. The Zoning Administrator may approve an extension of up to one (1) year upon written request from the Applicant detailing the need for an extension.
24. Solar Panels will be constructed, maintained, and operated in accordance with national industry standards and regulations including the National Electrical Code, International Fire Code of the International Code Council and the National Fire Protection Association Fire Code, as provided in Va. Code 15.2-2286. In the event of a conflict between the national industry standards and these Conditions, the national industry standards shall control so that as technology advances, updated technology may be used by the Applicant. Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, the use of any of the following materials at any time, whether in construction, maintenance, or operation of the facility, is expressly prohibited: cadmium telluride, cadmium, tellurium, GEN X, field-applied Teflon® coating, or any other materials prohibited by federal or state agencies.
25. Storage on the Site of power generated by the Facility or generated elsewhere is prohibited.
26. No panels, inverters, pyranometers, substations, or any other component of the Solar Facility, except fencing, shall be located in a floodplain.
27. Upon completion of the construction of the Solar Facilities, the Applicant shall submit a post-construction evaluation of the condition of the roads along the Delivery Routes to the County Administrator for approval. The post-construction evaluation shall include a plan for repairing any damage caused to the public roads along the Delivery Route directly attributable to the Applicant. The Applicant shall be responsible for causing such repairs to be completed and shall be responsible

for coordination of repairs with VDOT. All roadway repairs along the Delivery Routes shall be made at the sole expense of the Applicant.

SECTION IV. ENVIRONMENTAL

28. The Applicant shall submit a Stormwater Management Plan and an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan as part of the Site Plan. The Applicant shall reimburse, or cause to be reimbursed to, the County all reasonable, out-of-pocket costs incurred by the County related to retaining such third-party inspectors, plan reviewers, and advisors as reasonably necessary for project review and inspections. All such payments shall be remitted to the County within thirty (30) days of invoicing. The County shall retain the right to inspect the Site to verify the findings of the third-party inspectors upon reasonable, prior notice and subject to compliance with Site safety requirements. The phasing of land disturbance shall be detailed in the Erosion and Sediment Control plan and accompanying project narrative.
29. Stabilization of the Site shall be maintained at all times in compliance with Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) standards, rules, requirements, and regulations. The Applicant and the Operator, or either one of them, shall notify the County within twenty-four (24) hours of receiving any DEQ notice of less than full compliance by the Project and shall, within forty-eight (48) hours of receipt, provide the County with a copy of the notice. Thereafter, the Applicant and the Operator, or either one of them, shall provide to the County within forty-eight (48) hours of transmission or receipt copies of all correspondence with DEQ regarding Project noncompliance issue until such time as the matter is fully resolved to the satisfaction of DEQ. In order to ensure orderly development of the Solar Facility and to protect the stabilization and environmental integrity and quality of the Site, no more than fifty percent (50%) of the total site development area shown on the Approved Site Plan may be disturbed at any point in time. For purposes of this condition number 29, an area for which any one or more of the following is true is not considered to be disturbed: the area has established ground cover, the County has determined that the area is not disturbed, an area where temporary stabilization measures have been implemented, gravel driveways, or laydown areas.
30. Soil testing shall be conducted on the Site as follows:
 - a. Testing shall be conducted in no less than three (3) locations on the Site, at least one location being within proximity to panels of each different type or manufacturer. Samples will be collected from a depth of six inches below ground surface.
 - b. Testing shall be conducted prior to the issuance of a land disturbance permit and every five years thereafter. Testing also shall be conducted immediately prior to Decommissioning and immediately following the termination of Decommissioning.
 - c. Samples shall be analyzed for Priority Pollutant 13 Metals (arsenic, antimony, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, and zinc) in accordance with EPA methods SW 6020, SW 6020A, SW1312, and 200.8.
 - d. Testing shall be performed by a service provider retained by the Operator but approved by the County.
 - e. A test report for each testing event, including an executive summary, shall be provided to the Prince Edward County zoning administrator within ten (10) days of the completion of such report.
 - f. No costs shall be incurred by Prince Edward County for soil testing or reports of soil testing provided to Prince Edward County.

SECTION V. DECOMMISSIONING

31. Decommissioning shall be conducted in accordance with the Decommissioning Plan approved by Prince Edward County.
32. The Applicant or the Operator shall provide a Notice of Decommission to the County Administrator of Prince Edward County within thirty days of a determination to cease Operation of the Solar Facility.
33. Prior to the commencement of Construction, the Applicant shall submit to the County and receive County approval of a Decommissioning Plan. The Applicant shall comply with all terms and conditions of the Decommissioning Plan as approved by the County. The Decommissioning Plan at a minimum shall include provisions regarding the following:
 - a. Specifications for the removal of all solar equipment, buildings, cabling, electrical components, foundations, pilings, and fencing.
 - b. A requirement that all Site real property must be restored to the condition of the property as of the date Construction commences (reasonable wear and tear excepted).
 - c. A requirement that the property must be stabilized so as to adequately control, prevent, and minimize any and all erosion or sediment runoff, consistent with the approved Erosion and Sediment Control Plan.
34. Decommissioning shall begin immediately after the Facility has, for a period of six (6) consecutive months, ceased operating as a solar energy facility distributing energy to the electrical grid and shall be diligently pursued, as determined by the County in its sole discretion, and completed within eighteen (18) months from the Decommissioning Commencement Date. Prior to its expiration, the County may extend this Decommissioning period by six (6) months if the County finds that the Operator commenced Decommissioning the Solar Facility diligently and continuously worked to Decommission the Facility throughout the Decommissioning period, and is reasonably expected to complete the Decommissioning within the additional six month period.
35. Periods during which the Facility is not operational for maintenance, repair, or due to a catastrophic event beyond the control of Impact Power Solutions, LLC during which time Impact Power Solutions, LLC works diligently to return the Facility to full Commercial Operation, shall not constitute the cessation of operations requiring the initiation of Decommissioning requirements herein. Impact Power Solutions, LLC must provide written notice and evidence of the Solar Facility status and repair efforts to the County Administrator during the period in which the Solar Facility is not fully operational. Such notice shall identify the last day on which the Facility was fully operational. Regardless of the efforts of Impact Power Solutions, LLC to return the Solar Facility to full Commercial Operation, if the Solar Facility does not operate as a solar energy facility distributing energy to the electrical grid after the catastrophic event for a period of eighteen (18) months, the Project shall be deemed Abandoned and Impact Power Solutions, LLC shall commence Decommissioning no later than the 548th day after the catastrophic event.
36. Any change of party responsible for Decommissioning of the facility, or change in any part of the contact information, shall be reported to the County Administrator within sixty (60) days of the change(s).
37. If Decommissioning Activities are not completed within the allotted time, or if the Project is Abandoned, the County may complete or have completed at its expense the Decommissioning Activities required under the terms of the Decommissioning Plan and may recover all costs of completing those Decommissioning Activities from the surety provided as set forth herein.

38. To secure the costs of Decommissioning, Impact Power Solutions, LLC or its successor shall at all times, beginning at commencement of construction and until the termination of Decommissioning, provide financial surety in a form and in an amount approved by the County. If the Solar Facility is transferred to a public utility or an Investor or Member Owned Utility Company (e.g., Dominion Energy, Old Dominion Electric Cooperative or its successor entity), the surety required of the Applicant may be cancelled at the time of the transfer and no further surety will be required.
39. The amount of the surety required shall be 100% of the estimated Decommissioning costs estimated at each Surety Review Date, less the scrap or repurposing value of the Solar Facility. The estimated costs and surety to meet the above requirements shall be reviewed by the County Administrator on each Surety Review Date, at which time the County Administrator shall determine if the estimates adequately reflect the Decommissioning costs and any scrap or repurposing value and that the surety will guarantee performance. Should the County Administrator determine that estimated costs and surety are insufficient, the County Administrator and Impact Power Solutions, LLC shall mutually agree to determine the correct surety amount; and Impact Power Solutions, LLC shall then provide the agreed, adequate surety within one hundred eighty (180) days following the Surety Review Date or, if later, within thirty (30) days after the County Administrator and Impact Power Solutions, LLC agree on the adequate surety amount.
40. Surety must be provided in the form of a cash bond deposited with the County; by an irrevocable letter of credit provided for the County's benefit; or by a surety bond listing the County as the obligee, an hypothecated account, an escrow account, or a guaranty issued by a credit-worthy entity, or as otherwise provided in Section 15.2-2241.2 of the Code of Virginia.
 - a. A cash bond shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check deposited with the County which has cleared all issuing institutions. Any interest accruing on such funds shall be added to the total amount and retained by the County for Decommissioning. The deposit shall be accompanied by a letter agreement, acceptable to, and issued by, the County Administrator, confirming that the cash deposit is to be held by the County to guarantee the performance of the Decommissioning work required herein, and should the Solar Facility be Abandoned or should the Decommissioning work not be diligently undertaken or performed according to the requirements herein, or should the Special Use Permit be revoked, lapse, expire, or be voided due to violation thereof, the County may expend the deposited funds to undertake the Decommissioning work required herein, without more, after providing written notice to the person identified as owner of the property in the land records of Prince Edward County as of the date of the notice. Within six (6) months of the completion of the Decommissioning work required herein by a person or entity other than the County or a contractor engaged by the County, as confirmed by the County Administrator, the cash bond and accrued interest, less any amounts expended by the County as allowed herein, shall be released and paid to Impact Power Solutions, LLC or, if the Project has been Abandoned, to the person identified as owner of the property in land records of Prince Edward County as of the date of the completed Decommissioning or as otherwise directed by that owner of the property.
 - b. An irrevocable letter of credit shall mean an instrument provided by a lending institution guaranteeing payment to the County within seventy-two (72) hours of the County's written notice to the institution that the Solar Facility has been Abandoned or the Decommissioning Activities have not been diligently undertaken or performed according to the requirements herein and demand to the institution for the funds, without more. The letter of credit shall have no expiration date or required renewal and shall remain in effect for the benefit of the County and shall under no circumstances be withdrawn before the Decommissioning Activities required herein are completed or the amount guaranteed has been fully drawn by

the County. The letter of credit shall require that the County be notified thirty (30) days prior to any cancellation or alteration of the letter of credit. Should the County receive notice that the letter of credit will be cancelled or otherwise become unavailable or decrease, or should this Special Use Permit be revoked, lapse, expire or be voided due to violation thereof by Impact Power Solutions, LLC, the County may, immediately draw down the entirety of the letter of credit and convert the surety to a cash bond to be deposited with the County and subject to the terms herein; this shall be specifically reflected in the language of the irrevocable letter of credit. The County may expend the guaranteed funds, without more, to undertake the Decommissioning Activities required herein and required pursuant to the terms of the Decommissioning Plan after providing written notice to Impact Power Solutions, LLC or, if the Project is Abandoned, to the person identified as the owner of the Property in the land records of Prince Edward County as of the date of the notice. Within six (6) months following the completion of the Decommissioning Activities required herein and required pursuant to the terms of the Decommissioning Plan by a person or entity other than the County or a contractor engaged by the County, as confirmed by the County Administrator, the letter of credit shall be released by the County and any amounts drawn on the letter of credit, less any amounts expended by the County as allowed herein, shall be released and paid to Impact Power Solutions, LLC or, if the Project has been Abandoned, to the person identified as owner of the property in land records of Prince Edward County as of the date of the completed Decommissioning or as otherwise directed by that owner of the property.

- c. A surety bond shall mean a bond issued by a company with an AM Best rating of A++, that is treasury listed, and that is licensed to do business in the Commonwealth of Virginia. The surety bond shall list the County as an obligee and shall remain in effect for the benefit of the County and shall under no circumstances be withdrawn or cancelled before the Decommissioning Activities required herein and required by the terms of the Decommissioning Plan are completed or the amount guaranteed has been fully paid to the County. The surety bond shall require that the County be notified thirty (30) days prior to any cancellation or alteration of the bond. Should the County receive notice that the surety bond will be cancelled or otherwise become unavailable or decrease below the limits required herein, or should the Special Use Permit be revoked, lapse, expire or be voided due to violation thereof by Impact Power Solutions, LLC, the County may, immediately file a claim, for the entirety of the amount of the bond, the guarantor shall pay the amounts guaranteed and the County shall convert the surety to a cash bond to be deposited with the County and subject to the terms herein; this shall be specifically reflected in the language of the surety bond. The County may expend the guaranteed funds, without more, to undertake the Decommissioning Activities required herein and required pursuant to the terms of the Decommissioning Plan, after providing written notice to Impact Power Solutions, LLC, or, if the Project is Abandoned, to the person identified as the owner of the Property in the land records of Prince Edward County as of the date of the notice. Within six (6) months following the completion of the Decommissioning Activities required herein by a person or entity other than the County or a contractor engaged by the County, as confirmed by the County Administrator, the surety bond shall be released by the County, and the bond funds paid to the County less any amounts expended by the County as allowed herein, shall be released and paid to Impact Power Solutions, LLC or, if the Project has been Abandoned, to the person identified as owner of the property in land records of Prince Edward County as of the date of the completed Decommissioning or as otherwise directed by that owner of the property.

41. Should this Special Use Permit be revoked, lapse, expire, or be voided due to violation thereof, the County may immediately draw down all of the surety funds and convert them into a cash bond for

purposes of Decommissioning as set forth hereunder and as set forth in the Decommissioning Plan. In such case, no contractual agreement shall be required for the cash bond. This shall be reflected in the surety provided.

42. Should the funds guaranteed for the Decommissioning Activities for any reason not be sufficient for the County to complete the Decommissioning Activities as allowed for herein and as set forth in the Decommissioning Plan, Impact Power Solutions, LLC or its successor, shall be and shall remain liable to the County for the difference between the guaranteed funds and the amounts required to Decommission the Solar Facility and shall pay the difference to the County upon demand. The County shall not be liable to any party in any way for the funds drawn pursuant to the conditions set out herein and expended in relation to Decommissioning.
43. Should the Facility be Abandoned, or should the Special Use Permit be revoked, lapse, expire, or be voided due to violation thereof, or should the Decommissioning Activities not be diligently undertaken or performed, and should the County draw down the funds for the purpose of performing the Decommissioning Activities and mobilize its contractors to perform the Decommissioning Activities or otherwise incur liability to its contractors for the performance of the Decommissioning Activities, Impact Power Solutions, LLC, its successor or agent, shall have no right to perform the Decommissioning Activities unless specifically authorized by the County in a writing that confirms that the County has incurred no liability to any contractors to perform the Activities or that any such liability is transferrable as deemed acceptable to the County. The Applicant or the Operator shall immediately, upon written demand by the County or any person or entity authorized to act on behalf of the County, without more, grant or release to the County, or any person or entity authorized to act on behalf of the County, under terms deemed acceptable by the County, all necessary real property rights, personal property rights, either or both, as determined solely by the County, other than fee simple ownership or a leasehold interest of the real property, so that the County or any person or entity authorized to act on behalf of the County may undertake any required Decommissioning Activities that have not otherwise been performed as required. This shall include, but may not be limited to, releasing any interest in the personal property, facilities, fixtures, and structures which are to be removed and recycled, disposed of, or otherwise demolished.

In Re: Public Hearing – County Food & Beverage Tax

Chairman Pride announced that this was the date and time scheduled for a public hearing in accordance with Section 33.2-331 of the *Code of Virginia*, for the purpose of receiving public comment on a proposed Ordinance to enact and levy a County Food and Beverage Tax at a rate of 6%, which would only be collected outside of the corporate limits of the Town of Farmville and which proceeds would be earmarked to help pay for anticipated school capital improvements. Notice of this hearing was advertised according to law in the Wednesday, April 27, 2022, and Wednesday, May 4, 2022 editions of THE FARMVILLE HERALD, a newspaper published in the County of Prince Edward.

Mr. Doug Stanley, County Administrator, stated that during the 2020 General Assembly Session, HB 785 and SB 588 were passed with the following key provisions:

- Authorizes all Counties to levy a tax on-admissions at a rate not to exceed 10 percent, with the exception of Counties in the Historic Triangle where an additional state sales and use tax is imposed.
- Authorizes all Counties to levy transient occupancy taxes at rates exceeding 2 percent. The revenue derived from rates greater than 2 percent would be spent either (1) for purposes previously authorized or (2) for rates between 2 and 5 percent, for tourism promotion (as is currently required for the Counties that secured the authority to impose taxes up to 5 percent under Virginia Code 58.1-3819). Revenue from rates greater than 5 percent could be used for general purposes.
- Authorizes all Counties to impose cigarette taxes at a rate not to exceed 40 cents per pack. This authority would take effect July 1, 2021. In the interim, the Department of Taxation is required to convene a stakeholder workgroup to make recommendations to modernize the process for collection of cigarette taxes. Cities and Towns with rates higher than 40 cents per pack would be grandfathered at the rates in effect as of January 1, 2020.
- Authorizes all Counties to impose meals taxes at a rate not to exceed 6 percent and eliminates the referendum requirement. A locality in which a meals tax referendum failed prior to July 1, 2020, would have to wait six years after the date of the failed referendum to impose the tax.

During the FY 2020-2021 budget process the Board asked staff to look at alternative funding sources. Since that time, the County has instituted the transient occupancy tax at 7% and is in discussions on a regional cigarette tax. As you know, Delegate Edmunds carried a bill in the 2022 General Assembly session to have Prince Edward County added to the list of qualified localities under Chapter §58.1-602 that would have allowed the County to collect up to 1 % in additional sales tax revenue for the construction or renovation of schools within the locality. She said the County will ask him to please try again next year.

Mrs. Puckett asked the Board to review the draft ordinance presented to enact a county food and beverage tax at a rate of 6%. The County would only collect the tax outside of Town limits. With the largest restaurant, Fishin' Pig, looking to move into Town limits in 2022, collecting a large amount of revenue is not anticipated. Most of it will be generated from prepared food at the various mom and pop convenience stores around the County. None of the surrounding counties currently has a meals tax. Staff looked at a couple counties similarly situated and offer the following collection comparison:

County	FY 2019	2018 Population	Per Capita
Amherst	\$1,016,301	31,666	\$32.09
Dinwiddie	\$902,126	28,529	\$31.62
Page	\$354,730	23,933	\$14.82
Middlesex	\$401,497	10,769	\$37.32
Average	\$668,664	23,722	\$28.96
Prince Edward Projected	\$321,300 – \$664,632 (est.)	22,950	\$14-\$28 (est.)

Based on the per capita collection amount, Prince Edward County would anticipate collecting \$300,000 to \$600,000 per year. The revenue would be a good source to help pay for anticipated school capital improvements in the coming years. The Board would need to advertise for a public hearing in order to enact the ordinance.

Chair Pride opened the public hearing.

Ben Campbell, Farmville District, expressed his support for this proposed ordinance; he stated this will not just be paid for by the residents but also tourists coming to the County. He said prepared food is a luxury. Mr. Campbell said there has been discussion regarding ways to raise funding for the schools other than property taxes; he added that in 2020, House Bill 534 allowed municipalities impose a five-cent tax on disposable plastic bags in grocery stores, pharmacies and convenience stores, and is currently in place in seven municipalities.

Justin Pope, Farmville District, expressed his support of the proposed ordinance. He said it is important to take the steps necessary to allow the County to make urgent repairs to the Schools; this proposal is important so when the County and constituents go to the General Assembly next year, they will see that this is a community that is willing to help itself with a unified voice for the future of Prince Edward County.

Hood Frazier, Lockett District, stated this is the first step toward providing for the needs for the students and teachers. He said when the building is updated and renovated, it shows the teachers and students they are valued, and will keep and attract quality staff to the community.

There being no one further wishing to speak, Chair Pride closed the public hearing.

Supervisor Cooper-Jones made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Wilck, to approve the ordinance to establish a County Meals Tax as outlined and set the implementation date as October 1, 2022 to allow for hiring and training of staff in the Commissioner of the Revenue's Office to implement the tax; the motion carried:

Aye:	Beverly M. Booth	Nay: (None)
	Pattie Cooper-Jones	
	J. David Emert	
	Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.	
	Robert M. Jones	
	Odessa H. Pride	
	Jerry R. Townsend	
	James R. Wilck	

In Re: Public Hearing – Public Dancehall Ordinance

Chairman Pride announced that this was the date and time scheduled for a public hearing in accordance with Section 33.2-331 of the *Code of Virginia*, for the purpose of receiving public comment on comprehensive amendments to the County’s Public Dancehall Ordinance, to include moving the permit authority from the Board to the County Administrator; expanding the information that is required from the applicant; and increasing the permit fee to cover the costs to the county for staff time and inspection. Notice of this hearing was advertised according to law in the Wednesday, April 27, 2022, and Wednesday, May 4, 2022 editions of THE FARMVILLE HERALD, a newspaper published in the County of Prince Edward.

Mrs. Sarah Elam Puckett, stated that at its April meeting, the Board authorized advertising a public hearing on the proposed draft update of the Public Dancehall Ordinance (County Code, Chapter 6, Article IV). County staff, working closely with the County Attorney and the Sheriff, researched and reviewed similar ordinances from multiple other Virginia counties.

The proposed revisions provide for several major changes:

- a. As proposed, the permit authority would move to the County Administrator from the Board of Supervisors. Most jurisdictions issue dancehall permits administratively, not by action of the Board. As the timeline for issuing the permit is 30 days, it could be challenging to conduct the required inspections and background checks and meet the timeline for placing an application on a monthly board agenda.
- b. The amendments expand the information that is required from the applicant about the business and his /her partners.
- c. The amendments require significant additional information from the applicant on the security/security contractor that will be used.
- d. The permit fee will increase from \$100 to \$500 in an effort to cover the costs to the county for staff time and inspections.
- e. This ordinance does not apply to dances held for benevolent or charitable purposes or conducted under the auspices of religious, educational, civic or military organizations.

Chair Pride opened the public hearing.

There being no one wishing to speak, Chair Pride closed the public hearing.

Supervisor Emert made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Jones, to approve the repeal of the current Chapter 6, Article IV. Dancehalls. of the County Code of Ordinances and the adoption and enactment of the proposed and amended County Public Dancehall Ordinance as presented; the motion carried:

Aye: Beverly M. Booth
Pattie Cooper-Jones
J. David Emert
Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.
Robert M. Jones
Odessa H. Pride
Jerry R. Townsend
James R. Wilck

Nay: (None)

ARTICLE IV. PUBLIC DANCEHALLS

State Law Reference: Section 15.2-912.3, Code of Virginia

Sec. 6-96. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Public dancehall means any place open to the general public where dancing by patrons is permitted.

Permit means a certificate validating the operation of the dancehall, issued by the authority of the county administrator in accordance with this ordinance for a calendar year or any portion thereof. Cross reference(s)-Definitions generally, § 1-2.

Sec. 6-97. Required permit; application and fee.

- (a) No person shall operate or conduct a public dancehall in the county except in accordance with a permit issued by the county administrator and such other regulations in this article which may apply. Such permit shall be maintained on the premises of the dancehall and be made available for inspection in accordance with section 6-101.
- (b) Application for a permit under this article shall be made in writing on forms provided for this purpose and filed with the county department of planning and community development. Applicants shall provide the following:
 - (1) The name, street address and telephone number of the proposed public dancehall.
 - (2) The name, residential address, telephone number, date of birth, sex, race, hair and eye color, height, and weight of the individual applicant or the individual applying on behalf of an entity.
 - (3) The name, address and telephone number of each individual who is an officer, director, partner, principal or manager of the proposed public dancehall, as well as any promoter involved in conducting the dances at the proposed public dancehall.
 - (4) Whether the applicant or any of the persons listed in subsection (b)(3) of this section has been convicted of any felony or misdemeanor and, if so, the nature of the offense, when and where convicted, and the penalty or punishment assessed.
 - (5) Whether the applicant or any of the persons listed in subsection (b)(3) of this section has had a public dancehall permit denied or revoked by any jurisdiction in the last five years and, if so, when, and where the denial or revocation occurred.
 - (6) The name, residential address and telephone number of two references who are neither

minors nor relatives of the applicant or of any person listed in subsection (b)(3) of this section.

- (7) If the applicant does not own the premises of the proposed public dancehall, a signed statement from the owner or owners authorizing use of the premises for a public dancehall and a copy of the lease agreement which authorizes the use of the building by the applicant for the entire duration of the permit.
 - (8) Written declaration, dated and signed by the applicant, certifying that the information contained in the application is true and correct and authorizing the sheriff to undertake a criminal background and reference check.
- (c) Each such application for a permit shall be accompanied by a fee in the amount of \$500.00. Application fees are non-refundable and are not pro-rated.
 - (d) In addition to submitting the information required by subsection (b) of this section, applicants shall complete the county permit application in its entirety and make the premises of the proposed public dancehall reasonably available for inspections pursuant to this article by representatives of the sheriff's office, the state fire marshal, the building official, and the department of planning and community development of the county.

Sec. 6-98 Issuance or denial of permit.

- (a) Within 30 days of the filing of an application completed in accordance with section 6-97, or a longer period if requested by the applicant, the county administrator shall issue a permit or provide a written decision of denial to the applicant.
- (b) Upon receipt of a completed application, the director of planning and community development shall provide relevant portions of the application to the sheriff, and the building official of the county, who will also coordinate with the state fire marshal for their review. Within 20 days of receipt, or a longer period if the applicant has requested that the permit decision be rendered more than 30 days after filing:
 - (2) The building official shall: (a) inform the director of planning and community development in writing whether the structure in which the proposed dancehall is located meets all the applicable provisions in the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code; and (b) having also coordinated with the State Fire Marshall, the building office shall inform the director of planning and community development in writing whether the structure in which the proposed dancehall is located meets all the applicable provisions in the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code, and (c) whether the parking facilities impede the approach of fire apparatus;
 - (3) The director of planning and community development shall document in writing whether the zoning requirements have been fulfilled for the proposed dancehall.
- (c) The County Administrator, or his/her designee, shall issue a permit if he finds that:
 - (1) The building official has determined that the structure in which the proposed dancehall is located meets all the provisions in the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code, and the parking facilities do not impede the approach of fire apparatus;
 - (2) The building official has determined that the structure in which the proposed dancehall is located meets all the applicable provisions in the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code;
 - (3) The director of planning has determined that the zoning requirements have been fulfilled for the proposed dancehall; and
 - (4) None of the following grounds for denial appears to exist:

- a. The applicant or any person listed in section 6-97 has been convicted within the past five years of a felony, or within the past three years of a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude, under the laws of any state or of the United States.
 - b. The applicant or any person listed in section 6-97 has operated another dancehall or a business affiliated with the proposed dancehall that permitted repeated occurrences of disorderly, violent, obscene or other unlawful conduct or was declared a public nuisance.
 - c. The applicant or any person listed in section 6-97 has had a public dancehall permit denied or revoked by another jurisdiction within the past five years for violating any local, state or federal law or permitting disorderly, violent, obscene or other unlawful conduct.
 - d. The application or any statement made in support of the application contains a material misrepresentation or omission of fact.
 - e. The proposed dancehall does not conform to applicable local, state and federal laws.
 - f. The proposed dancehall is not permitted under the terms of an applicable lease or real property covenant.
- (d) The county administrator may attach conditions to a permit that are reasonably related to the preservation of peace and good order.
 - (e) Permits issued under this section shall not be transferable.

Sec. 6-99. Revocation of permit or license.

- (a) The county administrator may revoke any permit issued pursuant to this article for any of the following reasons:
 - (1) The dancehall does not conform to the requirements of the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code, or any other law concerning fire prevention or safety.
 - (2) The dancehall does not conform to the requirements of the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code.
 - (3) The dancehall does not conform to the requirements of the zoning code of the county.
 - (4) The application or any statement made in support of the application has been discovered to contain a material misrepresentation or omission of fact.
 - (5) The permittee has allowed, or failed to take reasonable measures to prevent, repeated occurrences of disorderly, violent, obscene or other unlawful conduct on its premises.
 - (6) The permittee has violated any term or condition of its permit.
 - (7) The permittee has violated any provision of this article.
 - (8) The permittee has assigned or otherwise transferred its permit to another person or entity.
 - (9) The permittee is in violation of a local, state or federal law, and such violation prohibits the continued operation of the dancehall.
- (b) No person for whom a public dancehall permit has been revoked may apply for another such permit until twelve months after such revocation.

Sec. 6-100. Procedure upon denial of an application or revocation of a permit.

- (a) If the county administrator denies an application or revokes a permit, he shall notify the applicant or permittee in writing of such action, the reasons therefor, and the right to request a

hearing. To receive a hearing, the applicant or permittee must make a written hearing request which must be received by the county administrator within ten days of the date of the notice of denial or revocation. If a timely hearing request is not received by the county administrator, his decision shall be final. If a hearing is properly requested, it shall be held within ten days from receipt of the hearing request. The hearing shall be presided over by the county administrator. The applicant or permittee shall have the right to present evidence and argument or to have counsel do so. Within five days of the hearing, the county administrator shall render his decision, which shall be final. A permittee must discontinue operation of its dancehall when the decision to revoke the permit becomes final.

- (b) Any person operating such a public dancehall whose permit has been revoked shall have the right of appeal to the circuit court of the county in accordance with law.

Sec. 6-101 Right of entry.

In addition to any existing legal authority, representatives of all applicable county departments shall have the authority to enter and inspect any dancehall permitted under this article for the purpose of determining compliance with the provisions of this article.

Sec. 6-102. Hours of operation; prohibited conduct.

- (a) It shall be unlawful for any person operating or conducting a public dancehall to have such dancehall open on Sunday between the hours of 1:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. or open on any other day between the hours of 1:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. In addition to the provisions of this subsection, permittees shall conform to all zoning code requirements concerning their hours of operation.
- (b) It shall be unlawful for any person operating or conducting a public dancehall to permit, or fail to take reasonable measures to prevent, disorderly, violent, obscene or other unlawful conduct on its premises.

Sec. 6-103. Illumination of exterior signs.

Any person operating or conducting a public dancehall shall not allow exterior signs to be illuminated after 1:00 a.m., or to be illuminated during any hours prohibited for the operation of such dancehall.

Sec 6-104. Exemptions from article.

All dances held for benevolent or charitable purposes or conducted under the auspices of religious, educational, civic or military organizations are exempt from this article.

Sec. 6-105. License year.

The license year shall be January 1 to December 31.

Sec. 6-106. License to serve alcohol.

It shall be unlawful for any dancehall establishment within the purview of section 6-96 to allow any alcoholic beverages on its premises unless the establishment is licensed by the state alcoholic beverage control board for on-the-premises alcoholic beverages sales.

Sec. 6-107. Intoxication on premises.

Any person within a dancehall or other place within the purview of this article who is found to be intoxicated or under the influence of alcohol, drugs, or narcotics shall upon order of the proprietor, management personnel or law enforcement officer leave such place forthwith and not return until sober. Failure to obey such order shall constitute a violation of this section and shall be classified as a class 3 misdemeanor.

Sec. 6-108. Security officers required.

The permittee, owner or operator shall, at his expense, provide security officers as follows and shall cause the security officers to adhere to the following:

- (1) At any event, concert, show, or other live entertainment for which an ABC license is obtained, or any event that has in attendance over fifty (50) patrons, not counting staff, there shall be security officers, as required by this section.
- (2) A minimum of two security officers shall be required for each scheduled event, performance, or show; plus, one additional security officer per 100 patrons on the premises, or any portion thereof, is also required. (Example: an event with 200 patrons requires four security officers.)
- (3) For the purposes of this section, a "security officer" shall be a deputy, peace officer, or special police officer, if such an off-duty assignment is permitted by such appointee's department, or a private security officer procured by the public dancehall owner or operator, through a private security business licensed and bonded pursuant to the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, and the state department of criminal justice services.
- (4) Each security officer on the premises of the dancehall must be visibly identifiable and in a security officer uniform with "security" clearly and visibly displayed on the uniform and jacket, if applicable, and displaying a badge of office. Each individual security officer must have on his possession his operator's license and department of criminal justice services license.
- (5) Notification to the sheriff's office shall be made at least twenty-four hours in advance of an event for which security officers will be present, by the permittee or the private security business, regarding the name of the company, a point of contact for the security company, the number of officers who will be on the premises of the dancehall venue in the county, and if the officers will be armed.
- (6) Failure to comply with the requirements of this section shall result in immediate revocation of the dancehall permit issued to such owner or operator.

Sec. 6-109. Persons under eighteen years of age.

It shall be unlawful for any person owning, operating or conducting a public dancehall to allow any person under the age of eighteen (18) years to remain in such dancehall unless accompanied by a parent or legal guardian.

Sec. 6-110. Owner responsibility.

The owner, operator or permittee of a dancehall or other place within the purview of this article is responsible for maintaining control of his individual dancehall establishment patrons to the best of his ability. Lack of such effort to control the patrons may initiate action to review the permit and license of the establishment by the county or the circuit court judge of record. Revocation of license

may occur if within the judgment of either the county or the circuit court judge the revocation is deemed to be in the best interest of the county.

Sec. 6-111. Penalty

Any person violating the provisions of this article shall be guilty of a class 3 misdemeanor.

In Re: Citizen Volunteer Appointments

Mrs. Puckett announced boards and commissions which have an upcoming vacancy and/or expiring terms of office in June 2022.

APPOINTMENT	TERM OF OFFICE	# OF VACANCIES	CURRENTLY IN OFFICE
Board of Appeals for Building Code	5 Years	1	Henry Booth **
County Industrial Development Authority	4 Years	1	Brad Watson*
Social Services Board <i>(Position to be filled by resident living in the Meherrin/Green Bay area of the County.)</i>	4 Years	1	Theresa A. Clark, Ph.D.*
Central Virginia Regional Library Board	4 Years	1	Gwen S. Akers-Booker*

Supervisor Jones made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Emert, to authorize the advertisement of the Citizen Volunteer vacancies as outlined above for appointments at the June 14, 2022 Board meeting; the motion carried:

Aye: Beverly M. Booth
Pattie Cooper-Jones
J. David Emert
Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.
Robert M. Jones
Odessa H. Pride
Jerry R. Townsend
James R. Wilck

Nay: (None)

In Re: Appointments - Board of Supervisors, VA Heartland Regional Industrial Facility Authority

Mrs. Puckett stated that Supervisor Jerry Townsend currently represents the Board of Supervisors on the Virginia's Heartland Regional Industrial Facility Authority. His current term of office expires June 30, 2022. The Board will wish to consider re-appointing Supervisor Townsend to the Industrial Facility Authority for a term of office to expire June 30, 2026.

Supervisor Jones made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Cooper-Jones, to re-appoint Jerry R. Townsend to the Virginia's Heartland Regional Industrial Facility Authority for a term of office to expire June 30, 2026; the motion carried:

Aye:	Beverly M. Booth	Nay: (None)
	Pattie Cooper-Jones	
	J. David Emert	
	Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.	
	Robert M. Jones	
	Odessa H. Pride	
	Jerry R. Townsend	
	James R. Wilck	

In Re: GO Virginia Grant Cash Match Request – CRC Regional Economic Development Organization (REDO) Business Plan

Mr. Stanley reported that at the Commonwealth Regional Council (CRC) meeting on April 20th, the attached summary outline of the GO Virginia Grant for the CRC Regional Economic Development Organization (REDO) Business Plan was presented by Melody Foster. She is working on this application with Bryan David and Liz Povar. The CRC will be sending out letters of request to each of the seven counties and Longwood University during May, requesting \$2,500 in cash match and a commitment of in-kind match for their staff and appointed advisory committee members time for the project. The CRC hopes to receive commitments during May in preparation to submit the GO Virginia Grant in June.

The CRC has approved moving forward with this grant request and has committed \$10,000 in cash towards this grant request. The CRC will also be providing an in-kind match of time as well to show the localities their commitment to this project.

Project Elements:

- **REDO Business Plan and Strategy Design:**
 - SWOT Analysis of Regional Capabilities
 - Market Demand Analysis
 - Site & Building Inventory Analysis
 - Board and Operational Structure Design including Legal Structure
 - Vision, Goals, and Strategy Framework
 - Budget and Financial Sustainability Plan, 3 Years, including Fund Raising Campaign framework

Project Goals:

The communities of the Commonwealth Regional Council's footprint, through a series of critical discussions, desire a strategic reset of goals, priorities, focus, and support to better reflect changes in the economic development landscape and to launch a REDO effectively and sustainably. The goals of this ECB project are:

- Complete an inclusive and collaborative process that results in a collective determination of the value of launching a Regional Economic Development Organization (REDO) that is financially sustainable and produces a return on investment for local members in terms of stronger economies.
- Complete a business plan that incorporates organizational, program, and budget recommendations with defined measures of success.

Funding Request:

GO Virginia matching requirements 2/1 with an expectation of an in-kind/ cash match.

Funding Request: GO Virginia: \$100,000

Match: \$50,000

Cash match:	\$2,500 per locality & Longwood -	\$20,000
	CRC Cash Match -	\$10,000
		\$30,000 Cash Match
In-Kind match:	Localities, Longwood & CRC -	\$20,000 In-Kind Match
	Staff/Advisory Committee	
		\$50,000 Match

Supervisor Cooper-Jones made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Townsend, to approve the \$2,500 cash match request required for the GO Virginia Grant for the CRC Regional Economic Development Organization (REDO) Business Plan and authorize the Chair to sign all necessary documents required; the motion carried:

Aye:	Beverly M. Booth Pattie Cooper-Jones	Nay: (None)
------	---	-------------

J. David Emert
 Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.
 Robert M. Jones
 Odessa H. Pride
 Jerry R. Townsend
 James R. Wilck

In Re: Health Department – FY 2021-2022 Locality Agreement

Mr. Stanley presented the note and proposed FY 2021-2022 Locality Agreement with the Health Department. The proposed agreement reflects a budget of \$194,873.00 in local matching funds and an additional \$12,113.00 in local funds from FY 2020-2021.

The local Health Department Agreement must be approved each year, even though it comes in over halfway through the fiscal year. As proposed, the cost is \$194,873 which has been included in the County’s FY 2021-2022 budget.

Supervisor Townsend made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Booth, to approve the FY 2021-2022 Locality Agreement between Prince Edward County Board of Supervisors and the Virginia Department of Health for funding and services of the Prince Edward County Health Department as proposed; the motion carried:

Aye:	Beverly M. Booth Pattie Cooper-Jones J. David Emert Llew W. Gilliam, Jr. Robert M. Jones Odessa H. Pride Jerry R. Townsend James R. Wilck	Nay: (None)
------	--	-------------

In Re: Cannery – Cost of Cans

Mrs. Puckett stated the Prince Edward Cannery will open for home canning in early-mid June, the date of which may be dependent upon the delivery of the new boiler.

In the interim, Staff wanted to make the Board aware of the significant increase in the cost of cans that the home canning users will encounter this summer. Patty Gulick received three quotes on cans then met with the Assistant County Administrator in April to discuss the increases, as follows:

	2021 Charge	2022 Cost	2022 Charge	2022 Out of County
--	-------------	-----------	-------------	--------------------

	In-County	To County	In-County	(+30%)
Pint Cans	\$0.43	\$0.60	\$0.60	\$0.78
Quart Cans	\$0.55	\$1.05	\$1.05	\$1.37
Gallon Cans	\$1.35	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.60

The County will continue to manage the cannery so as to prioritize in-county cannery users and will be putting out a press release later this month to provide 2022 information to Prince Edward County citizens and cannery patrons.

Additionally, Cannery Manager Patty Gulick and a representative of Virginia Food Works will be present at the June Board meeting to kick off the season with a home canning and commercial canning update.

No action was required from the Board.

In Re: FY22 Appropriations – Sheriff’s Department

Mrs. Cheryl Stimpson, Finance Director, stated the Sheriff’s Department received a payment from VACORP in the amount of \$6,541.97 and from Virginia Farm Bureau in the amount of \$8,446.62 for vehicle repairs. The Sheriff’s Department is requesting these funds be appropriated to the Sheriff’s Department to cover the costs of vehicle repairs, as follows:

FY22 BUDGET AMENDMENTS

Rev/Exp	Fund	Dept	Object	Description	Debit	Credit
3 (Rev)	100	19020	0006	Insurance Recoveries		\$14,988.59
4 (Exp)	100	31200	3311	Motor Vehicle Repair	\$14,988.59	

Supervisor Cooper-Jones made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Townsend, to approve the FY22 Budget Amendment presented above and appropriate the same funds; the motion carried:

Aye: Beverly M. Booth
Pattie Cooper-Jones
J. David Emert
Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.
Robert M. Jones
Odessa H. Pride
Jerry R. Townsend
James R. Wilck

Nay: (None)

In Re: Prince Edward County Public School Board Appropriations

Mrs. Stimpson stated the County received three requests from Prince Edward County Public Schools requesting an appropriation in the amount of \$188,833.18 to the school operating budget. These funds are a

combination of federal, state and CARES Act ESSA grant funds. There is no local match for these appropriations.

FY22 BUDGET AMENDMENTS

Rev/Exp	Fund	Dept	Object	Description	Debit	Credit
3 (Rev)	250	033020	0101	CARES Act		\$141,615.43
3 (Rev)	250	033020	0041	School Improvement Funds		\$45,000.00
3 (Rev)	250	033020	0032	Dual Enrollment Grant		\$2,212,38
3 (Rev)	250	033020	0078	Title III		\$5.37
4 (Exp)	250	061000	3311	Instruction	\$188,833.18	

Supervisor Booth made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Cooper-Jones, to approve the FY22

Budget Amendment presented above and appropriate the same funds; the motion carried:

<p>Aye:</p> <p>Beverly M. Booth Pattie Cooper-Jones J. David Emert Llew W. Gilliam, Jr. Robert M. Jones Odessa H. Pride Jerry R. Townsend James R. Wilck</p>	<p>Nay: (None)</p>
---	--------------------

In Re: School Board Appropriations – Authorize Public Hearing

Mr. Stanley said that on April 25, 2022, he received a letter from the School Board requesting appropriation of \$1,114,577.00 to the school CARES Act budget. In order for this transaction to take place, the Board must authorize a public hearing to amend the FY22 County and School Budgets by the amount of \$1,114,577.00 and appropriate the same funds.

Per Section 15.2-2507 of the *Code of Virginia*, a locality may amend its budget during the fiscal year. However, if such an amendment exceeds the currently adopted expenditures by one percent or more, the locality must advertise the amendment at least seven days prior to the public hearing. The school’s currently approved FY22 budget is currently \$28,701,871.98, which means they exceed the one-percent threshold.

Supervisor Cooper-Jones made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Townsend, to authorize advertisement of a public hearing on the amendment to the School’s FY22 CARES Act budget to appropriate funding; the motion carried:

Aye: Beverly M. Booth
Pattie Cooper-Jones
J. David Emert
Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.
Robert M. Jones
Odessa H. Pride
Jerry R. Townsend
James R. Wilck

Nay: (None)

In Re: Health Insurance

Mrs. Cheryl Stimpson stated that Mary Jones, One Digital Health Insurance Consultants, has provided seven different options for the County’s health insurance for county employees for the 2022-2023 fiscal year. This year, the County Administrator requested that One Digital provide renewal options to make the County more competitive with its benefits package offered to employees. The County’s current cost for family coverage is \$1,357.00 (Keycare 500), and \$1,293.00 (Keycare 300), ranges from \$300 to \$800 higher per month in the employee share of the premium, when compared to many of the neighboring localities that we compete with for employees. While Prince Edward County is competitive in salary in most positions, the benefits costs hurt our ability to recruit and retain employees. The County saw a 1.4% increase in rates, and the County Administrator requested that we include an 8% increase with the goal of shifting premiums to “buy-down” the cost of spouse/dependent coverage.

Mrs. Stimpson said that Option 1 is the standard renewal of the existing plan which represents no change to the current premium cost; Option 2 is a 6% increase overall from the current premium, Option 3 is an 8% increase in the total premium cost, Option 4 is a 10% increase in the total premium cost, Options 5 through seven provide the same percent increases as 2 through 4, but splits the increase between the employee and the employer differently. Options 2 and 3 provide the ability to bank additional monies into the Health Insurance fund in anticipation of any high-cost employee health care needs, while also providing the County with the ability to remain competitive with our neighboring counties. The staff recommendation is Option 3.

Mrs. Stimpson said that because the new plan year begins July 1, 2022, it is imperative that the Board approve the selection of an option, so staff may provide the information to the County employees for Open Enrollment beginning June 1, 2022 and ending June 15, 2022.

Supervisor Wilck made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Townsend, to approve the selection of Option 3 as the County’s Health Insurance premium for FY 2022-2023; the motion carried:

Aye:	Beverly M. Booth	Nay: (None)
	Pattie Cooper-Jones	
	J. David Emert	
	Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.	
	Robert M. Jones	
	Odessa H. Pride	
	Jerry R. Townsend	
	James R. Wilck	

In Re: County Attorney Update

Mrs. Terri Atkins Wilson, County Attorney, stated she has been working on the review of ordinances and IDA issues. She said there are currently no lawsuits pending. She then said she attended the conference with the Local Government Attorneys in Harrisonburg.

In Re: Fire-EMS Committee Report

Mr. Trey Pyle stated the Fire-EMS Committee met earlier in the day, and have tabled action on the Four-For-Life funding and will return next month to present their findings and request for appropriations at that time.

In Re: County Administrator Update

Mr. Stanley presented an update on his activities:

- Department General Services – this will provide of level of commitment from the State which will allow the County to move forward with interim agreement on the project.
- Application to Two Grants through the Virginia Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water; he thanked Melody Foster and Todd Fortune for their assistance.
- Met with Department of Environmental Quality regarding the Water Withdrawal Permit; DEQ is anticipating approval by the end of 2022
- Commonwealth Transportation Board Hearing had their hearing in Lynchburg last week; funding for the County Revenue Sharing Project for Manor House Road Turn Lane Project in the amount of \$3,025,927 was allotted in the plan. He said the County has also applied for a Smart Scale application for that project, which would be 100% state-funded.
- Farmville River Walk, Phase 1, which wraps around downtown along the Appomattox River – funding for that project has been approved in the amount of \$859,736 and was included in the grant plan.
- Kinex Broadband loan for the Broadband project is scheduled to close this week.

- Tobacco Commission will consider a funding request for \$530,100 for a water tank project for the HIT Park with matching funds coming from the Prince Edward County Business Ready Sites program.
- Prince Edward County Industrial Park agreement on the right-of-way with Triboro should be finalized next week, and it could then go out to bid on that project.

Mr. Stanley then stated FACES is looking to build a monument-type sign at a cost of \$8,058.70.

Chair Pride stated they are requesting this funding for the sign because people are having difficulty finding their new location. She added they need an official sign and asked the Board to consider giving this amount. She said FACES covers the needs of so many citizens.

Supervisor Cooper-Jones made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Wilck, to approve the request to appropriate \$8,058.70 to FACES to fund construction of a monument sign; the motion carried:

Aye:	Beverly M. Booth Pattie Cooper-Jones Llew W. Gilliam, Jr. Odessa H. Pride Jerry R. Townsend James R. Wilck	Nay:	J. David Emert Robert M. Jones
------	---	------	-----------------------------------

In Re: Animal Warden’s Report

Mr. Chris Riviere, Deputy Animal Control Officer, submitted a report for the month of April 2022, which was reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: Building Official’s Report

Mr. Phillip Moore, Building Inspector, submitted a report for the month of April 2022, which was reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: Cannery – Home

Ms. Patty Gulick, Cannery Manager, submitted a report for the month of April 2022, which was reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: Commonwealth Regional Council Items of Interest

Ms. Melody Foster, Executive Director, submitted a report for the month of April 2022, which was reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: Virginia Cooperative Extension Quarterly Report

Mr. Jake Morgan, Unit Coordinator, submitted the 2022 First Quarterly Report, which was reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: Prince Edward County Public Schools

Dr. Barbara Johnson, Superintendent, submitted a summary financial report for the month of March 2022, which was reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

In Re: Tourism and Visitor Center Report

Ms. Chelsey White, Director of Economic Development and Tourism, submitted a report for the month of April 2022, which was reviewed and ordered to be filed with the Board papers.

On motion of Supervisor Wilck, seconded by Supervisor Cooper-Jones, and adopted by the following vote:

Aye:	Beverly M. Booth	Nay:	None
	Pattie Cooper-Jones		
	J. David Emert		
	Llew W. Gilliam, Jr.		
	Robert M. Jones		
	Odessa H. Pride		
	Jerry R. Townsend		
	James R. Wilck		

the meeting was adjourned at 8:52 p.m.